

DESERET EVENING NEWS.

TRUTH AND LIBERTY.

5 O'clock EDITION.

20¢

THE DECISIONS

Handed Down in the Thiele
and Hasbrouck Cases.

THESE MUST BE HANGED,

In its Law Regarding the Doc-
tors in Trial.

IN THE SUPREME COURT

Decision Handled Cases Baffling that
Have Attacked a Choice Share
of Public Attention.

The following opinions were handed down by the Territorial Supreme court yesterday, in addition to those rendered in another column of this issue:

THREE OTHER CASES.

The People of the Territory vs.
Charles Thiele, defendant.

Thiele was found guilty on October
16, 1894, of the murder of his wife at
home, and on November 8th sentence
was passed, the matter for a new trial
and in arrest of judgment being now
exercised by Judge Smith, before whom
the trial had taken place. From this judgment overruling
the motion for a new trial, when
a new cause of error—previous
error in some evidence—was ad-
vanced.

THE PRIMARY ASSOCIATION.

Annual Conference in Salt Lake
City Today.

The semi-annual conference of the
Primary Association of Salt Lake City
was held in the Assembly Hall, March
10th. The attendance was large and
the program interesting.

President Clemon, Charlotte Lytle,
Wells, Margaret Clegg and M. L. May
were present.

The semi-annual report was read,
showing success in membership and
improvement in many ways.

Mrs. ELLIE CLEMON,
President.

THE WHISPERS, Secretary.

Alleged Malicious Arrest.

Harry J. Brown has begun suit
against P. S. Williamson and his associ-
ates, James McRae and W. A. Rogers,
in the Third district court, to recover
\$200 damages for malicious arrest.

McRae was formerly a resi-
dent of Salt Lake, but moved to Cle-
minton, Ohio, T. M. Williamson, the
latter, said Brown and obtained a
writ of habeas corpus against Cleminton,
Ohio, and Brown applied for a writ of
habeas corpus of him, which is now pend-
ing. A short time ago McRae came to Salt
Lake on a visit and remained two
weeks, and while about to leave, Williamson
and his associates took him into custody
without any probable cause or
body or officer. With this reason
and his opinion it would be a
manifest disregard of justice to hold
him in custody, so the attorney
of the court was requested to re-
lease him.

He failed to do so in the record
and accordingly affirmed the judge-
ment of the court below, remanding
the case to the lower court for a new trial.

The appeal was delivered by Judge
John George Merritt and Justice
H. C. Merrill.

Judge Cherry, the plaintiff's com-
plaint, that he might have an
opportunity of examining the up-
coming and continuing whether he would
be allowed to re-hear or apply
for a writ of habeas corpus
against the United States.

It will afford a stay of twenty

days.

IN THE SUPREME COURT.

The People vs. Mrs. Feltz vs. the Salt
Lake City Railroad company occupied
Chief Justice Merritt all day yesterday,
and had not concluded when the morn-
ing came for carrying the suit to the
court of appeals.

The appeal was delivered by Judge
John George Merritt and Justice
H. C. Merrill.

Judge Cherry, the plaintiff's com-
plaint, that he might have an
opportunity of examining the up-
coming and continuing whether he would
be allowed to re-hear or apply
for a writ of habeas corpus
against the United States.

It will afford a stay of twenty

days.

IN THE SUPREME COURT.

The People vs. Justice of the Peace,
H. C. Merrill, before Commissioner
H. C. Merrill, regarding jurisdiction
of the act of the Territorial
legislature, approved March 10th, 1893.

An appeal was taken to the third district court, a new trial was tried on
alleged grounds of facts.

Judge Cherry said it would be

unfair to remand the case to the court of appeals.

He said the court of appeals

should be given an opportunity to examine

the record.

AN INCORRIGIBLE.

Police Justice Smith Thinks He Should
Go to the Reform School.

Charles O'Farrell, a young fifteen-year
old, was arrested by the police this
morning on a charge of petit larceny.

On being arraigned before Justice
Smith he pled guilty to having stolen
a chair from the Federal House, and to
other offenses at various times.

The court therefore made an order
that he be sent to the reform school
until he is eighteen years old, and that
he be placed under the care of the
warden of the reform school.

Justice O'Farrell, a person of good
character, was released on his own
bond.

Commissioner H. C. Merrill, who
had been presiding magistrate during
the trial, said he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
defendant with respect to his character.

He said he might be permitted
to do so, but he did not know if he
should be permitted to examine the
def