

# THE EVENING NEWS.

GEORGE Q. CANNON,  
EDITOR AND PUBLISHER.

Wednesday, March 1, 1871.

THE Chief Justice of the Territory of Utah, Hon. J. B. McKean, may be well versed in the statutory laws of this, and in the common law of this and other countries; and in being appointed to the position he now occupies, Mr. McKean had an opportunity afforded him for acquiring a reputation, which he seems determined to make the most of.

We have, on former occasions, called the attention of our readers to some of the rulings of this gentleman, delivered in the Third Judicial District Court in this city. We wish to do so again now. Some months ago he delivered, what we and many others regarded as a very peculiar legal decision in the case of two aliens named, respectively, Sandberg and Horsley, who had applied for naturalization; the right to which he, in that ruling, denied to both, simply because they differed with him in their religious belief.

Three other aliens, named, respectively, Richard and Ralph Douglas and William Kay, made application, during the January, '71, term of the court, to be admitted to citizenship; their applications were received, and held under advisement by the court until a few days ago, when an adverse decision was rendered, the right being denied because the men were polygamists.

This opinion is as peculiar as some of the others to which we have referred; in fact it reads more like a polemical essay than a legal opinion, the discourse of a priest rather than the ruling of a judge, being merely an attempt to show that the marrying of more wives than one was condemned by the civil law of the ancient Romans, and of England, and of the northern nations of Europe; and for this reason, seeing that the Roman civil law prevails in Mexico, to which this Territory belonged when settled by the "Mormons;" and that many who have settled here are from the nation of Europe referred to above, therefore it was a time for them to practice plural marriage, whether special legal enactments had been provided against it or not. But what all this has to do with naturalization we cannot comprehend. In the whole of the ruling we fail to see, and we think all partial readers will be in the same fix, to find a shadow of an argument or constitutional reason, why the application in the case of the Messrs. Douglas and Kay should be denied. If denunciation, and the platitudes used on most occasions when an individual is opposed to them, about concubinage, illegitimacy, morality, and obedience to law, etc., constituted an argument, then this ruling of Judge McKean would be irresistible; but this sophistry is too flimsy to deceive any but those who see through anti-Mormon prejudice. In his hour was the stickler for morality his words seem to imply, would he not be as conscientious in withholding the right denied in the instance on which his ruling was given, to men, and they are abundant in every section of the country, who will seduce women and leave children utterly unprotected for, as soon as he would to men who marry women and provide for their children? But Judge McKean would never think of this in the case of the former; and we cannot think that his moral scruples, or the impartially construed letter of the law influenced him to withhold it in the latter case, but rather that he is the willing tool of his employers, and is doing his pretense to carry out the behests and machinations of the "ring."

But a deprivation of the right of citizenship on account of plural marriage, if such a penalty were Constitutional, would, in these cases, be an *ex post facto* infliction thereof; for it was shown in evidence that they had taken no women to wife since the passage of the anti-polygamy law in 1862. And yet the gentleman "prates" about devotion to the Constitution of the U. S. Is not this latter quality more necessary in a high judicial functionary, versed in all the crooks and turns of the law, and sworn to maintain and defend the Constitution than, in the case of men not thus learned, or under such strict requirements? We fancy so.

But without animadverting further on the ruling of his Honor Judge McKean, what does his decision amount to? Nothing more nor less than the denial of the right of American citizenship to all in this Territory of foreign birth, who are not now naturalized, and to all who may come hereafter; and this whether they may or may not practice plural marriage. And while such persons will be required to bear, in common with all others, a portion of the expense of the national, state and municipal governments, they will be shut out from all the privileges and immunities of citizenship merely through a difference in religious belief, which is a flagrant violation of the Constitution, and a thorough bar to republicanism and republican institutions.

We merely publish this ruling as a matter of history. Judge McKean exercises a little brief authority here now. We have witnessed the entrance and departure of many such as he, and in due time he will go the way they have gone. It is sometimes necessary to refresh our memory as to what Judges have done here, by looking through the columns of the *Deseret News*. This ruling, if preserved in print, will help us to remember the present Chief Justice, and in days to come will remind us that

"Power is a curse when in a tyrant's hands, but a great blessing in a noble's."

