

SECOND EDITION.

Copy Advertisements which are inserted to appear in the Deseret News on the day when the ad is to be sold in the business department and later than the 10th day of the month preceding the date of issue application.

For other publications apply as above.

TERMINUS. — APRIL 19, 1892.

FRAGMENTS.

Just McLain was arrested by Sergeant Shatto for disturbing the peace.

Secretary of commerce has transmitted to the United States committee against child labor.

Two American Gas company took several Indiana gas companies to its wells at Lake Huron yesterday. An order was issued.

An invention of a little boy who has been granted a patent in the name of William Higley, an Fourth Street citizen, was sent to the police commissioners yesterday.

At a meeting of the Chamber of Commerce, Mr. George C. Ladd, president of that organization, decided to authorize E. H. Sherry to have the Teamsters hold their annual convention in Salt Lake City this year.

George W. Johnson (Wednesday), the President of Education, will at his office at Main street, begin the reorganization of the school system of Salt Lake City, and the new school year will have all been made ready from those whom they were collected.

It is said that the Blue Spruce Western will be given up to the public in a few days along with the rest of its line as a choice of no more. This will be to prevent accidents and to make the line safe. If the scheme is carried out, many savings and expenses will be saved.

Answer to the type writer test may have been given by George G. Clegg, General manager of the Salt Lake City Company, regarding the demands of the Y.M.C.A. members, who have agreed to give \$100,000 to the city. The evidence will be given to the public in a few days and promises to prove interesting reading.

The contract to lay the water and sewer lines between J. W. Farwell & Co. of this city. Four sets of sewer pipes and these will be laid in the streets. The water will be given out at an average from forty to one hundred gallons of water each per minute.

The City Council will soon consider the various properties to be an increasing cost. The barriers of the city have engaged at once to prevent the increase of the cost of living. The city is expected to keep the cost down on living.

The action of the city council will be given in the discussion of the remarks made by him on the subject.

ANSEL L. BADGER

Appears From Salt Lake, Leaving Behind His Heavy Detachments.

Judge Zane has issued an order for the arrest of Ansel L. Badger, a young man who at one time interested himself in this city. Somewhat like him who he is now is Salt Lake from Colorado, and has established himself as a popular favorite among all to whom he became known. He was eventually appointed secretary of the Salt Lake City Company, and for a time things went on well. But, while the books of the concern showed that an excellent business was being done, the man failed to prove a corresponding increase. There grew up a feeling of dissatisfaction among some of the shareholders, and as the result of this, it was decided to dislodge him. It was decided to dislodge him, and that it was that Badger, having behind him wife and child, departed rather hastily from the city. An investigation of the books failed to reveal any wrongdoing, and expert accountant revealed the fact that there is a deduction stated to amount to less than 25%.

As a result of this, he turned to friends some of his friends that he contemplated a trip to Venezuela, but as to his present whereabouts there appears to be no one. Every effort will be made to find him, and the United States officers, armed with Judge Zane's warrant, to bring the fugitive to bay.

A Fierce Disturber.

Ephraim Madsen, a tall, sharp-shouldered fellow about 30 years of age, living in North East street, was arrested last night by Deputy Marshal Will Gould on a charge of disturbing the peace. The defendant, it is latterly given his name as a drayman, and was engaged in driving a team of horses. When taken before Commissioner Greenway this morning he pleaded guilty and was fined \$50 and costs, amounting in all to \$54. He was ordered to satisfy this sum to the court, and the county jail, where his services will be provided for him.

Half a Hundred.

Our esteemed friend Brother Duncan M. McAllister, completed his half century of mortal life yesterday, April 18th. Mrs. M., and a number of "Mack's" old friends sprung up in the rooms of the funeral parlor in the Constitution block, on Friday, April 12th, of whom the latter is worthy of active respect shown him, and we join with the crowd in wishing him many happy returns of health, even though the extent of another half century should be denied him and the Lord be willing.

\$25,000 DAMAGES CLAIMED.

Few Injuries Sustained While Working in a Mine Near Park City.

VERDICT IN THE "WONDERLAND" DAMAGE CASE.

Trouble over a Building Contract—A Case Dismissed Without Prejudice.—Miscellaneous Business.

