

EVENING NEWS. Thursday, May 8, 1884.

GOOD season to talk up farm-
 ing.

THE Logan Journal comes to hand,
 bright and newsy as ever.

To-morrow is the day set for sen-
 tencing the murderer Hopt.

Diphtheria has again appeared, it
 is said, at Pleasant Grove.

SMOOT and Co., druggists, of Provo,
 have moved into their new quarters.

CACHIE VALLEY streams are not as
 high as usual at this time of the year.

The new store of S. S. Jones, at
 Provo, built at a cost of \$8,000, is about
 finished.

A VERY fair wood-cut of the Herald
 building has just been engraved by
 John Held.

GRANT is "decidedly in favor of Lo-
 gan," so will a great many be, about
 the 17th inst.

A serious wound is reported on
 the U. P. east of Laramie. Hence, no
 mail last night.

FATHER GRAVES, of Provo, is fixing
 up his grounds for the season's pic-
 nics and excursions.

The wet weather has dampened the
 spirits of Mendon farmers. But little
 sowing has yet been done.

ABOUT 60 feet of wire will be placed
 on the Bear River bridge, to secure it
 against the impending floods.

WE are pained to hear that Brother
 M. H. Peck, Territorial Sealer of
 Weights and Measures, is still very
 ill.

JOHN WOOLLEY is dangerously ill at
 his son's residence, Pleasant Grove.
 The aged gentleman is in his 81st
 year.

In lieu of a May walk, 28 wagon-
 loads of Sabbath School children from
 Smithfield, visited the Logan Temple
 last Monday.

The roads through Provo Cañon are
 almost completely obstructed by snow-
 slides, and it is with great difficulty
 that light vehicles can pass over.

The divisions of Mr. Hill's lecture
 this evening are: 1—Prelude, Hopt
 yesterday, crowned to-day; 2—Under-
 ground Rome; 3—St. Peter's Vatican.

An infant son of John Knowles was run
 over by a railway car near Richmond,
 last Monday. One of his legs was badly
 injured, but Dr. Ormsby thinks the
 limb can be saved.

The theological discussion between
 Elder Ben E. Rich and the Rev. Bir-
 ard Hartley, commences to-night in
 the Ogden Tabernacle, and concludes
 to-morrow evening.

This morning Dr. Groves exhibited
 to the gaze of a number of admirers
 three beautiful tulips on one stem, a
 triple combination of the kind but sel-
 dom seen. The flower was raised in
 his garden. Its tint was a lovely deep
 pink.

A SOME mild friend to law and order
 during the late trial, has thoughtless-
 ly given publicity to his sentiments in
 the words "Judge Lynch," in charac-
 ters some three inches long, on the
 back of one of the benches inside the
 bar of the Court Room.

ALREADY application has been made
 to the proprietor of Woodbury Park,
 Battle Creek Station, D. & R. G. Rail-
 way, for the use of the buildings and
 grounds for the accommodation of a
 corps of school teachers from Salt Lake
 and Utah Counties. They expect to
 spend a week or more there in July or
 August. Object—Teachers' session,
 review and general recreation.

JOHN and Agnes Fallon created a
 terrible rumpus at Pugley's Row, on
 the State Road last night. The former
 was jealous of his wife, got drunk and
 proceeded to smash things in her
 rooms, besides beating her severely.
 She hit him over the head with a hand
 glass and stabbed him with a knife.
 He fled profusely and had to have
 medical aid. She was jailed and will
 have a hearing at 2 p.m. to-morrow.

LOCAL NEWS.
ARIZONA.

The 20th of May, 1884, has been de-
 cided upon as the date for the depart-
 ure of the first company of those de-
 siring to move to Arizona by Railway.

All those who purpose leaving on the
 above date should send their names
 and number in family, at once, to the
 undersigned, that suitable arrange-
 ments may be made for their transpor-
 tation. Respectfully,
 W. WOODRUFF,
 Salt Lake City, Chairman.
 May 7th, 1884.

