

told by a reliable person that the case was a clear one, and while the testimony compelled him to acquit he still believed the word of this "reliable person" not named.

THE TERRITORIAL FAIR.

Following are the prizes awarded by the judges in the fruit and flower departments at the Territorial Fair:

CLASS F, FRUITS AND FLOWERS.

Lars Jensen, Mill Creek, finest quality apples, \$10; Joseph Wadley, Pleasant Grove, second, \$5.

Joseph Wadley, Pleasant Grove, largest variety apples, \$5; Lars Jensen, Mill Creek, second, \$2.50.

James King, East Mill Creek, finest quality peaches, \$10, Best, Terry & Woodruff, special, \$10; W. Wright, Centerville, second, \$5.

James King, East Mill Creek, largest variety peaches, \$5; W. Wright, Centerville, second, \$2.50.

M. Christopherson, city, finest quality pears, \$10; Joseph Wadley, Pleasant Grove, second, \$5.

H. O. Heath, city, largest variety pears, \$5; James King, East Mill Creek, second, \$2.50.

W. Horsley & Sons, Brigham City, finest quality grapes, \$10; William Wadley, Pleasant Grove, second, \$5.

M. J. McNiel, Bountiful, largest variety grapes, \$5; W. Horsley & Sons, Brigham City, second, \$2.50.

Joseph Wadley, Pleasant Grove, finest quality plums, \$10; James King, East Mill Creek, second, \$5.

James King, East Mill Creek, largest variety plums, \$5; Joseph Wadley, Pleasant Grove, second, \$2.50.

James King, East Mill Creek, best collection apricots, \$3.

William Wadley, Pleasant Grove, best collection quinces, \$3.

James King, East Mill Creek, best collection small fruits, \$10.

Mrs. C. H. Roberts, Bountiful, finest variety and quality sun-dried fruits, \$5.

Wm. Templeman, Mill Creek, finest variety and quality evaporated fruits, \$5.

Lars Jensen, Mill Creek, best twenty-five pounds sun-dried apples, \$5.

Wm. Templeman, Mill Creek, best twenty-five pounds evaporated apples, \$5.

C. H. Roberts, Bountiful, best twenty-five pounds sun-dried peaches, \$5.

C. H. Roberts, Bountiful, best twenty-five pounds sun-dried peeled peaches, \$5.

C. H. Roberts, Bountiful, best twenty-five pounds sun-dried plums, \$5.

Ruth McKean, city, best quality and variety canned fruits, gold medal and \$10; Katie McAllister, city, second, silver medal and \$5.

Ruth McKean, city, finest quality and variety jams and jellies, \$5; C. D. Lewis second, \$2.50.

Keenan & McCready, city, best collection pickles, \$5; catsup, \$5.

William Wadley, Pleasant Grove, finest quality and variety of fruits, gold medal and \$10; H. W. Lawrence special, \$15; C. B. Durst special, one chest tea; Joseph Wadley second, \$5.

Ruth McKean, city, best home-made pickles, Little-Roundy special, hanging lamp.

William Wadley, Pleasant Grove, best collection Utah county raised fruit, B. R. Eldredge special, stove valued at

\$26.50; Joseph Wadley second, stove valued at \$17.50.

M. Christopherson, city, largest variety fruit trees, H. Denhalter special, \$5.

Smith Brothers, Centerville, best quality fruit trees, Denhalter special, \$10.

A. J. Pendleton, city, best peach orchard, F. Auerbach special, \$10.

Louis Taggle, Mill Creek, second crop strawberries, Coy special, \$5.

W. P. Woodruff, city, display picked fruit, \$5. JOHN H. WOODBURY.

FLOWERS.

M. Christopherson, city, best collection flowering plants in bloom, \$20, and Lawrence special, \$15, best collection begonias, \$3; chrysanthemums, \$3; roses, \$3; second best collection cut flowers, \$5.

John Reading, city, second best collection flowering plants in bloom, \$10.

John Reading, city, best exhibit of smilax, \$3.