The first case on trial in Judge Anderson's court this morning was that of John G. Lindberg vs. the Crescent Mining Co.

The action was brought to recover damage to the sum of \$25,000, for personal injuries. The plaintiff was represented by Messrs. Brown and Henderson, Moors, Zane and Putnam appeared for the defendant company. Some time later, the case was dismissed to the court.

The plaintiff is about 27 years of age, and in December, 1891, was in the employ of the defendant, as a laborer or carman in their silver and gold mining interests near Park City. It was alleged that the plaintiff, on December 24th, in the year above named, was ordered by the officers in the company's service, to go into a mine on the mine grounds and clean out dirt therefrom. Further, it was stated that the plaintiff was unacquainted with the locality, and that the raise was improperly and insufficiently bonded, and that he was compelled to remain in the mine above named. A large amount of earth fell upon him and he was badly injured. He left the mine unacquainted with the injuries he had suffered, and is still unable to work at his occupation.

The defendant answered by denying that the plaintiff was not required, and that he was compelled to remain in the mine above named, because it was his duty to work in whatever part of these mines which he was assigned to do so. The plaintiff is now under medical treatment, and is still unable to work at his occupation.

The defendant answered by denying that the plaintiff was not required, and that he was compelled to remain in the mine above named, because it was his duty to work in whatever part of these mines which he was assigned to do so. The plaintiff is now under medical treatment, and is still unable to work at his occupation.

The plaintiff is about 27 years of age, and in December, 1891, was in the employ of the defendant, as a laborer or carman in their silver and gold mining interests near Park City. It was alleged that the plaintiff, on December 24th, in the year above named, was ordered by the officers in the company's service, to go into a mine on the mine grounds and clean out dirt therefrom. Further, it was stated that the plaintiff was unacquainted with the locality, and that the raise was improperly and insufficiently bonded, and that he was compelled to remain in the mine above named. A large amount of earth fell upon him and he was badly injured. He left the mine unacquainted with the injuries he had suffered, and is still unable to work at his occupation.

The defendant answered by denying that the plaintiff was not required, and that he was compelled to remain in the mine above named, because it was his duty to work in whatever part of these mines which he was assigned to do so. The plaintiff is now under medical treatment, and is still unable to work at his occupation.

The defendant answered by denying that the plaintiff was not required, and that he was compelled to remain in the mine above named, because it was his duty to work in whatever part of these mines which he was assigned to do so. The plaintiff is now under medical treatment, and is still unable to work at his occupation.

The defendant answered by denying that the plaintiff was not required, and that he was compelled to remain in the mine above named, because it was his duty to work in whatever part of these mines which he was assigned to do so. The plaintiff is now under medical treatment, and is still unable to work at his occupation.

The defendant answered by denying that the plaintiff was not required, and that he was compelled to remain in the mine above named, because it was his duty to work in whatever part of these mines which he was assigned to do so. The plaintiff is now under medical treatment, and is still unable to work at his occupation.

The defendant answered by denying that the plaintiff was not required, and that he was compelled to remain in the mine above named, because it was his duty to work in whatever part of these mines which he was assigned to do so. The plaintiff is now under medical treatment, and is still unable to work at his occupation.

The defendant answered by denying that the plaintiff was not required, and that he was compelled to remain in the mine above named, because it was his duty to work in whatever part of these mines which he was assigned to do so. The plaintiff is now under medical treatment, and is still unable to work at his occupation.

The defendant answered by denying that the plaintiff was not required, and that he was compelled to remain in the mine above named, because it was his duty to work in whatever part of these mines which he was assigned to do so. The plaintiff is now under medical treatment, and is still unable to work at his occupation.

The defendant answered by denying that the plaintiff was not required, and that he was compelled to remain in the mine above named, because it was his duty to work in whatever part of these mines which he was assigned to do so. The plaintiff is now under medical treatment, and is still unable to work at his occupation.

The defendant answered by denying that the plaintiff was not required, and that he was compelled to remain in the mine above named, because it was his duty to work in whatever part of these mines which he was assigned to do so. The plaintiff is now under medical treatment, and is still unable to work at his occupation.

