

VOL. XVIII.

SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH TERRITORY, SATURDAY EVENING, APRIL 25, 1885.

NO. 130.

MARVEL OF PURITY

Royal a Perfect Baking Powder---Absolutely Free from Lime.

the important questions involved in the The Royal Baking Powder is considered by all chemists case were whether the grand and petit juries, as constituted, were legal juries, by which the plaintiff in error coold be lawfully presented and tried—alf be-lievers in the rightfulness of polygamy having been excluded from the grand and food analysts to be a marvel of purity, strength, and wholesomeness. Furthermore, it is now the only baking powder before the public free from lime and absolutely pure. ury, and eleven of the twelve petit urors having been obtained by an open

This is due largely to the improved method by the use of which it has been made possible to produce a perfectly pure cream of tartar, from which all the lime has been eliminated.

ealous prosecutors and partisans, on This chemically pure cream of tartar is exclusively emthe bench as well as at the bar, to be ustilled in the particular case by their ployed in the manufacture of the Royal Baking Powder, so dislike of the accused, and their desire that its absolute freedom from lime and all other extraneous would prefer convictions without the disagreeable necessity of denying the defendant a proper panel of jurors, but the end seems to them so desirable as substances is guaranteed.

o justify the means, even when those Professor McMurtrie, late chemist in chief to the U. S neans include keeping out of the jury-Department of Agriculture, after analyzing many samples of cream of tartar of the market, testified to the absolute purity there need be no doubt. of that used in the Royal Baking Powder as follows:

incapable of being defended. In the "I have examined the cream of tartar manufactured by case of Williams vs. Commonwealth, (10 Norris, 493,) the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania reversed a conviction the New York Tartar Company and used by the Royal thus secured, saying, among other things, that there was good reason for not allowing a public prosecutor to Baking Powder Company in the manufacture of their baking powder, and find it to be perfectly pure, and free from lime come into court, challenge the array of jurors, and immediately force a prison-

in any form.

1

4.

absence of all statutory safeguards against packing the jury. * * * Both against packing the jury. *** Both the letter and the spirit of the statutes " All chemical tests to which I have submitted it have proved the Royal Baking Powder perfectly healthful, of uniform, excellent quality, and free from any deleterious substance. WM MCMURTRIE E M Ph D WM. MCMURTRIE, E.M., Ph.D., substance. suitors that their causes have been

venires for as many as may be wanted. COURT OF THE UNITED But in regard to the former it has conferred no power upon the court to complete a deficient panel, by causing jurors to be returned de talubus cir-cumstantibus, or m any other manner. The whole subject is within the con-trol of the legislature; they may give to the court the same power as to both STATES.

THE DEFENSE CLEARLY AND ABLY REPRESENTED.

There was an unusually large attendance of members of the bar, and visitors, at the Supreme Court on Wednesday, April 8th, 1885, to hear the arguments in the case of Rudger Claw-

venire. He said :

to the court the same power as to both juries, to complete a deficient panel or withhold it; but mless it be given, it cannot be lawfully exercised. So in this case, Congress or the Terson vs. the United States. All the Judges were present and listened attentively to the remarks of Counsel.

ritorial Legislature has the right to con-fer the power on the courts to supply an exhausted panel by open venire or otherwise, or to withhold such power; it has been withheld, and until ex-HON. WAYNE MACVEAGH opened the case for the plaintiff in erpressly conferred the courts cannot legally exercise it. In the case of Wright vs. Stuart, (5 ror by stating the facts as to his indictment and conviction, and saying that