John Reading, city, best exhibit of fuchsias, \$3.

John Reading, city, best exhibit of callas, \$3.

C. H. Blomsterberg, Provo, best collection of foliage plants, gold medal and \$5.

C. H. Blomsterberg, Provo, best collection of foliage plants, H. B. Lawrence special, \$10.

C. H. Blomsterberg, Provo, best collection of palms, \$3.

C. Cramer, city, second best collection of foliage plants, \$5.

C. Cramer, city, best collection of geraniums, \$3.

C. Cramer, city, best collection of ferns, \$3.

C. Cramer, city, best collection of neanders, \$3.

C. Cramer, city, best collection of carnations, \$3.

James King, East Mill Creek, best collection of cut flowers, \$10.

James King, East Mill Creek, best design of cut flowers, \$3.

James King, East Mill Creek, best collection of pansies, \$3.

G. G. Peery, city, special mention for preserved flowers.

M. Christopherson, city, collection of dabbias, silver medal.

E. S. RICHARDSON,
MRS. L. E. HOLDEN.

THE BOWMAN CASE.

When the Third District court had been formally opened this morning, Attorney F. S. Richards mentioned the case of Robert Bowman, convicted recently on an indictment charging him with unlawful cohabitation, sentenced to pay a fine of \$100 and to be imprisoned for six months. Counsel said he desired now to apply for a modification of the sentence, being persuaded that there had been some mistake as to the real status of the case or the disposition of the defendant at the time punishment was imposed upon him by the court. Mr. Bowman was very hard of hearing and showed that he did not understand fully the questions propounded to him by his Honor. He did not, according to his statement since, know that he was required by law to live with his first wife, but had the impression that he might live with

either of them, so long as he kept to that one. By mutual agreement between himself and his first wife, he had arranged to live with his second, owing to certain circumstances. It seemed that she had a farm, and required assistance in its management; for that reason Mr. Bowman arranged to reside with her altogether. He had done this exclusively for more than a year just prior to his recent trial. He had all along supposed that he was complying with the requirements of the law, but now realized his mistake and was ready to promise to faithfully obey the law as construed by the court. The two wives were present and would answer any questions put to them.

The Judge—Under the statute of 1887 adultery is one of the worst of crimes in the eye of the law, and I assume that if this defendant was living with his second wife he was living in adultery with her, and ought to have known better than that.

Mr. Richards—It appears from his statement that he did not know better. He is present here this morning and so is his lawful wife.

The Judge—Well, let him stand up. He appeared certainly to be very much confused when before the court for sentence.

Mr. Richards—He tells me he did not then understand the interrogations of the court. His legal wife tells me that the only reason for his going to the house to which she lives, on one occasion, was because one of his daughters was lying sick there, and at the point of death, and he went there to sit up with her.

In reply to the court, defendant said he had only these two wives. He married the first wife about thirty years ago, and the second, Amelia Johnson, about six years ago. He was under the impression that he was allowed to live with either one he pleased, so long as he kept to that one. He was 59 years of age.

Judge Zane—You knew there were a great many men being prosecuted and punished for unlawful cohabitation, didn't you?

Defendant—Yes, sir.

Q.—And you knew that quite a good many were being punished for adultery?

A.—Yes, I saw it in the papers.

Q.—Well, when you learned that, why didn't you quit? This not only continued after you must have known it was contrary to the law, but you continued it after the Church to which you belong had changed its doctrine, as I understand, upon the subject of polygamy and unlawful cohabitation, as being against the law. Do you understand that the doctrine of the Church now forbids you violating the law of entering into polygamy or practicing unlawful cohabitation? You understand that now better than you did.

A.—Yes.

Do you understand now that both the law and your Church compel you to live with your first wife, and that you cannot live with your second?

A.—Yes, sir.

Q.—Well, what is your intention with respect to the future?

A.—I will obey the law faithfully.

Q.—And will not associate with your second wife in any way, that is to say as a wife?

A.—No, sir, I will not.