THE completion of the Railroad across the continent has brought Utah into comparatively close proximity to the East and West, and as a consequence, we are having a great influx of agents of various branches of business from other sections of the country, who are desirous of introducing their specialties to the notice of the people. It is very probable that every invention or institution that is suited to this latitude and to the condition of the people will, sooner or later, have its representative here, urging its advantages upon our

citizens. Already we can gain an idea of how it will likely be in other branches of business by the number of agents of Life Insurance Companies who have visited our city, and many of whom are now diligently engaged in setting forth the benefits which follow the insuring of life in a good Company. This business is being vigorously pushed forward in other sections, and, from present indications, Utah is not to be neglected. Every effort will be made here to induce the men of the Territory to invest their means in Life Policies. In Ordinary, Two-payment and Single Premium Endowment Policies, so as to make provisions for their families in case of their demise.

Probably there is no field in the United States where Life Insurance Agents would be better pleased to secure policies than in Utah; because here the risks are greatly lessened through the temperate habits of the people. A virtuous, sober people have many chances for life over those of intemperate, licentious habits; and it is among the former class that Life Insurance Companies prefer to have their policies taken. When such companies lose money it is principally due to their officers and agents not exercising the necessary care to prevent dissipation and profligate men from obtaining policies. But there is no danger of any company, which secures the patronage of the people of Utah, ever losing money through its policies granted here. Among the Latter-day Saints the use of all stimulants is discontinued and they are constantly taught the necessity of paying attention to diet and of taking care of their bodies. The effect upon longevity cannot be otherwise than good. If, therefore, a number of our citizens could be induced to take out policies in Life Insurance Companies, they would counterbalance an equal number of poor policies elsewhere and compensate for losses in other directions. Is it wise for them to take out policies in these companies? We think not. We think it would be far better for us to organize a Life Insurance Company of our own, upon some safe and comprehensive plan, and secure to ourselves whatever advantages we possess of good habits and consequent low risk. It has been said that our population is not sufficiently numerous to organize and sustain a Life Insurance Company among ourselves on the principle that other companies of this kind do business. This may be so, though we are not of that opinion. But even if so, there are plans which can be adapted that would confer many advantages of life insurance, and still not be very expensive. We have heard of companies being formed which charge five dollars as an entrance fee. The fund thus formed is put out to interest, and from it means is derived to pay a manager. In case of the death of a member of the company, each surviving member pays one dollar, which when collected, if the company be of any size, forms a respectable sum to be given to the family of the deceased. This is one plan that might be adopted. But there are others which might be devised.

Money is worth too much, and draws too high a rate of interest in this Territory to be invested in Eastern Companies. A judicious man can do better with his money. Suppose, for example, that a man of forty years of age wishes to insure his life for ten thousand dollars. He will have to pay about \$310 annually. Now, instead of paying this to an Eastern Life Insurance Company, out of which and other payments like it, they derive their salaries, rent, cost of agencies and many other expenses, besides the dividends of the stockholders, let him invest that amount annually in Zion's Co-operative Mercantile Institution, or in bonds of the Utah Central Railroad, or in a sound, well-organized Co-operative Herd, where it will bring him the interest derivable from these institutions, and then compare the amount that will have accumulated with that which he will be entitled to in a Life Insurance Company. In seventeen years, or at fifty-seven years of age, if he should then die, he would have accumulated, if he drew seven and a half per cent, and added interest to interest, \$10,415.03—a little more than the amount which his family would be paid by a sound Life Insurance Company in the event of his demise. But suppose he should live to be seventy years of age, which is the age we believe, that Life Insurance Companies base their calculations upon, the accumulation would be over \$34,124.23. This would allow a very large margin for cash dividends, such as are made by Life Insurance Companies. We have reckoned seven and a half per cent, which is the interest paid in gold, on money invested in Utah Central Bonds; while our calculation puts the interest down in greenbacks. Besides the yearly interest, which the Utah Central pays on its bonds, there is a payment of \$500 made over and above the cost of the bond at the expiration of twenty years. That is, a \$1,000 bond is bought for \$500. These bonds draw \$50 per annum, in gold, or silver, and a half per cent on the \$500 invested. They are redeemable at the expiration of twenty years from the date of issue, when the holder will receive, instead of the original \$500 paid for the bond, the face of the bond—\$1,000. But money invested in Zion's Co-operative Mercantile Institution has yielded a larger interest even than this, and Co-operative Stock Herds will also, if properly managed, yield handsome returns.