Blackf. (130,) the supreme court of In-diana, in considering the power of the circuit court to supply a deficiency in the jury panel by an open venire,

he board of commissioners had failed to have any jurors selected for the second week of the term, during which week this trial took place; on the calling of the cause, a jury was summoned and impaneled by the Packing a jury, in the abstract, has always been regarded as a grave of-fence, striking at the very foundation order of the court, and the defendant, under these circumstances, challenged of an honest administration of the criminal law; but it often seems to the array. This challenge ought 10 have been sustained. According to the statute, the jurors for the second week should have been selected by the board of commissioners, and as there had been no such selection there could be no unobjectionable jury impaneled during that week. The defendant might, perhaps, have waived the ob-jection, but he did not do so. He made the challenge at the proper time and the array should have been quashed.' The supreme court of Mississippijin

ox the jurors duly summoned in order the case of Leathers vs. The State, (4 allow the marshall to select and ("ush., 76,) declared that the directions bring in jurors, about whose verdict of the law as to the drawing of jurors were designed for wise purposes, and must not be violated in any respect. And then the court said: 'They are all Some courts are still sufficiently oldfashioned to regard an end so ob-tained, however desirable in itself, as intended as guards to protect the lib-erty of the citizen, and should be held to constitute an important part of the ight of trial by jury.' -

right of trial by jury.' -Counsel then referred to the statutes of the different States and Territories of the Union, and showed how the legislatures had uniformly provided for talesman, and he argued that if the courts had possessed the inherent power to procure them by open venire their was no need for such enactments, but the courts had held that this was r to trial before those selected in the but the courts had held that this was strictly a statutory power. "Besides the authorities already cited.

the case of Mosseau vs. Veedey (2 Ore-gon, 113) is in point. In that case the lower court, having discharged the the cohabitation referred to in the Ed-munds Act is confined to 'the marriage relation,' or in other words that this regular petit jury for the term, had sent into the body of the county for more jurymen, and it was held by the reviewing court that this was an act beyond its power. The statutes of Ore-gon provided that where the jury failed to attend, or where there were not enough jurymen present for a jury, the sheriff could summon talesmen from the bystanders or from the county. But the statutes as to talesmen were not to be extended to the case where the jury had been discharged. The court rested its concession of the right to complete a jury on the one hand, and its denial of the right to bring in a new jury by open venire on the other, strictly upon the statute. Said the court: 'We know of no other authority, and hence must hold that it was error in the Circuit Court to call such a jury or force defendant to trial.' Counsel referred to numerous other authorities and said that it is to be noted that all the decisions which have been found that in any degree favor the position of the prosecutor are rested apon statutes unlike the statute of 1874 for Utah, and which permit the summoning of talesmen by open venire. Such is the case as to those cited in the opinion of the court below, as found n the transcript. He then reviewed and criticized the cases referred to in the opinion, show-ing their inapplicability to the case at bar, and closed with an eloquent ap-peal to the court to preserve inviolate the right of trial by jury. SOLICITOR GENEBAL PHILLIP presented the case for the government. He claimed that the "Mormon" jurors were properly excluded under section 5 of the Edmunds Act, saying : "The word prosecution' in that act covers the whole procedure, from the impaneling of the grand jury to that of the petit jury. By the manifest reason of the thing, the impaneling of the grand jury made a special opportunity for the ex-ercise of the functions of the prosecuting officer, and put him upon the qui vive. The drams opened there." After elaborating this point somewhat, without, however, adding anything to the clearness of his statements quoted above, he said, but "allowing, for the sake of argument, that the challenges given by the Edmunds Act concern the that petit jury only, still a grand jury must be composed of 'good and lawful men,' and no man can be such, in the eye of the law, who believes it right to commit crime; for instance, 'right to commit' polygamy.' It is entirely inad-missible to impute to Congress an in-tention to strip courts of the protec-tion of a fundamental common law principle like this, which is a very ar icle of standing or falling justice." He said that heretofore he had He said that heretofore he had discussed polygamy cases without declamation, but now the campaign had opened against the "Mormons" and they should be made to understand that they need expect no fun. He said they enjoyed religious liberty and freedom of conscience, as they were entitled to do, but must be made to anderstand that they could not violate anderstand that they could not violate the law. Continuing in this strain Mr. Phillips passed to the consideration of the open ve-nire process and argued that be-cause it was the old common law cause it was the old common law whose duty it is to enquire into method in England of obtaining s jury, it followed as a matter of course that "so far as statutes have not expressly, or by necessary inference, taken away from a court this power it still con-tinues." He said "the act of 1874 gives the commission of all offenses or territorial? May they be deprived of representation on the grand jury, which was regarded by the illustrious founders of this great common wealth tinues." He said "the act of 1874 gives the rule to the extent that it gives any rule. It goes upon the evident pre-sumption that the annual list of two hundred would, in fact supply the needs of the court. But it contains no anticipation of or provision for a case in which that list might fall short of supplying what was needed. There is nothing upon the face of the statute to indicate that Congress intended that the list of two hundred should be an absolute and one of the most precious will not say they believe that he be wrong which Aimighty God has de-clared to be right? If this be so, then it does seem to me a most solemn mockery to assert, as has been done in this honorable presence to-day, that