New Temperance Society.—The
 provisional committee, having finished
 their labors, have summoned a public
 meeting of the friends and supporters
 of the new temperance organization to
 meet in the Temple of Honor Hall on
 Friday evening, at 8 o'clock, to pass
 upon the constitution and by-laws and
 to elect officers. All temperance men
 and women are invited.

Gospel Philosophy.—Elder J. H.
 Ward is the author of a work bearing
 the above title, which has just been
 published by the Juvenile Instructor
 office. It contains 210 pages and is il-
 lustrated with numerous engravings.
 It has been the design of the author to
 show forth in this little volume the ab-
 surdities of infidelity and the harmony
 of the Gospel with science and history,
 gathering into a small compass the
 leading arguments of infidel writers
 and refuting them by well known facts.
 The idea of the work is a very good
 one, and its theme is certainly one of
 great attraction. We have not had
 time to peruse it carefully,
 but shall take much pleasure in
 doing so and recording the result in an
 opinion at the earliest opportunity. It
 is in our opinion a well written and
 the subject has been well and worthily
 treated, and that "Gospel Philosophy"
 can be recommended as a book worth
 anyone's reading.

The Excursion to Logan.—The ex-
 cursion to Logan, on the occasion of
 the dedication of the Logan Temple,
 will leave the Utah Central depot on
 Friday morning, May 10th, at 7:30
 o'clock, and will reach Logan at 12:40
 p.m. The time is extended to five
 days, and the tickets are good for re-
 turn to and including the 20th. The
 fare from Salt Lake to Logan and re-
 turn is placed at \$3.75, and tickets will
 be issued at all points along the line of
 the Utah Central from Milford, at the
 proportionate rates; the train will leave
 Milford May 15th, and June 15th to
 make connection. The dedication of
 the Temple is expected to take place
 on Saturday, the 17th. Those who in-
 tend visiting Logan on this interest-
 ing occasion should make their ar-
 rangements both at Logan and Salt
 Lake, as early as possible, that pre-
 paration may be made to prevent un-
 necessary crowding.

THE BENTLEY DEATH.—Further light has been thrown upon the matter of the death of the deceased by the state- ment of Mr. Wm. Kimball, of Parley's Park. He says that some time in Janu- ary the deceased stopped at his son's house and was given a meal and thirty cents (a two-bit piece and a nickel) and as they had no room for him to sleep in, he continued on his way. Ar- riving at Wm. Kimball's place, a bad made upon a lounge for him and he remained over night.

During the evening Mr. Kimball
 tried to engage him in conversation,
 but could see that he was not in his
 right mind. He would occasionally
 take the thirty cents from his pocket,
 and holding it out (much after the man-
 ner of a boy with a new top) tell how
 he was going to buy a pipe with it when
 he got to Park City. He said he was a
 carpet weaver, and had a friend in that
 city, whose name he could not give
 (probably Mr. Tuckwell) who would
 care for him after his arrival there.
 After a time they retired for the night,
 but subsequently Bentley got up and
 after groping around for some time
 lit the lamp. Mr. Kimball's man told
 him, "So you are here now again,"
 which he did, after extinguishing the
 light.

Early in the morning Bentley got up
 and asked the man the road to Park
 City. On being told, he started out,
 taking the Utah Eastern track to
 Coalville. He was called back and put
 on the road along the way. Mr.
 Kimball did not know of his departure,
 being in another part of the house, and
 when he went to the bar-room to invite
 Bentley to have breakfast, he was fully
 half a mile away on the Park City road.
 That was the last they heard of him
 until they read of his death. Mr. Kim-
 ball says the suspicion of foul play en-
 tertained by the coroner's jury is not
 well grounded, as on inquiry, Johnny
 Neilson informed him that two boards
 had been pulled off the window to
 allow the unfortunate man to enter,
 and once inside he had merely crossed
 the boards inside to keep out the cold
 as much as possible.

