

DESERET EVENING NEWS.

VOL. IX.

SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH TERRITORY, SATURDAY EVENING, JANUARY 15, 1876.

NO. 45.

TRUTH AND LIBERTY.

WASHINGTON HOUSE

By Telegraph.

PEL WESTERN UNION TEL. LINE.

Last Night's Dispatches.

CONGRESSIONAL.

HOUSE.

The Voting on the Amnesty Bill.

WASHINGTON, 14.—The following is the negative vote of the Amnesty bill—*G.* A. Bayley, Baker of N.Y., B. B. Bishop, B. C. Bowen, Burchard of Ill., Connel, Cannon, Cassin, Covington, Dampier, Davis, Denison, Douglass, Durrell, Eames, Evans, Fort, Foster, Freeman, Frost, Frye, Garfield, Hale, Harlan, Hays, Hethorne, Haymond, Hendee, Hoadley, Hoyt, Hoge, Haskins, Hubbard, Hunter, Hubbard, Hyman, Joyce, Kasson, Ketchum, King, Lapham, Lawrence, Leavenworth, Lynch, Mygatt, McDougal, McCleary, McLean, Mervin, North, Oliver, Osler, Packard, Plaist, Purman, Robinson, Ross of Pa., Rust, Samson, Sinnock, Smalls, Smith of Pa., Starkweather, Strait, Stowell, Thurber, Townsend, A. T. Wade, Wadsworth, Wadsworth, W. H. Williams, W. C. Wallace of Pa., Weller, Wheeler, White, Whiting, Willard, Williams of Wis., Williams of Mich., Wilson, of Iowa, Wood, of Pa., and Wadsworth, 97.

The members who voted ay were: Alderson, Kelly, Ladd, of Ind.; Cottrell, Mackay, of S.C.; Morey, Pierce, Seeley, 12.

On the result being announced.

Blaine took the floor, and, by motion to reconsider, obtained the right to speak.

Randall—“You must proceed in order.”

Blaine—“Do I understand the gentleman to object?”

Randall—“I have the right to speak, reply to your remarks.”

Blaine—“I ask unanimous consent to offer this bill, yielding at the same time to any gentleman who desires to move to strike out the exclusion of Jeff. Davis.”

Randall—“It is not in order.”

Blaine—“It is by unanimous consent.”

Banks—“The bill is not before the House.”

Blaine—“It can be done by unanimous consent.”

Banks—“Not even by unanimous consent.”

Blaine—“Oh! nonsense, what is there to prevent it?”

Banks—“Is the rule of the House preventing it?”

Blaine—“Is it because this motion to reconsider is pending?”

Randall—“The gentleman knows he is out of order.”

Blaine—“I’m what?”

Randall—“The gentleman knows he has not the right to offer that amendment; I having objected to it.”

Blaine—“Well, I have the right to talk about it.”

Randall—“Then go ahead and talk about it.”

Robbins demanded to be heard on some of its erring citizens, who were fawning back to be re-clothed, rehabilitated, with the full rights of a good citizen.

Blaine—“The better part of wisdom is to make the best of bad fortune.”

Randall—“The gentleman’s amendment was better than the gentleman’s in another respect.”

The gentleman’s (Banks) amendment allowed the cause to be taken in any state court of record in a person’s name, and not in the name of a member of the chief parliamentary division of his friend from Pa. Randall, was that through the extreme use of that power he had prevented the consideration of that bill. They designed no such process as they did, but the side of the bill was not to be obstructed.

Blaine—“They hoped not to delay legislation by any of those means with which the last House was so familiar; but they had the right to filibuster, although the civil rights bill, which was designed to give the rights of manhood to colored men, had been ready to be reported from a committee.”

Blaine—“It is not in order.”

Randall made a point of order that Blaine had no right to offer that amendment at this stage.

Blaine—“Does the gentleman object?”

Randall—“You must proceed in order.”

Blaine—“Do I understand the gentleman to object?”

Randall—“I have the right to speak, reply to your remarks.”

Blaine—“I ask unanimous consent to offer this bill, yielding at the same time to any gentleman who desires to move to strike out the exclusion of Jeff. Davis.”

Randall—“It is not in order.”

Blaine—“It is by unanimous consent.”

Banks—“The bill is not before the House.”

Blaine—“It can be done by unanimous consent.”

Banks—“Not even by unanimous consent.”

Blaine—“Oh! nonsense, what is there to prevent it?”

Banks—“Is the rule of the House preventing it?”

Blaine—“Is it because this motion to reconsider is pending?”

Randall—“The gentleman knows he is out of order.”

Blaine—“I’m what?”

Randall—“The gentleman knows he has not the right to offer that amendment; I having objected to it.”

Blaine—“Well, I have the right to talk about it.”

Randall—“Then go ahead and talk about it.”

Robbins demanded to be heard on some of its erring citizens, who were fawning back to be re-clothed, rehabilitated, with the full rights of a good citizen.

Blaine—“The better part of wisdom is to make the best of bad fortune.”

Randall—“The gentleman’s amendment was better than the gentleman’s in another respect.”

The gentleman’s (Banks) amendment allowed the cause to be taken in any state court of record in a person’s name, and not in the name of a member of the chief parliamentary division of his friend from Pa. Randall, was that through the extreme use of that power he had prevented the consideration of that bill. They designed no such process as they did, but the side of the bill was not to be obstructed.

Blaine—“They hoped not to delay legislation by any of those means with which the last House was so familiar; but they had the right to filibuster, although the civil rights bill, which was designed to give the rights of manhood to colored men, had been ready to be reported from a committee.”

Blaine—“It is not in order.”

Randall made a point of order that Blaine had no right to offer that amendment at this stage.

Blaine—“Does the gentleman object?”

Randall—“You must proceed in order.”