It is not denied but on the contrary the persons excluded from the grand jury possessed all the statutory qualifications and were in every waveligible and entitled to serve as grand jurors unless section 5 of the Edmands Act indictment, when the defendant has authorized their exclusion. The ian-not been previously held, counsel guare of this section shows conclu-sively, we think, that it can have no jection to the grand jury had been

possible application to grand jurors, and by its terms it does not apply to petit jurors except in 'a prosecution for polygamy, bigamy or nulawful co-habitation under a statute of the

inquire into all offences, as well under the laws of the United States and the im-superseded by the Act of 1859 and aflaws of the United States, and the impaneling of that grand jury was no more 'a prosecution for polygamy' than it was a prosecution for burglary, because the jury was as likely to becalled upon to investigate the one class of offences as the other. It was a proceeding had prior to the commence ment of any prosecution, and was not 'under any statute of the United States,' for the impaueling of grand

Congress on the subject which applies to the Territories. The construction of the Edmunds Act contended for by the prosecution changes its terms so as to make them applicable to all jurors in the Terri-torics, and in effect creates a new cause of challenge to all jurors, which

gress, because the act expressly limts the challenge to jurors in prosecutions for the special offences named. But if the section is applicable at all to grand jurors, the terms of the law were not complied with, for none of the jurors who were retained on the panel were interrogated as to their belief in the rightfulness of cohabiting with more than one woman. If the jurors

of the one class were disqualified because they believed it right to have more than one wife, those of the other not contemplated, and that It has arisen el as would also be disqualified by the same section if they believed it right for a man to cohabit with more than one woman, and it should have been ascertained whether or not there were any such persons on the jury. Certainly Congress never intended that members of one of these classes should be singled out and packed into a jury box to indict members of the other class. Nor can we believe that this Court will sustain the view which has to some extent prevailed in Utah, that

s expressly admitted in the record that the provisious of the statute prescribjug the qualifications of grand the mode of challenging when the de-fendant has been held to answer, and the effect of a motion to set aside the

regularly made in the court below and was one of the important points to be

decided by this court. Mr. Richards then took up the queshabitation under a statute of the United States.' The grand jury that found this in-dictment was the regular grand jury for the district, whose duty it was to showed how the Act of 1853, referred terwards expressly repealed in 1878, and how the Act of Congress of 1874 had entirely done away with all former legislation upon the subject of juries. He analyzed the provisions of the latter law and, in answer to questions from Justices Waite and Miller, explained the practice under it as to the summouing of regular jurors and talesmen, insisting that this act constituted a juries is regulated entirely by the ter-ritorial statute, and there is no law of for every possible contingency, leaving no room or cause for an open venire. He then said : "It is historical in Utah

that, prior to the enactment of the sec tion last quoted, complaint was made by members of the minority party there that the jury lists were all made by officers who came from the majority party, and that the minority was not was clearly not the intention of Con- properly represented. It was to remedy this supposed evil, and to secure to each party representation on juries, that the act of Congress above quoted was passed. It was believed that this could not be done with an open venire. in the hands of either side, and that it nust be excluded. In order to do this, a jury list of 200 was provided for, in tead of 50, as the law then stood, and the larger number of 200 was deemed ample for four terms. That a contingency would arise by which a large part of the jurors would be disqualified was

> cannot change the construction of the law, or the motives that actuated it, or the purposes sought by means of it line policional. on the act, taken themserves and in connection with its history, are sufficient to show, without express words of exclusion, that the act is a complete provision, and was intended by Congress to be the sole method of getting jurors in the District Courts. It not only prescribes the qualifications of jurors, but explic-isly directs how and by whom they shall be selected, as well as how they shall be drawn and summoned. This

