

The polygamy pretence for this illiberal idea and purpose have been shattered and swept away. The only pretext that remains is a fallacy. It is claimed that the "Mormon" voters of Utah are not free; therefore the ballot should be taken from them. This is a singular method of promoting liberty. But the claim is both false and absurd. The ballot is secret, every voter has the opportunity to take either ticket in the field or to make one for himself, and nobody need be the wiser. There is no influence used to induce people to vote the People's Ticket other than argument and that legitimate urging which every active man uses for the benefit of his party. The story of "priestly dictation" told every day by the "Liberal" organ is utterly untrue, and its folly must be apparent to all who know anything of "Mormon" voters or of the freedom of the secret ballot.

The whole issue comes down to this: The "Mormon" voters should be disfranchised because they do not support the "Liberal" ticket. The only evidence of their freedom which will be satisfactory to the "Liberal" candidate is their vote in his own favor.

Now, are the sensible citizens of Utah prepared to endorse this policy? It cannot be exalted to the plane of a principle. Yet this is what C. C. Goodwin intends to advocate if he is elected to Congress. He says, "it is the right thing to do." These are his own words. They express the chief thought of his mind. They convey his settled and principal purpose. On this subject he is a mono-maniac. He is alike impervious to reason and to fact. His whole nature is imbued with this anti-"Mormon" sentiment and the disfranchisement of "Mormon voters is the great end he has in view.

The question then, for rational voters to decide is this: Shall a man be returned to Congress who will represent the entire community, maintain the liberties and rights of all law observing citizens and the permanent interests of every industry in Utah, or shall a fanatic be sent who will be the mouthpiece of a clique, whose mind is warped with one idea and who is bent on the political destruction of the majority of the people of the Territory?

Putting all campaign nonsense aside, this is the issue before the voting people of Utah, and all we ask is that they contemplate it without prejudice and without passion, and then cast their ballots as enlightened conscience and good common sense shall dictate, regardless of *Tribune* rant and the buried issues of the departed past.

STILL LIVING.

OUR readers are aware that we have thoroughly exposed the falsehoods of the *Tribune* gang in regard to Hon. John T. Caine's action on the Salt Lake public building bill. True to his mendacious instincts, which seem always to lead him to lying even when the truth might be made available, the "Liberal" scribe now says in regard to Mr. Caine:

"That it was his duty to keep track of that measure and to write the report in its favor, the Mormon papers cannot deny."

Here is what we said on this matter:

"Now, in the first place, the chairman of the committee and the members composing it will not very highly appreciate the statement of a clerk that they expect Congressmen who do not belong to their committee to write their reports for them, and to do so according to the private views and wishes of those members who desire the bill to pass.

"In the second place, it was *not* the duty of John T. Caine to write or prepare a report for that committee, and he would have been considered a little too officious if he had proposed to do such a thing.

"In the third place, the report *was* ready, the committee was prepared to recommend the passage of the bill, and Delegate Caine saw to that matter, and did his complete duty in relation to it.

"In the fourth place, the bill would have been reported to the House, with other similar bills, but for the indisposition of the Speaker to recognize the chairman of that committee when he tried to get the floor. There were other methods which the Speaker considered of greater importance, and to which he gave the preference.

"In the fifth place, the Salt Lake public building bill fared no worse than forty others for buildings in various States, and the members of Congress from those States are not blamable for their failure. And so Delegate Caine is not to be blamed because the bill which Messrs. West and Thomas were hired to see enacted failed to get through."

The best answer to the lie that C. C. Goodwin is telling during his free lunch travels, and that is being published daily in the paper of which he is the editor, is that in the third paragraph introduced above. The report with the failure of preparing which Mr. Caine is charged, was prepared and was in the hands of the proper member to present to the House.

The lie that the "Liberal" candidate is diligently repenting is twofold. It was *not* Mr. Caine's duty to prepare the report of the committee, but the report *was* prepared, no

matter by whom. The whole fabrication was contemptible, and marks the character of the creature who trumped it up for the purpose of maligning a political opponent.

It is a matter of congratulation to the People's Party and the People's candidate that nothing can be brought against him by his bitterest foes except wilful falsehoods which display the most consummate ignorance.

THE PEOPLE MUST KEEP SWINE.

ON October 30 Mr. S. H. B. Smith, of the Tenth Ward, was before Justice Laney on a charge of violating the ordinance in relation to the keeping of cows and swine within Salt Lake City, which was passed August 26th of the present year. Section one of the ordinance in question reads as follows:

"That no person or persons shall keep or cause to be kept more than three cows and no swine within that portion of Salt Lake City bounded as follows: On the north by Seventh North Street, on the south by Ninth South Street, on the east by the Reservation, on the west by Sixth West Street."

Judge Loofborough, defendant's counsel, took the ground that the ordinance is invalid, one of his points being that it makes the keeping of swine compulsory upon every resident of a prescribed district of Salt Lake City, "without respect to age, sex or previous condition of servitude."

The statement of the attorney is literally correct. To prove this clearly let the reader of section one strike out the words, "more than three cows." Then read "That no person or persons shall keep or cause to be kept no swine within that portion of Salt Lake City," etc. Should the courts hold the ordinance to be valid each particular inhabitant of the prescribed district had better see to it that he is not found with "no swine" on his premises. The ordinance is penal, and the rule is to construe a law of that kind strictly in conformity with its exact language.

This ordinance is another evidence of the acumen of the "Liberal" City Council. But perhaps they have not seen it, and an excuse may be made for the members of that ground. It is not the universal custom of that body to read or have read to them the ordinances that are presented for their acceptance and action. There is the "sewer ordinance" for instance. The formality of reading it was dispensed with, all that the Council had to do with it was to vote in favor of it as