The defendant answered by denying that the plaintiff was not required, and that he was compelled to remain in the mine above named, because it was his duty to work in whatever part of these mines which he was assigned to do so. The plaintiff is now under medical treatment, and is still unable to work at his occupation.

The defendant answered by denying that the plaintiff was not required, and that he was compelled to remain in the mine above named, because it was his duty to work in whatever part of these mines which he was assigned to do so. The plaintiff is now under medical treatment, and is still unable to work at his occupation.

The defendant answered by denying that the plaintiff was not required, and that he was compelled to remain in the mine above named, because it was his duty to work in whatever part of these mines which he was assigned to do so. The plaintiff is now under medical treatment, and is still unable to work at his occupation.

The defendant answered by denying that the plaintiff was not required, and that he was compelled to remain in the mine above named, because it was his duty to work in whatever part of these mines which he was assigned to do so. The plaintiff is now under medical treatment, and is still unable to work at his occupation.

The defendant answered by denying that the plaintiff was not required, and that he was compelled to remain in the mine above named, because it was his duty to work in whatever part of these mines which he was assigned to do so. The plaintiff is now under medical treatment, and is still unable to work at his occupation.

The defendant answered by denying that the plaintiff was not required, and that he was compelled to remain in the mine above named, because it was his duty to work in whatever part of these mines which he was assigned to do so. The plaintiff is now under medical treatment, and is still unable to work at his occupation.

The defendant answered by denying that the plaintiff was not required, and that he was compelled to remain in the mine above named, because it was his duty to work in whatever part of these mines which he was assigned to do so. The plaintiff is now under medical treatment, and is still unable to work at his occupation.

The defendant answered by denying that the plaintiff was not required, and that he was compelled to remain in the mine above named, because it was his duty to work in whatever part of these mines which he was assigned to do so. The plaintiff is now under medical treatment, and is still unable to work at his occupation.

The defendant answered by denying that the plaintiff was not required, and that he was compelled to remain in the mine above named, because it was his duty to work in whatever part of these mines which he was assigned to do so. The plaintiff is now under medical treatment, and is still unable to work at his occupation.

The defendant answered by denying that the plaintiff was not required, and that he was compelled to remain in the mine above named, because it was his duty to work in whatever part of these mines which he was assigned to do so. The plaintiff is now under medical treatment, and is still unable to work at his occupation.

The defendant answered by denying that the plaintiff was not required, and that he was compelled to remain in the mine above named, because it was his duty to work in whatever part of these mines which he was assigned to do so. The plaintiff is now under medical treatment, and is still unable to work at his occupation.

The defendant answered by denying that the plaintiff was not required, and that he was compelled to remain in the mine above named, because it was his duty to work in whatever part of these mines which he was assigned to do so. The plaintiff is now under medical treatment, and is still unable to work at his occupation.

The defendant answered by denying that the plaintiff was not required, and that he was compelled to remain in the mine above named, because it was his duty to work in whatever part of these mines which he was assigned to do so. The plaintiff is now under medical treatment, and is still unable to work at his occupation.

The defendant answered by denying that the plaintiff was not required, and that he was compelled to remain in the mine above named, because it was his duty to work in whatever part of these mines which he was assigned to do so. The plaintiff is now under medical treatment, and is still unable to work at his occupation.

The defendant answered by denying that the plaintiff was not required, and that he was compelled to remain in the mine above named, because it was his duty to work in whatever part of these mines which he was assigned to do so. The plaintiff is now under medical treatment, and is still unable to work at his occupation.

The defendant answered by denying that the plaintiff was not required, and that he was compelled to remain in the mine above named, because it was his duty to work in whatever part of these mines which he was assigned to do so. The plaintiff is now under medical treatment, and is still unable to work at his occupation.

THE CHOIR FESTIVAL.

Interesting Features of the Last Concert in the Tabernacle.

The very important event of our

year, the choir festival, upon our

stage last evening, was that we were enabled

to hear this fine musical band, known as the

choir of the Tabernacle, in concert with

our own choir, the Tabernacle choir.

The result was a most

interesting and

successful concert.

The Tabernacle choir,

which is composed of

the Tabernacle organists,

the Tabernacle organists,