A Singular Case.—Stephen J. Hart,
 of East Bountiful, called in to-day to
 give a statement in regard to the death
 of his little daughter, Sarah Francis
 Hart, a child of four and a half years
 who died in this city last Sunday morn-
 ing at 1 o'clock. A week ago this
 evening she accidentally swallowed a
 piece of the dry shell of a summer
 squash, which lodged in the windpipe,
 and caused her much inconvenience in
 breathing but little pain.

Next morning she was brought to
 this city and at the residence of James
 Fyter, Twentieth Ward, brother-in-
 law to Mr. Hart, underwent an opera-
 tion for the removal of the
 piece of shell. It was per-
 formed by Dr. Joseph S. Richards,
 assisted by Dr. Hamilton and another
 physician on last Friday evening, and
 the patient seemed to be doing fine
 and about 8 o'clock on Saturday
 morning, when she became suddenly
 worse and steadily declined till death
 ensued.

The remains were taken home to
 East Bountiful, where the funeral was
 held in the Tabernacle at half-past 2
 o'clock on Monday afternoon. The
 parents have the deepest sympathy of
 all in their bereavement. We are satis-
 fied, and so are they, that all was done
 that could be for their little one, the
 medical gentlemen employed being
 among the most skillful and conscien-
 tious members of their profession,
 while the care in subsequent nursing
 was undoubtedly of the tenderest and
 best. No blame can therefore attach
 to anyone at the fatal ending of this
 singular case.

Horse Thieves.—Horse thieves have
 again made the appearance in San
 Pete. It is reported that a couple of
 tramps appeared Saturday evening
 April 26th, at the tent of some boys
 herding sheep near Wales, and asked
 permission to leave their blankets,
 which they went up to the coal-mine to
 change for work. About midnight
 they returned with horses and
 took their blankets and have been heard
 of no more.

On Monday when Bro. C. C. A. Chris-
 tenson, who has a farm in the neigh-
 borhood, was hunting for his horses,
 he was minus a fine three-year old
 black animal and for several days could
 find no trace of it. He has learned
 that it had been seen in Maui, with
 hobbles on, Monday the 28th, and
 again a day or two later, but since then
 he can find no trace whatever.

As the horse was not hobbled when
 he turned to go, he supposed the
 thieves had turned it out while camp-
 ing, and that it had been trying to get
 home again. It may have been found
 there again and taken farther South on
 their journey. It has a recorded brand
 on the left thigh. Everybody interest-
 ed in the public safety should look af-
 ter the rasicals and possible bring
 them to justice.

Third District Court.—Proceedings
 before Chief Justice Hunter, on
 Thursday evening, May 7th, 1884:

People, etc., vs. David Gallant,
 murder; compelling of jury. Verdict
 exhausted. Special venire ordered for
 public names from jury box, and made
 returnable on Friday at 10 a.m.

Friday morning, May 8th.

People, etc., vs. O. E. Trojan, as-
 sault to murder; defendant arraigned.
 Plea, not guilty, with leave to with-
 draw, etc. Bail, \$2,000.

Alice K. Porter vs. Charles H. Por-
 ter, default of defendant, entry of
 referred to E. F. Sutherland, at the
 testimony.

Admitted citizens—Erick G. John-
 son, Peter Jensen, Peter Larson, all
 formerly of Sweden, but now of Salt
 Lake County.

David Lloyd vs. London Bank of
 Utah; default and judgment as
 prayed.

Contemptible Vandallism.—Mrs.
 M. M. Barratt, of the Twelfth Ward, is
 ardently fond of flowers and takes
 great interest in their cultivation, there
 being many choice varieties in her
 garden. One morning at the beginning
 of last week she was greatly annoyed
 to discover that some malicious or
 wilfully destructive person had visited
 her flower-beds under cover of the dark-
 ness and played sad havoc. Flowers and
 even roots had been plucked or torn up
 indiscriminately and the ground and
 valuable bulbs tramped over by the
 mischievous miscreant. Yesterday
 morning she discovered the fact that this
 inexcusable piece of vandallism had
 been repeated.