THE RUDGER CLAWSON CASE the bystanders, or from the county at bis was a rightful subject of legisla-BEFORE THE SUPREME large: and in term time, to instead but on the control of all clitzens Atter reading and commenting upon the marshal himself may be imported of Utah, to be tried by le from a distant State, and his deputies partial juries of their peets, this case is

nost respectfully submitted neither may be the most conscientious nor responsible of men, there certainis great dauger in conferring upon them this important dis cretion and almost unlimited power How to Live on a Dime a Day Some so-called scientists are tryin And if your Honors could be per suaded to believe, as has been sug-gested by counsel, that this condition of things is good enough for the 'Morshow how this can be done. But on can't live very well on so little money. That sort of economy is noor business, and generally results in dysmons,' and that by sanctioning it, you should show them that is the 'campepsia. A hearty appetlic and a sound digestion enable people to carn crongt to procure good square meals. If dl paign which has opened they need expect no fun,' there is a very serious to procure good square meals. If di-obstacle in the way of adopting this gestion is poor, take Brown's Iron rule for their exclusive benefit. If the Bitters, which will make it right. Mr A. S. Hohne, Clinton, lowa, says, "1 court can obtain a jury by open venire in a polygamy case, it can do the same in a polygamy case, it can do the same found great relief from dyspepsia, by in a prosecution for murder, or in any using Brown's Iron Bitters

other case, and when the rule is once established no man's life or liberty will be secure in the Territory of Utah. It is true the junpopular 'Mormons' are the individuals against whom this DR. GEORGE BRIDGES, V. mighty engine of oppression is now directed, but who can tell how soon it TREATS ALL DISEASES OF might be turned by malice, spite or Office -McKimmins' Livery Stables and If hatred against the life or liberty of some innocent person, not of the 'Mormon' faith, whose unprincipled AP Telephone 172. accusers might seek thereby to wreak upon him their malicious vengeance.

annot believe that you will sancidon such 'an outrage done in the name of justice,' by sustaining this open veaire process which has SALT LAKE CITY BREWING CO never been favored by egislatures nor encouraged by our

diciary until now, and where resorted to at all it has only been to provide talesmen for filling occasional defi-ciencies in the regular panel, and not as liciary until now, and where resorted a means of obtaining eleven out of twelve jurymen, as in this case. Tribune Office, are duly authorized to re-ceive orders for our popular brands of Beer. We have no other agents in the city With the confident assurance that these grave questions will receive the careful consideration to which they are entitled, and that the decision of the city are intitled, and that the decision of the city different

Orders may also be sent to the Brewery Office, by telephone No 34. Goods promptly delivered to any part of the city. JALOB MORITZ. Sec'r. aud Treas.

DOMESTIC ANIMALS.

Main Street, opposite Z. C. St.

NOTICE

Forearmed Forewarned

of danger by the condition of your blood, with Ayer's Sarsaparilla, there need be as shown in pimples, blotches, bolls, or no fear of Dyspepsia, Rhehmalism, Neuiliscolorations of the skin; or by a feeling raigia, Salt Rheum, Tetter, Eczema, of languor, induced, perhaps, by inactivity Catarrh, Liver troubles, or any of the of the stomach, liver, and kidneys, you diseases arising from Screfulous taints in should take Ayer's Sarsaparilla. It will the blood. Geo. Garwood, Big Springs, renew and invigorate your blood, and Ohio, writes: "Ayer's Sarsaparilla has cause the vital organs to properly perform been used in my family for a number of their functions. If you suffer from years. I was a constant sufferer from

Rheumatism, Dyspepsia,

"Chemist in Chief U. S. Dep't of Agriculture." The learned judge then suggest

NEW YORK TRADE CHICAGO TRADE. BRINCKERHOFF, TURNER & CO., For the Simplest Best and Strongest RECLINING CHAIR IN THE MARKET, 109 Danue St., New York. send postal to the following address for inform Manufacturers of and Dealers in COTTON SAIL DUCK, "Woodberry," Druid Mills, "POLNEMUS" and other favorite brands, all numbers-Hard, Medium and Soft. tion. Our goods are UNGK FOR OVERALLS. BLUE, BROWN, OR IN THE GREY in use COTTON CANVASS of all numbers-14 to 150 inches wide, for Deck, Car, Trunk and Wagon Coverings, Machine Aprons and for other purposes, constantly in store and over th world ar

ade to orde

Agents for U. S. Bunting Co., "Standard" ad "Eagle," by the Case or less quantity. These Goods can be obtained at Z. C. M. I.

