

**THAT MENDON YARN.**

Where the "Tribute" Ranchmen  
Got Their Tie.

**THE "TEXTS" OF JAMES LAMONT.**  
Shameful Distortions of Fact by a  
Presbyterian Minister and the  
Organ of Slavery.

The anti-Mormon organ in this city is as prolific of falsehoods regarding Utah and its people, and especially the Latter-day Saints, that no one else they are permitted to print without any rebuke or contradiction. Occasionally, however, there are but misrepresentations or distortions of fact that seem to call for special attention, but even in these cases the "Texts" fails to recognize its reader, for reference to the following about it and its writer:

One of the falsehoods referred to is a recent publication regarding an occurrence in Mendon, Cache county. The tale was first published in an edition of the paper referred to on October 11. The assertions therein were evidently untrue. The sheet was not consistent with that, but on Thursday, October 10, there appeared in it a letter with the signature of James Lamont, pastoring, to give several details.

This was accompanied by a communication from an alleged minister of the Church of the Presbyterian persuasion, Mr. William H. Chapman.

The reader of the "Texts" and the like will readily perceive the effect that Lamont's name to Utah as a Mormon, and a couple of years ago removed to Steamboat, now Buena Springs, Illinois, was having on America, he had not written about him.

He did not write the Chapman.

The allegation was made that Lamont was writing for the "Texts" because he was a member of the church, and that those he had been instituting against him a series of personal attacks, because he was a member of the Presbyterian Church.

On the 10th of September he was passing two hours in his study in the parsonage when he received a series of letters, which he was informed, were addressed to him personally, and which had apparently driven him to despair, destroying his hope, and that they contained threats of violence. These were indeed very serious, and Lamont was compelled to seek refuge in his study.

On the 11th of September he was passing two hours in his study in the parsonage when he received a series of letters, which he was informed, the first three were a Policy of taxes against him, and the second three were threats of violence. Every imagination seized the Mormon people.

The communication of the "Texts" on the subject was low, but as a minister, he had to do the people, and now they had turned against him. When he sought his invigilants when he was placed in the world, he was given the name of a sheep-herder, and when he was given the name of a sheep-herder, he was given the name of a sheep-herder.

Mr. Chapman also stated that Lamont had had a very bad fall, and all the other animals in the same house avoided him, and when he was asked if he had been injured, he said, "Yes, I have been injured."

"Did you write any of this letter?" he was asked.

"There is something wrong about it."

"What is it?" he was asked.

"But you wrote it yourself," Mr. Chapman said.

"I am supposed only write part of it."

"We may suppose that you did it. It is unquestioned and uncontradicted that in what I said."

"Chapman, Mr. Lamont with whom you are in full agreement, has written a true statement in that article. There is no reason to doubt that article is only a statement of facts, and it is impossible to prove anything there is in it, or to the letter, because I would not undertake to prove any statement that is not true. It is all a matter of opinion, and it is right for me to say that both are incorrect. The paper would warrant them as I want them. I want make it right."

"Have any of the Mormon people been writing you, or drive you out of Mendon?" he was asked.

"No."

"Have they sought to injure you in any way?"

"That I know of."

"Did you make any complaint to the editor of the "Texts" ever since, or intended with you in any way?"

"No, they have not."

"Did any member of the Church threaten to injure you?"

"That I know of."

"Did you make any complaint to the editor of the "Texts" ever since, or intended with you in any way?"

"No, they have not."

"Did any member of the Church threaten to injure you?"

"That I know of."

"Did any member of the Church threaten to injure you?"

"That I know of."

"Did any member of the Church threaten to injure you?"

"That I know of."

"Did any member of the Church threaten to injure you?"

"That I know of."

"Did any member of the Church threaten to injure you?"

"That I know of."

"Did any member of the Church threaten to injure you?"

"That I know of."

"Did any member of the Church threaten to injure you?"

"That I know of."

"Did any member of the Church threaten to injure you?"

"That I know of."

"Did any member of the Church threaten to injure you?"

"That I know of."

"Did any member of the Church threaten to injure you?"

"That I know of."

"Did any member of the Church threaten to injure you?"

"That I know of."

"Did any member of the Church threaten to injure you?"

"That I know of."

"Did any member of the Church threaten to injure you?"

"That I know of."

"Did any member of the Church threaten to injure you?"

"That I know of."

"Did any member of the Church threaten to injure you?"

"That I know of."

"Did any member of the Church threaten to injure you?"

"That I know of."

"Did any member of the Church threaten to injure you?"

"That I know of."

"Did any member of the Church threaten to injure you?"

"That I know of."

"Did any member of the Church threaten to injure you?"

"That I know of."

"Did any member of the Church threaten to injure you?"