The perpetrator is not a juvenile, the
 footprint showing plainly that he has
 reached the stature of manhood, al-
 though evidently not yet above the in-
 stincts of the lower animals. If he is
 detected he will be punished to the ex-
 tent of the law, and for the time being
 taken that will probably lead to his
 being caught, should his base con-
 duct be repeated. Should he be found
 in the act he need not be surprised if
 his lower extremities are treated to a dose
 of small shot or coarse salt.

Second Annual Meeting.—The second
 annual meeting of the officers and
 members of the Deseret Hospital As-
 sociation will be held on Monday May
 13th, at 2 o'clock p.m., in the Social
 Hall in this city. Bishops and other
 brethren interested in the institution
 are most cordially invited to attend.
 E. E. Wells, Secretary.

THE HOPT CASE.

MOTION TO SET ASIDE VERDICT AND
 FOR A NEW TRIAL.

Yesterday, in the District Court, ten
 days time from the date of the verdict
 in the Hopt case was allowed the de-
 fendant to propose a bill of exceptions
 to orders made by the Court during the
 trial. To-day, the following motion
 was made by the attorneys for the de-
 fendant:

In the District Court in and for the
 Third Judicial District of Utah Terri-
 tory, County of Salt Lake.

The people of the Territory of Utah
 vs. Frederick Hopt indicted under the
 name of Fred. Welcome, impeached
 with malice aforethought for murder.
 Now comes the said defendant and re-
 spectfully moves the Court to vacate
 and set aside the verdict heretofore
 rendered in the above entitled cause
 on the 5th day of May, 1884, and to
 grant a new trial said cause upon the
 following grounds, to-wit:

First—The Court erred in overruling
 the motion for a continuance of said
 case to the next term of said court,
 made by defendant, on the ground of
 absence of material witnesses for the
 defendant, as material witnesses were
 set forth in the affidavit filed with said
 motion and on which the same was
 based.

Second—The Court erred in disal-
 lowing defendant's challenge to Juror
 John Willoughby, for reasons stated in
 the following grounds, to-wit:

First—The Court erred in overruling
 the motion for a continuance of said
 case to the next term of said court,
 made by defendant, on the ground of
 absence of material witnesses for the
 defendant, as material witnesses were
 set forth in the affidavit filed with said
 motion and on which the same was
 based.

Second—The Court erred in disal-
 lowing defendant's challenge to Juror
 John Willoughby, for reasons stated in
 the following grounds, to-wit:

First—The Court erred in overruling
 the motion for a continuance of said
 case to the next term of said court,
 made by defendant, on the ground of
 absence of material witnesses for the
 defendant, as material witnesses were
 set forth in the affidavit filed with said
 motion and on which the same was
 based.

Second—The Court erred in disal-
 lowing defendant's challenge to Juror
 John Willoughby, for reasons stated in
 the following grounds, to-wit:

First—The Court erred in overruling
 the motion for a continuance of said
 case to the next term of said court,
 made by defendant, on the ground of
 absence of material witnesses for the
 defendant, as material witnesses were
 set forth in the affidavit filed with said
 motion and on which the same was
 based.

Second—The Court erred in disal-
 lowing defendant's challenge to Juror
 John Willoughby, for reasons stated in
 the following grounds, to-wit:

First—The Court erred in overruling
 the motion for a continuance of said
 case to the next term of said court,
 made by defendant, on the ground of
 absence of material witnesses for the
 defendant, as material witnesses were
 set forth in the affidavit filed with said
 motion and on which the same was
 based.

Second—The Court erred in disal-
 lowing defendant's challenge to Juror
 John Willoughby, for reasons stated in
 the following grounds, to-wit:

First—The Court erred in overruling
 the motion for a continuance of said
 case to the next term of said court,
 made by defendant, on the ground of
 absence of material witnesses for the
 defendant, as material witnesses were
 set forth in the affidavit filed with said
 motion and on which the same was
 based.