FORMALE

We Guarantee Satisfaction The Hartley Reclining Chair Co., CHICAGO, ILLINOIS. Stale Mark for the United State







to be Equal in Quality and Durability to any made. Made from the Best Norway Iron, Finished Already to drive, by the UNION HORSE NAIL CO.

CHICAGO. For Sale by Z. C. M I. and its Branch Stores. d103 ly

LOUIS F. NONNAST CHICAGO, ILL., Wholesale Manufacturer of

TABLES Extension, Leaf and Centre Tables,

Kitchen Tubles, Stands, Elc. Sold by all principal Furniture dealers throughout the Western States. CAR LOADING & SPECIALTY.

SEND FOR CATALOGUE. d92.6m

For sale at Z. C. M. I., Godbe, Pitts & Co., Barnes & David, Moore, Allen & Co., H. Alf.

The learned judge theu suggests that few district attorneys or Judges could e found who would refuse to continue a trial until a regular jury could be ob-tained; and that the conviction even of a guilty man by a jury selected on the day of trial, under circumstances which usually surround such selection, would 'an outrage done in the name of ustice. We do not fear that the doctrine thus announced will be questioned on gen-eral grounds. The effort will rather be to show that persons living in Utah and accused of polygamy have no right which courts or juries are bound to re-

spect, and that a proceeding which elsewhere would be 'an outrage done in the name of justice,' is there a vir-tuous effort to destroy a relic of bar-The statement of facts accompanying the motion to quash shows that of the thirty names drawn from which to iselect a grand jury of fifteen, twenty-five

had all the qualifications required by law, unless the fifteen rejected stere disqualified by their answers to the special questions propounded by the district attorney relating to their beof in the doctrines of the Mormon 'hurch set out in the statement, and the fifteen so answering were rejected under section 5 of the Edmunds act.

This act applies to all the Territories and to all places over which the United States have jurisdiction and that part of it which is claimed to be applicable to this case reads as follows: That in any prosecution for bigamy.

olygamy, or unlawful cohabitation, nder any statute of the United States, it shall be sufficient cause of challenge to any person drawn or summoned as a juryman or talesman * * * that he believes it right for a man to have more than one living and undivorced wife at the same time, or to live in the practice of cohabiting with more than one weman. The language of this section clearly shows, we think, that it is only appli-cable to petit jurors, and to them only in the special case of 'a prosecution for bigamy, polygamy, og unlawful cohabi-

tation under any statute of the United Is the impaneling of a grand jury a prosecution, and in this case was it under any statute of the United States? Bouvier, in his Law Dictionary, vol. 2, p. 389, defines a criminal prosecution as 'the means adopted to bring a supposed offender to justice, and punishment by due course of law. * * in this country,' he says, 'the modes are by indictment, by present-ment, by information, and by com-

In a case like this, where the accused had not been held to answer, the prose-cution could not begin until after the arand jury was inpaneled, and the flading of the indictment was the com-mencement of this prosecution." Counsel read Section 4 of the Act of

A full line of our goods can be found at Z. . M. L. and its branch stores, Little & Roundy's and Olark, Eldredge & Co's. d104 ly ongress of June 23d, 1874, which proides for the selection and summoning of jarors, and insisted that its provisions clearly showed that after a panel of jurors had been drawn, a resort to the box could not be had by the court as a matter of discretion, and that the Wolfe, Patton & Co.,



Manufacturers of and Dealers in nry. The second assignment of error is, in BRUSHES

OF EVERY DESCRIPTION, PITTSBURGH, PA. Represented by JOHN RAE.