Blaine—“Do I understand the gentleman to object?”

Randall—“I have the right to speak, reply to your remarks.”

Blaine—“I ask unanimous consent to offer this bill, yielding at the same time to any gentleman who desires to move to strike out the exclusion of Jeff. Davis.”

Randall—“It is not in order.”

Blaine—“It is by unanimous consent.”

Banks—“The bill is not before the House.”

Blaine—“It can be done by unanimous consent.”

Banks—“Not even by unanimous consent.”

Blaine—“Oh! nonsense, what is there to prevent it?”

Banks—“Is the rule of the House preventing it?”

Blaine—“Is it because this motion to reconsider is pending?”

Randall—“The gentleman knows he is out of order.”

Blaine—“I’m what?”

Randall—“The gentleman knows he has not the right to offer that amendment; I having objected to it.”

Blaine—“Well, I have the right to talk about it.”

Randall—“Then go ahead and talk about it.”

Robbins demanded to be heard on some of its erring citizens, who were fawning back to be re-clothed, rehabilitated, with the full rights of a good citizen.

Blaine—“The better part of wisdom is to make the best of bad fortune.”

Randall—“The gentleman’s amendment was better than the gentleman’s in another respect.”

The gentleman’s (Banks) amendment allowed the cause to be taken in any state court of record in a person’s name, and not in the name of a member of the chief parliamentary division of his friend from Pa. Randall, was that through the extreme use of that power he had prevented the consideration of that bill. They designed no such process as they did, but the side of the bill was not to be obstructed.

Blaine—“They hoped not to delay legislation by any of those means with which the last House was so familiar; but they had the right to filibuster, although the civil rights bill, which was designed to give the rights of manhood to colored men, had been ready to be reported from a committee.”

Blaine—“It is not in order.”

Randall made a point of order that Blaine had no right to offer that amendment at this stage.

Blaine—“Does the gentleman object?”

Randall—“You must proceed in order.”

Blaine—“Do I understand the gentleman to object?”

Randall—“I have the right to speak, reply to your remarks.”

Blaine—“I ask unanimous consent to offer this bill, yielding at the same time to any gentleman who desires to move to strike out the exclusion of Jeff. Davis.”

Randall—“It is not in order.”

Blaine—“It is by unanimous consent.”

Banks—“The bill is not before the House.”

Blaine—“It can be done by unanimous consent.”

Banks—“Not even by unanimous consent.”

Blaine—“Oh! nonsense, what is there to prevent it?”

Banks—“Is the rule of the House preventing it?”

Blaine—“Is it because this motion to reconsider is pending?”

Randall—“The gentleman knows he is out of order.”

Blaine—“I’m what?”

Randall—“The gentleman knows he has not the right to offer that amendment; I having objected to it.”

Blaine—“Well, I have the right to talk about it.”

Randall—“Then go ahead and talk about it.”

Robbins demanded to be heard on some of its erring citizens, who were fawning back to be re-clothed, rehabilitated, with the full rights of a good citizen.

Blaine—“The better part of wisdom is to make the best of bad fortune.”

Randall—“The gentleman’s amendment was better than the gentleman’s in another respect.”

The gentleman’s (Banks) amendment allowed the cause to be taken in any state court of record in a person’s name, and not in the name of a member of the chief parliamentary division of his friend from Pa. Randall, was that through the extreme use of that power he had prevented the consideration of that bill. They designed no such process as they did, but the side of the bill was not to be obstructed.

Blaine—“They hoped not to delay legislation by any of those means with which the last House was so familiar; but they had the right to filibuster, although the civil rights bill, which was designed to give the rights of manhood to colored men, had been ready to be reported from a committee.”

Blaine—“It is not in order.”

Randall made a point of order that Blaine had no right to offer that amendment at this stage.

Blaine—“Does the gentleman object?”

Randall—“You must proceed in order.”

Blaine—“Do I understand the gentleman to object?”

Randall—“I have the right to speak, reply to your remarks.”

Blaine—“I ask unanimous consent to offer this bill, yielding at the same time to any gentleman who desires to move to strike out the exclusion of Jeff. Davis.”

Randall—“It is not in order.”

Blaine—“It is by unanimous consent.”

Banks—“The bill is not before the House.”

Blaine—“It can be done by unanimous consent.”

Banks—“Not even by unanimous consent.”

Blaine—“Oh! nonsense, what is there to prevent it?”

Banks—“Is the rule of the House preventing it?”

Blaine—“Is it because this motion to reconsider is pending?”

Randall—“The gentleman knows he is out of order.”

Blaine—“I’m what?”

Randall—“The gentleman knows he has not the right to offer that amendment; I having objected to it.”

Blaine—“Well, I have the right to talk about it.”

Randall—“Then go ahead and talk about it.”

Robbins demanded to be heard on some of its erring citizens, who were fawning back to be re-clothed, rehabilitated, with the full rights of a good citizen.

Blaine—“The better part of wisdom is to make the best of bad fortune.”

Randall—“The gentleman’s amendment was better than the gentleman’s in another respect.”

The gentleman’s (Banks) amendment allowed the cause to be taken in any state court of record in a person’s name, and not in the name of a member of the chief parliamentary division of his friend from Pa. Randall, was that through the extreme use of that power he had prevented the consideration of that bill. They designed no such process as they did, but the side of the bill was not to be obstructed.

Blaine—“They hoped not to delay legislation by any of those means with which the last House was so familiar; but they had the right to filibuster, although the civil rights bill, which was designed to give the rights of manhood to colored men, had been ready to be reported from a committee.”

Blaine—“It is not in order.”

Randall made a point of order that Blaine had no right to offer that amendment at this stage.

Blaine—“Does the gentleman object?”

Randall—“You must proceed in order.”