Second—The Court erred in disal-
 lowing defendant's challenge to Juror
 John Willoughby, for reasons stated in
 the following grounds, to-wit:

First—The Court erred in overruling
 the motion for a continuance of said
 case to the next term of said court,
 made by defendant, on the ground of
 absence of material witnesses for the
 defendant, as material witnesses were
 set forth in the affidavit filed with said
 motion and on which the same was
 based.

Second—The Court erred in disal-
 lowing defendant's challenge to Juror
 John Willoughby, for reasons stated in
 the following grounds, to-wit:

First—The Court erred in overruling
 the motion for a continuance of said
 case to the next term of said court,
 made by defendant, on the ground of
 absence of material witnesses for the
 defendant, as material witnesses were
 set forth in the affidavit filed with said
 motion and on which the same was
 based.

Second—The Court erred in disal-
 lowing defendant's challenge to Juror
 John Willoughby, for reasons stated in
 the following grounds, to-wit:

First—The Court erred in overruling
 the motion for a continuance of said
 case to the next term of said court,
 made by defendant, on the ground of
 absence of material witnesses for the
 defendant, as material witnesses were
 set forth in the affidavit filed with said
 motion and on which the same was
 based.

Second—The Court erred in disal-
 lowing defendant's challenge to Juror
 John Willoughby, for reasons stated in
 the following grounds, to-wit:

First—The Court erred in overruling
 the motion for a continuance of said
 case to the next term of said court,
 made by defendant, on the ground of
 absence of material witnesses for the
 defendant, as material witnesses were
 set forth in the affidavit filed with said
 motion and on which the same was
 based.

Second—The Court erred in disal-
 lowing defendant's challenge to Juror
 John Willoughby, for reasons stated in
 the following grounds, to-wit:

First—The Court erred in overruling
 the motion for a continuance of said
 case to the next term of said court,
 made by defendant, on the ground of
 absence of material witnesses for the
 defendant, as material witnesses were
 set forth in the affidavit filed with said
 motion and on which the same was
 based.

Second—The Court erred in disal-
 lowing defendant's challenge to Juror
 John Willoughby, for reasons stated in
 the following grounds, to-wit:

First—The Court erred in overruling
 the motion for a continuance of said
 case to the next term of said court,
 made by defendant, on the ground of
 absence of material witnesses for the
 defendant, as material witnesses were
 set forth in the affidavit filed with said
 motion and on which the same was
 based.

Second—The Court erred in disal-
 lowing defendant's challenge to Juror
 John Willoughby, for reasons stated in
 the following grounds, to-wit:

First—The Court erred in overruling
 the motion for a continuance of said
 case to the next term of said court,
 made by defendant, on the ground of
 absence of material witnesses for the
 defendant, as material witnesses were
 set forth in the affidavit filed with said
 motion and on which the same was
 based.

Second—The Court erred in disal-
 lowing defendant's challenge to Juror
 John Willoughby, for reasons stated in
 the following grounds, to-wit:

First—The Court erred in overruling
 the motion for a continuance of said
 case to the next term of said court,
 made by defendant, on the ground of
 absence of material witnesses for the
 defendant, as material witnesses were
 set forth in the affidavit filed with said
 motion and on which the same was
 based.

Second—The Court erred in disal-
 lowing defendant's challenge to Juror
 John Willoughby, for reasons stated in
 the following grounds, to-wit:

First—The Court erred in overruling
 the motion for a continuance of said
 case to the next term of said court,
 made by defendant, on the ground of
 absence of material witnesses for the
 defendant, as material witnesses were
 set forth in the affidavit filed with said
 motion and on which the same was
 based.