provision can affect only the 'Morand covers the entire ground. So far mon' part of the community, who alone sustain such relations; but on the contrary we confidently assert and them by open venire, the statute clearly maintain that it applies to all unlawful negatives that right by giving the se-lection of them and the making of the cohabitation, without regard to the marital relations of the parties. As regards the second reason as-

jury list to the clerk of the court and the probate judge. After the selection signed by counsel why, as he claims, of jurors is made and the names are the 'Mermon' grand jurors were rightfully excluded from the panel, an 1 put into the jury box and thoroughly his charge that they were not 'good and lawful men' because they believed it 'right to commit crime,' I desire first to call attention to the fact that the statute says nothing about grand jurors being 'good and lawful men;' mixed and mingled, the judge directs the number of names to be drawn from the box, and the law declares that 'the jurors so drawn and summoned shall institute the regular grand and petit juries for the term for all cases. but it does prescribe certain qualifica-tions, all of which were possessed by those who were excluded. As a grave moral charge has been made against the entire "Mormon" people, and they have been accused of believ-There is no exception to the rule. All jurors must be selected and drawn in this manner.

There was no power in the court to use an open venire by reason of an implied grant of power incident to the exercise of its jurisdiction. An iming it 'right to commit crime,' I trust you will permit me to briefly answer this serious accusation, and, if I find there are no means expressly authorized. And we deny that there ever was it necessary to allude to facts not strictly within the record of this case, any common law in Utah on the subject I feel that, under the circumstances, of the selection of jurors, or any custom or usage resting on common-law auou will justify me in so doing. In the consideration of this point it thority of principles, and say that from the time of the first legal organization of the Territory and the thority must not be forgotten that these people believe in plural marriage as a part of their religious faith, and regard it adoption of jury trials the subject has been governed by statute regulations as a principle which has been revealed But if there ever was any such comby God, and the practice of which has been commanded of Him. The belief in the rightfulness of this principle is mon-law power it was, by the acts of the legislature before referred to, enas much a part of the religious faith of those 'Mormous' who have not a plutirely taken away, and since 1859, at

least, the law on this subject has been rality of wives as of those who are fixed wholly by statute. The statute of 1874 provided for actually living in that relation, and they could not be induced to either talesmen to be taken from the jury box deny the correctness of the principle or assert that they believed the pracfor all foreseen contingencies, and did not intend to leave anything to implication. The resort to the open venire here tice to be morally wrong. But while is on a contingency more remote than they entertain this implicit faith in its in any case cited. It was resorted to truth and divine origin, they neither not only after a failure of the means gnore nor deny the unpleasant fact provided for the regular panel, but also hat, by an act of Congress, the pracafter a failure of the means provided tice of polygamy is made a crime, and when examined as to their competency by statute for talesmen

In the case at bar if there was any as jurors in this class of cases, they implied power to furnish additional have, time and again, asserted, under oath, that they would not hesitate, if names for jurors it would reasonably rest in the clerk of the district court an accusation were made against one their number for violating law, to either indict and the probate judge, whose duty it is under the statute to make the jury list; that law, to either indict or convict, as the case might reindict but if they had added to the original list of two hundred the names of perrequire; and that this statement was sons summoned by the marshal on the ruthfully and honestly made, has been abundantly proven by the fact that 'Mormon' juries have indicted and convicted their brethren for these ofopen venire, would there be any doubt as to the illegality of the list? Certainly not.