We have based our statement upon a life policy of \$10,000; but in proportion to the amount for which a life policy is taken the same calculations and reasoning will apply. Another advantage in investing in home institutions is that if any of them should become uncertain in reputation, a person could place money in another; but if an eastern life insurance company loses its solvency, he could not very well help himself by resorting to another.

"Papa, ought a teacher for me for what I do not do?" "Certainly not, my boy," replied the father. "Well, said the little fellow, "no, I did not, when I didn't do my sum."

Mrs. Fricelle Langdale, a New Jersey woman, claims to be the inventor of the "gentleman's pocket opinion," a neat, handy, not fragile, secure from leakage and concealment, for use in cars and churches.

THE completion of the Railroad across the continent has brought Utah into comparatively close proximity to the East and West, and as a consequence, we are having a great influx of agents of various branches of business from other sections of the country, who are desirous of introducing their specialties to the notice of the people. It is very probable that every invention or institution that is suited to this latitude and to the condition of the people will, sooner or later, have its representative here, urging its advantages upon our

## By Telegraph.

Per Western Union Telegraph Line

### AFTERNOON DISPATCHES!

All Parts of Germany will be Represented in the Tri-umphal March into Berlin!

Ratification of the Treaty Certain!

Consuls Quarrel in Jamaica!

Bills Signed by the President!

Doings in Congress!

Fires, etc., etc.,

CONGRESSIONAL.

SENATE.

In the Senate the bill of companies to pay over interest as fast as it accrues, involved in the controversy between the Government and railroad companies, was debated at length.

Scott moved, as an amendment to the appropriation bill, an appropriation of \$20,000 for homeosts for soldiers and sailors' orphans, at Gettysburg, Pennsylvania, which was not agreed to.

At five p.m. the Senate took a recess until evening.

In the evening session the army appropriation bill was proceeded with.

McDonald offered an amendment, extending the jurisdiction of the Court of Claims to all the claims of legal citizens arising out of the late insurrectionary States.

Sherman was surprised that such a measure should be seriously urged, and said it would deplete the Treasury by saddling on the country all the damages done by the Union army during the war.

Sawyer favored the bill, and Edmunds, Conkling and Wilson spoke in opposition, and motions to table the amendment and bill, in order to proceed with other business, were lost. It was finally arranged that a vote be taken on McDonald's amendment to-morrow, at noon. Adjourned.

HOUSE.

Logan moved that the rules be suspended and the House agree to the Southern Pacific road bill.

Randall preferred that the House should insist on an amendment to the bill, by which the Senate and grant of twenty-five million acres was reduced to thirteen million.

Logan, too, much preferred the House bill to the Senate bill, and had so voted, but it was certainly an act of courtesy on the part of the House to agree to the conference committee asked by the Senate.

After some discussion, between Randall and Logan a vote was taken and the rule was suspended, and a conference committee of the Cincinnati and Southern railroads.

Ingersoll desired to make a statement, and followed by a motion to suspend the rules, to pass a bill to promote the construction of the Cincinnati and Southern railroads.

Winchester objected.

Ingersoll then made his motion, which was agreed to and the bill passed, yeas 131, nays 107.

Sargent offered the following resolution: "That the House proceed to the business on the Speaker's table, and the Speaker be and he be authorized to suspend the rules, and that at the end of the second speech, the previous question be not seconded, the pending bill be laid aside."