"That I know of."

"Did any member of the Church threaten to injure you?"

"That I know of."

"Did any member of the Church threaten to injure you?"

"That I know of."

"Did any member of the Church threaten to injure you?"

"That I know of."

"Did any member of the Church threaten to injure you?"

"That I know of."

"Did any member of the Church threaten to injure you?"

"That I know of."

"Did any member of the Church threaten to injure you?"

"That I know of."

"Did any member of the Church threaten to injure you?"

"That I know of."

"Did any member of the Church threaten to injure you?"

"That I know of."

"Did any member of the Church threaten to injure you?"

"That I know of."

"Did any member of the Church threaten to injure you?"

"That I know of."

"Did any member of the Church threaten to injure you?"

"That I know of."

"Did any member of the Church threaten to injure you?"

"That I know of."

"Did any member of the Church threaten to injure you?"

"That I know of."

"Did any member of the Church threaten to injure you?"

"That I know of."

"Did any member of the Church threaten to injure you?"

"That I know of."

"Did any member of the Church threaten to injure you?"

"That I know of."

"Did any member of the Church threaten to injure you?"

"That I know of."

"Did any member of the Church threaten to injure you?"

"That I know of."

"Did any member of the Church threaten to injure you?"

"That I know of."

"Did any member of the Church threaten to injure you?"

"That I know of."

"Did any member of the Church threaten to injure you?"

"That I know of."

"Did any member of the Church threaten to injure you?"

"That I know of."

"Did any member of the Church threaten to injure you?"

"That I know of."

"Did any member of the Church threaten to injure you?"

"That I know of."

"Did any member of the Church threaten to injure you?"

"That I know of."

"Did any member of the Church threaten to injure you?"

"That I know of."

"Did any member of the Church threaten to injure you?"

"That I know of."

"Did any member of the Church threaten to injure you?"

"That I know of."

"Did any member of the Church threaten to injure you?"

"That I know of."

"Did any member of the Church threaten to injure you?"

"That I know of."

"Did any member of the Church threaten to injure you?"

"That I know of."

"Did any member of the Church threaten to injure you?"

"That I know of."

"Did any member of the Church threaten to injure you?"

"That I know of."

"Did any member of the Church threaten to injure you?"

"That I know of."

"Did any member of the Church threaten to injure you?"

"That I know of."

"Did any member of the Church threaten to injure you?"

"That I know of."

"Did any member of the Church threaten to injure you?"

"That I know of."

"Did any member of the Church threaten to injure you?"

"That I know of."

"Did any member of the Church threaten to injure you?"

"That I know of."

"Did any member of the Church threaten to injure you?"

"That I know of."

"Did any member of the Church threaten to injure you?"

"That I know of."

"Did any member of the Church threaten to injure you?"

"That I know of."

"Did any member of the Church threaten to injure you?"

"That I know of."

"Did any member of the Church threaten to injure you?"

"That I know of."

"Did any member of the Church threaten to injure you?"

"That I know of."

"Did any member of the Church threaten to injure you?"

"That I know of."

"Did any member of the Church threaten to injure you?"

"That I know of."

"Did any member of the Church threaten to injure you?"

"That I know of."

"Did any member of the Church threaten to injure you?"

"That I know of."

"Did any member of the Church threaten to injure you?"

"That I know of."

"Did any member of the Church threaten to injure you?"

"That I know of."

"Did any member of the Church threaten to injure you?"

"That I know of."

"Did any member of the Church threaten to injure you?"

"That I know of."

"Did any member of the Church threaten to injure you?"

"That I know of."

"Did any member of the Church threaten to injure you?"

"That I know of."

"Did any member of the Church threaten to injure you?"

"That I know of."

"Did any member of the Church threaten to injure you?"

"That I know of."

"Did any member of the Church threaten to injure you?"

"That I know of."

"Did any member of the Church threaten to injure you?"

"That I know of."

"Did any member of the Church threaten to injure you?"

"That I know of."

"Did any member of the Church threaten to injure you?"

"That I know of."

"Did any member of the Church threaten to injure you?"

"That I know of."

"Did any member of the Church threaten to injure you?"

"That I know of."

"Did any member of the Church threaten to injure you?"

"That I know of."

"Did any member of the Church threaten to injure you?"

"That I know of."

"Did any member of the Church threaten to injure you?"

"That I know of."

"Did any member of the Church threaten to injure you?"

"That I know of."

"Did any member of the Church threaten to injure you?"

"That I know of."

"Did any member of the Church threaten to injure you?"

"That I know of."

"Did any member of the Church threaten to injure you?"

"That I know of."

"Did any member of the Church threaten to injure you?"

"That I know of."

"Did any member of the Church threaten to injure you