fenses against the law, believing that But it has been urged by counsel on the other side that the courts in Utah they were justified in so doing because the law of the land and their oaths remust have the power to supply juries by open venire or else they will be powerless to try jury causes and during quired it, but denying that there was any moral guilt attaching to the com-mission of the act. In other words, these people say that plural marriage a great part of the year will utterly fail in their jurisdiction. The present case is cited as a striking illustration of the is not morally wrong, because God has said so, yet, who ever enters into it, correctness of this view, but when we come to know the facts it becomes while it is prohibited by law, must apparent that the seeming necessity on the part of the court to exercise this if proven guilty, must suffer the pen power or lose its jurisdiction has been very greatly exaggerated. The jury list is made in January. This case was alty. Is it just and right to say that s man who thus believes but has violated man who thus believes but has violated no law himself, is not a 'good and lawful man,' and that for such a be-lief the entire 'Mormon' people, who comprise at least four-lifths of the population of the Territory, may be excluded from the grand inquests of the communities in which they live, whose duty it is to enquire into the commission of all offenses against the law.whether congressional is made in January. This case was first tried in October and no difficulty was experienced in obtaining a jury; it proved, however, to be a mistrial and upon the disagreement of the jury, the case was brought on for trial again immediately, against the objection of the defendant. It was on this second trial that the jurors became exhausted, the first trial baying been one of great the first trial having been one of great public interest, and some of the remaining jurors having formed an opinion from it as to the guilt of the defendant, were disqualited from sitting on the case, but itaere was no necessity for an open venire for the general business of the term. There were sufficient regular qualified juroys in attendance for all general business, and only this case would have bed to and only this case would have had to be continued. The accused was not demanding a speedy trial, and that an immediate trial could not be had was no ground of complaint by the pros-ecution; the defendant alone was en-titled to avail himself of it, which he wrested from their own communities, de wrested from them-not because of any acts of theirs, but simply because they will not say they believe that its be wrong which Aimighty God has de-clared to be right? If this be so, then it does seem to me a most solemn mockery to assert, as has been done in this bogorable presence ta-day, that did not do, preferring to have his case continued till he could be tried by a legal jury. A speedy trial does not mean a trial within any particular time, but a trial within a reasonable time, having refer-ence to the state of the law, the means

this honorable presence to-day, that these people enjoy raligious liberty and freedom of conscience." JUSTICE MATHEWST

legislation is undoubtedly exclusive or Neuralgia, a few bottles of Ayer's Sar- but Ayer's Saraaparilla effected a permafrom leaving any implied authority saparilla will relieve and cure you. Alice nent cure. Seven years ago my wife was in the court to select jurors, or procure Kendall, 218 Tremont st., Boston, Mass., troubled with Goitre: two bottles of writes : " I have been troubled with Neu- Ayer's Sarsaparilla cured her, and she has ralgia, pain in the side, and weakness, and never had any return of the disease. I rehave found greater relief from Ayer's gard this preparation as the best medicine Sarsaparilla than from any other remedy." in use for the blood." B. Barnard Wair, J. C. Tolman, 336 Merrimack st., Lowell, 75 Adams st., Lynn, Mass., writes: "For Mass., writes : " In no other remedy have | many years I suffered terribly from Indi-I ever found such a happy relief from gestion, Dyspepsia, and Scrofula. Almost Rheumatism as in hopeless, 1 took Ayer's Sar-



saparfila." It instils new life into the and am a well man to-day." Be sure and blood, and imparts vitality and strength. get Ayer's Sarsaparilla, the most thorough plied authority can only exist when Being highly concentrated, it is the most and effective blood purifier. The best is economical blood purifier. the cheapest

Prepared by Dr. J. C. Ayer & Co., Lowell, Mass., U. S. A.

For sale by all druggists. Price \$1; six bottles for \$5.

WALKER BROTHERS **NEW! SPRING STYLES NEW!** Stocks Complete in all Departments. The Latest Novelties in Dress Goods and American Markets. EMBROIDERIES, LAGES, GLOVES, NECK-WEAN, HOGIERY, DRESS BUTTONS, ETC., OF THE VERY LATEST STYLES. Contraction of the second second second LADIES' MUSLIN UNDERWEAR, ARASOLS, MISSES' and CHILDREN'S STRAW HATS, CHILDREN'S GINGHAM DRESSES. Etc., in Great Variety and Very Cheap. WE INVITE SPECIAL ATTENTION TO OUR LARGE STOCE OF CHILDREN'S & BOYS' CLOTHING. Just serived from New York and San Francisco. The seatest and most invable goods produced. Our Boys' School Suits are manufactured to our special Order, from the best of California-made Cassimeres and Tweeds, pot

ip with especial reference to their Durability and Neatness, and warranted to

ive entire satisfaction

