

Kinney and others for a franchise to build and operate a street railway on May Street in North Salt Lake be not granted; that the petition of H. Pembroke for a bridge at the corner of First West and Ninth South streets be granted; that the petition asking that Second South Street, between Eleventh and Twelfth East, be graded, be granted. In the matter of the petition of John W. Young, asking the Council to direct the Utah Western Railway Company where to lay its track on Fourth West Street, the committee recommended that Mr. Young be allowed to cross the Union Pacific company's coal spur in the vicinity of North Temple street, to the west side of the Union Pacific track, thence north on the west side of that track to Second North, thence crossing the Union Pacific track near Second North, thence north along Fourth West, parallel with and east of the Union Pacific tracks, over such portion of said Fourth West street as the city may have a vested right in to Ninth North to connect with the Utah Central line. In regard to the petition of William Burt, asking that the grade of the street between Third and Fourth South and Main and West Temple be established, the committee recommended that the city engineer be instructed to do the work.

Only two members of the street committee signed this report and it was necessary to suspend the rules to adopt it. The other members of the committee are out of the Territory.

Pending the consideration of the report, a debate occurred on that portion of it which prescribes the route of the Utah Central on Fourth West Street. Hon. John W. Young was present and addressed the Council in favor of the adoption of the recommendations of the committee.

The committee on cemetery to whom had been referred the bill of Tullidge & Co. of \$158 for papering and painting the lodge at the cemetery, reported that the expense had been incurred without the authority of the council and that the committee did not feel disposed to make any recommendation. A debate about paying the bill, or letting Sexton Dunn pay it out of his own pocket, occurred, and was ended by the adoption of a motion to table the matter till next meeting.

The committee on license, to whom was referred the petition of Alfred Solomon and others asking that the licence of the Eastern Oil Company be revoked, reported that the company named had complied with all the requirements of law and acting under the permission granted them by the city, had expended several thousand dollars in constructing buildings, etc. The owners of property abutting on the company's premises did not object to the existence of the storehouse, and in view of all the circumstances they recommended that the license be not revoked. Adopted.

The same committee recommended that free licenses to peddle be granted to Joseph Plant and Mathias Nielson; that the petition

of James Bogan for permission to continue his fruit stand on the Wasatch corner be not granted; that the petitions of M. Frunick to run a lunch stand on Second South Street and of O. Smith to continue his stand on Smith's corner be not granted; that the petition of P. W. Marion and Peter Condes for peddlers' licenses be granted. Adopted.

The same committee reported back without recommendation, the petition of John Haggerty to be allowed to maintain a boot black stand at Smith's dry goods store corner, as no question of license was involved in it. After a debate on the subject of allowing such stands on the sidewalk, the petition was denied.

A number of applications for peddler's license were disposed of, some being granted and others refused.

The board of public works reported that bids for the work to be done on Commercial Street had been opened and the lowest found to be as follows: For paving the street, the Utah Asphalt Company; curbing and guttering, Grand, Redman & Christie; cement sidewalks, Houlihan & Griffiths. The council made an order requiring the board of public works to close contracts with these parties and take their bonds.

The street committee offered a resolution requiring the city engineer to change the grade of South Temple Street at certain points, so that the transverse grade should not exceed three per cent. After some debate the resolution was tabled till next meeting.

The street committee recommended that notice of intention to pave First East Street be published. A debate ensued in which it was shown that, on the basis of bids that had been received, the estimates of the cost made by the city engineer were too low, and on motion of Pembroke he was directed to prepare new estimates.

A bill for an ordinance laid over from last meeting, supplementary to the ordinance establishing a board of public works, was taken up. It provides that hereafter such work as laying water and sewer mains, grading streets, etc., shall be done by contract to the lowest responsible bidder. Without Pembroke's vote the bill would have been lost, and he insisted that it go over till next meeting, and the Council was compelled to yield or lose the bill. It was in vain that City Attorney Merritt explained the necessity and advantages of the measure.

The following appropriations were made:

Salaries.....	\$10,000
Waterworks.....	10,000
Mount & Griffith.....	1,200
J. Silver.....	123
Thomas Henderson.....	4,000
C. O'Keefe.....	10
F. W. Dennis.....	120
J. F. Brim.....	230

MISS OLSEN'S EXAMINATION.

Two o'clock October 2nd was the hour set for the examination of Miss Amanda Olson, charged with the murder of Frank C. Hall. It was generally known that the grand jury began the investigation

of the case yesterday afternoon, and the expectation was that a preliminary examination would be dispensed with.

At the hour named the county attorney filed a motion for a continuance till tomorrow, supported by an affidavit showing that the grand jury were engaged in investigating the case. In support of the motion, he stated that time and expense would be saved by leaving the case to be dealt with directly by the grand jury.

Judge Powers opposed the motion, urging that the defendant was entitled to ascertain without delay whether or not the offense was bailable, to cross-examine the witnesses for the prosecution, and to have a record made of their testimony.

Justice Laney held that it was not proper for this court to take cognizance of what the grand jury were doing, as their proceedings were supposed to be secret, and that it was not proper to omit a preliminary examination in such a case. He overruled the motion for a continuance.

A wait occurred while a stenographer was sent for, and then there was another for the appearance of the prisoner.

At 3:15 Miss Olson entered the court room and took a seat beside Judge Powers.

She is of petite stature, of a slight, frail looking form, and her age is given as twenty-three. She has rather a large, but well formed head, which is covered with an abundant growth of brown hair. Her features are expressive and mobile, and her eyes are large, blue and beautiful, and indicate a tender and affectionate nature. The size and form of the nose indicate force of character, but the mouth is the feature which most shows determination. As she sat down her lips closed with an expression of marked resolution, called up by the ordeal she was facing. Her demeanor was perfectly calm and composed.

Mrs. Ann Hart was the first witness for the prosecution. She is somewhat deaf. Mr. Eichnor put the questions to her. She testified to having been acquainted with Frank C. Hall about two years. She detailed the circumstances and particulars of the shooting as they have been made familiar to the public. She was on the stand when our report closed, and up to that time nothing new was learned from her. Her cross-examination by Judge Powers had not begun.

Under cross-examination by Judge Powers the witness testified that she had lived here eighteen years and was a widow, her husband having died four years ago. For two years prior to his death, Mr. Hall and his two children boarded with her. Recently the Olsons had moved around the corner on Sixth Street, but previous to that they had lived across the street from her. The defendant and Mr. Hall became acquainted about two years ago, through the witness. Hall