Kelly offered a substitute, which Sargent accepted and the resolution was then rejected, with only forty-eight yeas in its favor.

Butler, (Mass.) from the reconstruction committee, reported the bill to protect the legal and peaceable citizens of the United States.

The committee of conference, which was ordered on the post-emption bill, reported the bill to the House, agreed to go to the Speaker's table and go through with the bills on it, passing those to which there was no objection, and those motions to suspend the rules for the passage of such as are objected to. Unanimous consent being given, the Speaker announced he would commence the reading of the bill, and that the committee should be first gone through with so as to pass all the bills not objected to.

Logan, from the committee of conference on the post-emption bill, reported that the committee had been unable to agree and moved the appointment of another committee, which was agreed to.

The Senate amendment to the House bill, allowing the issue of duplicate registered bonds in cases where the original was believed to be lost or destroyed, was concurred in, and the bill passed.

On motion of Axtell, the Senate bill, passed in April, 1870, for giving effect to the various grants of public lands to the States and Territories, was concurred in, and the bill passed.

On motion of Axtell, the Senate bill, passed in April, 1870, for giving effect to the various grants of public lands to the States and Territories, was concurred in, and the bill passed.

On motion of Axtell, the Senate bill, passed in April, 1870, for giving effect to the various grants of public lands to the States and Territories, was concurred in, and the bill passed.

On motion of Axtell, the Senate bill, passed in April, 1870, for giving effect to the various grants of public lands to the States and Territories, was concurred in, and the bill passed.

On motion of Axtell, the Senate bill, passed in April, 1870, for giving effect to the various grants of public lands to the States and Territories, was concurred in, and the bill passed.

On motion of Axtell, the Senate bill, passed in April, 1870, for giving effect to the various grants of public lands to the States and Territories, was concurred in, and the bill passed.

On motion of Axtell, the Senate bill, passed in April, 1870, for giving effect to the various grants of public lands to the States and Territories, was concurred in, and the bill passed.

On motion of Axtell, the Senate bill, passed in April, 1870, for giving effect to the various grants of public lands to the States and Territories, was concurred in, and the bill passed.

On motion of Axtell, the Senate bill, passed in April, 1870, for giving effect to the various grants of public lands to the States and Territories, was concurred in, and the bill passed.

On motion of Axtell, the Senate bill, passed in April, 1870, for giving effect to the various grants of public lands to the States and Territories, was concurred in, and the bill passed.

On motion of Axtell, the Senate bill, passed in April, 1870, for giving effect to the various grants of public lands to the States and Territories, was concurred in, and the bill passed.

On motion of Axtell, the Senate bill, passed in April, 1870, for giving effect to the various grants of public lands to the States and Territories, was concurred in, and the bill passed.

On motion of Axtell, the Senate bill, passed in April, 1870, for giving effect to the various grants of public lands to the States and Territories, was concurred in, and the bill passed.

On motion of Axtell, the Senate bill, passed in April, 1870, for giving effect to the various grants of public lands to the States and Territories, was concurred in, and the bill passed.

On motion of Axtell, the Senate bill, passed in April, 1870, for giving effect to the various grants of public lands to the States and Territories, was concurred in, and the bill passed.

On motion of Axtell, the Senate bill, passed in April, 1870, for giving effect to the various grants of public lands to the States and Territories, was concurred in, and the bill passed.

On motion of Axtell, the Senate bill, passed in April, 1870, for giving effect to the various grants of public lands to the States and Territories, was concurred in, and the bill passed.

On motion of Axtell, the Senate bill, passed in April, 1870, for giving effect to the various grants of public lands to the States and Territories, was concurred in, and the bill passed.

On motion of Axtell, the Senate bill, passed in April, 1870, for giving effect to the various grants of public lands to the States and Territories, was concurred in, and the bill passed.

of the Union and for other purposes; also the joint resolution to extend the benefits of the act, establishing a pension for disabled volunteer soldiers, to the disabled soldiers and sailors of the war of 1812 and of the Mexican war.