Second—The Court erred in disal-
 lowing defendant's challenge to Juror
 John Willoughby, for reasons stated in
 the following grounds, to-wit:

First—The Court erred in overruling
 the motion for a continuance of said
 case to the next term of said court,
 made by defendant, on the ground of
 absence of material witnesses for the
 defendant, as material witnesses were
 set forth in the affidavit filed with said
 motion and on which the same was
 based.

Second—The Court erred in disal-
 lowing defendant's challenge to Juror
 John Willoughby, for reasons stated in
 the following grounds, to-wit:

First—The Court erred in overruling
 the motion for a continuance of said
 case to the next term of said court,
 made by defendant, on the ground of
 absence of material witnesses for the
 defendant, as material witnesses were
 set forth in the affidavit filed with said
 motion and on which the same was
 based.

Second—The Court erred in disal-
 lowing defendant's challenge to Juror
 John Willoughby, for reasons stated in
 the following grounds, to-wit:

First—The Court erred in overruling
 the motion for a continuance of said
 case to the next term of said court,
 made by defendant, on the ground of
 absence of material witnesses for the
 defendant, as material witnesses were
 set forth in the affidavit filed with said
 motion and on which the same was
 based.

Second—The Court erred in disal-
 lowing defendant's challenge to Juror
 John Willoughby, for reasons stated in
 the following grounds, to-wit:

First—The Court erred in overruling
 the motion for a continuance of said
 case to the next term of said court,
 made by defendant, on the ground of
 absence of material witnesses for the
 defendant, as material witnesses were
 set forth in the affidavit filed with said
 motion and on which the same was
 based.

Second—The Court erred in disal-
 lowing defendant's challenge to Juror
 John Willoughby, for reasons stated in
 the following grounds, to-wit:

First—The Court erred in overruling
 the motion for a continuance of said
 case to the next term of said court,
 made by defendant, on the ground of
 absence of material witnesses for the
 defendant, as material witnesses were
 set forth in the affidavit filed with said
 motion and on which the same was
 based.

Second—The Court erred in disal-
 lowing defendant's challenge to Juror
 John Willoughby, for reasons stated in
 the following grounds, to-wit:

First—The Court erred in overruling
 the motion for a continuance of said
 case to the next term of said court,
 made by defendant, on the ground of
 absence of material witnesses for the
 defendant, as material witnesses were
 set forth in the affidavit filed with said
 motion and on which the same was
 based.

Second—The Court erred in disal-
 lowing defendant's challenge to Juror
 John Willoughby, for reasons stated in
 the following grounds, to-wit:

First—The Court erred in overruling
 the motion for a continuance of said
 case to the next term of said court,
 made by defendant, on the ground of
 absence of material witnesses for the
 defendant, as material witnesses were
 set forth in the affidavit filed with said
 motion and on which the same was
 based.

Second—The Court erred in disal-
 lowing defendant's challenge to Juror
 John Willoughby, for reasons stated in
 the following grounds, to-wit:

First—The Court erred in overruling
 the motion for a continuance of said
 case to the next term of said court,
 made by defendant, on the ground of
 absence of material witnesses for the
 defendant, as material witnesses were
 set forth in the affidavit filed with said
 motion and on which the same was
 based.

Second—The Court erred in disal-
 lowing defendant's challenge to Juror
 John Willoughby, for reasons stated in
 the following grounds, to-wit:

First—The Court erred in overruling
 the motion for a continuance of said
 case to the next term of said court,
 made by defendant, on the ground of
 absence of material witnesses for the
 defendant, as material witnesses were
 set forth in the affidavit filed with said
 motion and on which the same was
 based.

Second—The Court erred in disal-
 lowing defendant's challenge to Juror
 John Willoughby, for reasons stated in
 the following grounds, to-wit:

First—The Court erred in overruling
 the motion for a continuance of said
 case to the next term of said court,
 made by defendant, on the ground of
 absence of material witnesses for the
 defendant, as material witnesses were
 set forth in the affidavit filed with said
 motion and on which the same was
 based.

Second—The Court erred in disal-
 lowing