The anniversary of the arrival of the steamship *California*, twenty years since, is being celebrated by her passengers this evening. She still runs on the same coast.

Col. Von Schmidt is engaged in perfecting plans for machinery, by which he expects to drill a tunnel of five miles through the Sierra Nevada, at a rate of a mile in ninety days, and bring the waters of Lake Bigler to Sacramento and San Francisco. He will commence work this summer.

MASSACHUSETTS.

SPRINGFIELD, 28.—The satellite mill, at Monson, Mass., owned by Holmes & Son, was burned to-day. Loss, \$55,000.

CALIFORNIA.

Australian steamship line—Anniversary celebration—Plans for drilling a tunnel through the Sierra Nevada.

SAN FRANCISCO, 28.—It is now reported, as certain, that Webb's steamship line will be put on to Australia and New Zealand with or without a subsidy, on the 31st of March.

Frederick, who shot Z. Read, at Oakland, on account of a land difficulty, was admitted to bail in \$30,000.

Scott moved, as an amendment to the appropriation bill, an appropriation of \$20,000 for homeosts for soldiers and sailors' orphans, at Gettysburg, Pennsylvania, which was not agreed to.

At five p.m. the Senate took a recess until evening.

In the evening session the army appropriation bill was proceeded with.

McDonald offered an amendment, extending the jurisdiction of the Court of Claims to all the claims of legal citizens arising out of the late insurrectionary States.

Sherman was surprised that such a measure should be seriously urged, and said it would deplete the Treasury by saddling on the country all the damages done by the Union army during the war.

Sawyer favored the bill, and Edmunds, Conkling and Wilson spoke in opposition, and motions to table the amendment and bill, in order to proceed with other business, were lost. It was finally arranged that a vote be taken on McDonald's amendment to-morrow, at noon. Adjourned.

HOUSE.

Logan moved that the rules be suspended and the House agree to the Southern Pacific road bill.

Randall preferred that the House should insist on an amendment to the bill, by which the Senate and grant of twenty-five million acres was reduced to thirteen million.

Logan, too, much preferred the House bill to the Senate bill, and had so voted, but it was certainly an act of courtesy on the part of the House to agree to the conference committee asked by the Senate.

After some discussion, between Randall and Logan a vote was taken and the rule was suspended, and a conference committee of the Cincinnati and Southern railroads.

Ingersoll desired to make a statement, and followed by a motion to suspend the rules, to pass a bill to promote the construction of the Cincinnati and Southern railroads.

Winchester objected.

Ingersoll then made his motion, which was agreed to and the bill passed, yeas 131, nays 107.

Sargent offered the following resolution: "That the House proceed to the business on the Speaker's table, and the Speaker be and he be authorized to suspend the rules, and that at the end of the second speech, the previous question be not seconded, the pending bill be laid aside."

Kelly offered a substitute, which Sargent accepted and the resolution was then rejected, with only forty-eight yeas in its favor.

Butler, (Mass.) from the reconstruction committee, reported the bill to protect the legal and peaceable citizens of the United States.

The committee of conference, which was ordered on the post-emption bill, reported the bill to the House, agreed to go to the Speaker's table and go through with the bills on it, passing those to which there was no objection, and those motions to suspend the rules for the passage of such as are objected to. Unanimous consent being given, the Speaker announced he would commence the reading of the bill, and that the committee should be first gone through with so as to pass all the bills not objected to.

Logan, from the committee of conference on the post-emption bill, reported that the committee had been unable to agree and moved the appointment of another committee, which was agreed to.

The Senate amendment to the House bill, allowing the issue of duplicate registered bonds in cases where the original was believed to be lost or destroyed, was concurred in, and the bill passed.

On motion of Axtell, the Senate bill, passed in April, 1870, for giving effect to the various grants of public lands to the States and Territories, was concurred in, and the bill passed.

On motion of Axtell, the Senate bill, passed in April, 1870, for giving effect to the various grants of public lands to the States and Territories, was concurred in, and the bill passed.

On motion of Axtell, the Senate bill, passed in April, 1870, for giving effect to the various grants of public lands to the States and Territories, was concurred in, and the bill passed.

On motion of Axtell, the Senate bill, passed in April, 1870, for giving effect to the various grants of public lands to the States and Territories, was concurred in, and the bill passed.

On motion of Axtell, the Senate bill, passed in April, 1870, for giving effect to the various grants of public lands to the States and Territories, was concurred in, and the bill passed.

On motion of Axtell, the Senate bill, passed in April, 1870, for giving effect to the various grants of public lands to the States and Territories, was concurred in, and the bill passed.

On motion of Axtell, the Senate bill, passed in April, 1870, for giving effect to the various grants of public lands to the States and Territories, was concurred in, and the bill passed.

On motion of Axtell, the Senate bill, passed in April, 1870, for giving effect to the various grants of public lands to the States and Territories, was concurred in, and the bill passed.

On motion of Axtell, the Senate bill, passed in April, 1870, for giving effect to the various grants of public lands to the States and Territories, was concurred in, and the bill passed.

On motion of Axtell, the Senate bill, passed in April, 1870, for giving effect to the various grants of public lands to the States and Territories, was concurred in, and the bill passed.

On motion of Axtell, the Senate bill, passed in April, 1870, for giving effect to the various grants of public lands to the States and Territories, was concurred in, and the bill passed.

On motion of Axtell, the Senate bill, passed in April, 1870, for giving effect to the various grants of public lands to the States and Territories, was concurred in, and the bill passed.

On motion of Axtell, the Senate bill, passed in April, 1870, for giving effect to the various grants of public lands to the States and Territories, was concurred in, and the bill passed.

On motion of Axtell, the Senate bill, passed in April, 1870, for giving effect to the various grants of public lands to the States and Territories, was concurred in, and the bill passed.

On motion of Axtell, the Senate bill, passed in April, 1870, for giving effect to the various grants of public lands to the States and Territories, was concurred in, and the bill passed.

On motion of Axtell, the Senate bill, passed in April, 1870, for giving effect to the various grants of public lands to the States and Territories, was concurred in, and the bill passed.

On motion of Axtell, the Senate bill, passed in April, 1870, for giving effect to the various grants of public lands to the States and Territories, was concurred in, and the bill passed.

On motion of Axtell, the Senate bill, passed in April, 1870, for giving effect to the various grants of public lands to the States and Territories, was concurred in, and the bill passed.

On motion of Axtell, the Senate bill, passed in April, 1870, for giving effect to the various grants of public lands to the States and Territories, was concurred in, and the bill passed.

On motion of Axtell, the Senate bill, passed in April, 1870, for giving effect to the various grants of public lands to the States and Territories, was concurred in, and the bill passed.

or converting this position, let us understand the settlers before Congress had legislated for the Territory.

"In the absence of proof to the contrary, the common law is presumed to exist in the State of the Union which existed originally in colonies of England, or were carved out of such colonies."

"The same presumption prevails as to the extension of the common-law in those States which have been established in territory acquired since the Revolution, where such territory was not, at the time of its acquisition, occupied by an organized and civilized community, but where the population upon the establishment of government, was formed by emigration from the original States."

"As in British colonies, established in uncultivated regions by emigration from the parent country, the subjects are considered as carrying with them the common law, so far as it is applicable to their new situation; so, when American citizens emigrate into territory which is unoccupied by civilized men, and commence the formation of a new government, they are equally considered as carrying with them the same law, in its modified and improved condition under the influence of modern civilization and republican principles."

(Norris vs. Harris, 15 Cal. 256.) "It is the common jurisprudence of the United States, and was brought with them as colonists from England."

(1 Kent's Com. 342-3.) "Our ancestors brought with them the general principles of the common law of England, and claimed it as their birthright."

"It is to be assumed by this court as a part of the jurisprudence of the State." (Opinion of the Court by Story, J. in Van Nostrand vs. Taylor, 14 Cal. 114.)

The Federal Courts will administer the common law, the civil law, or whatever system may prevail in a particular State. (The People vs. Folson, 5 Cal. 401; Weston vs. Folson, 8 Cal. 501; Kendall vs. United States, 12 Id. 524; Pennsylvania vs. Wheeling Bridge Co., 13 How. 518, 564.)

Common law is that general body of law, those general principles and those general usages which are to be found, not in the legislative acts of any particular State, but that generally recognized and established law which forms the substratum of the laws of every State." (Forbes vs. Scannell, 13 Cal. 285; Van Varen vs. Johnson, 15 Cal. 308; Reid vs. Eldridge, 27 Cal. 346.)

The statutes passed in England before the emigration of our ancestors, which were in amendment of the law, and which are applicable to our situation, constitute a part of the common law." (Paterson vs. Winn, 5 Peters 233; Calhoun vs. Robinson, 12 Id. 280; Taylor vs. Thompson, Id. 258.)

Some of the inhabitants of this Territory, carrying with them the organized States of the Union, but a large portion came from the British Isles, particularly from England; others came from Germany, Holland, Norway, Sweden and Denmark; a large majority of the adults are foreign born; all came from countries where monogamy is the marital rule, and where bigamy is denounced as monstrous crimes; all came from countries whose laws, like the Roman civil law and English common law, condemn the man who has two wives as a bigamist, and the man who has more than two wives as a polygamist, and all of whose countries severely punish such criminals.

It makes no difference whether the pioneers who settled in Utah found here the principles of the Roman civil law, or brought here the principles of the English common law; in either case great systems of jurisprudence with equal emphasis condemn polygamy and bigamy which are regarded as practically the same crime. Blackstone says: "Polygamy is a crime, and is punished by every national civil establishment whatever specious reasons may be urged for it by the eastern nations, the fallaciousness of which has been fully proved by many sensible writers. It has never obtained in this part of the world, even from the time of our German ancestors, and is not now punished by laws both of ancient and modern Sweden with death. And with us, in England, it is enacted by statute 1 Jac. I. C. 11, that if any person, being married do afterwards marry again, the former husband or wife being alive in life, he or she shall be guilty of felony." (Blackstone's Commentaries, Vol. 4, p. 104 marg.) Chancellor Kent says: "No person can marry while the former husband or wife is living." "If there be no statute regulation in the case, the principle of the common law, and not only of England, but generally of the Christian world is, that no length of time, absence, and not even death or the decree of a court competently competent to the case, can dissolve the marriage tie." (Kent's Commentaries, Vol. 4, p. 70.)

"The direct and serious prohibition of polygamy contained in our law, is founded on the principles of Christianity, and is incompatible with civilization, refinement and domestic felicity." (Kent's Com. Vol. 2, p. 81. See 1 Donat's Civil Law, 13, and Chambers' Nueces, 9, Vol. 339.)

Emigrants have been coming into this Territory from all parts of the world since the Treaty of Guadalupe Hidalgo, which was proclaimed A. D. 1848, to the present time; and whether they found here the principles of the common law, or brought here the principles of the common law, they were alike forbidden to practice the crime of bigamy, and that, without any Congressional legislation, and enacted that by the Organic Act for this Territory, approved Sept. 9, A. D. 1850, Congress, among other things, provided a Supreme and District Courts for the Territory, and District Courts respectively, and gave them jurisdiction, "—thus by statute the Territory of the United States, and the principles of the common law, have brought hither. And the Act of Congress, of July 1, A. D. 1862, denouncing penalties against the crime of bigamy, was in strict harmony with the principles of both the civil and the common law. It is quite time that these men in this community who pretend the people, who have been ignorantly or willingly misled into bigamy, make provision for the support of their illegitimate children and the mothers of these children, and then let them come to court with their complaints, and say they shall have done and persisted in such works meet for repentance; they will be time enough for them to apply for help, and they will be found that they are men of good moral character, attached to the principles of the Constitution of the United States, and well disposed to the good cause of civilization and domestic felicity. Whatever the present applicants for naturalization may have supposed in regard to the law prior to 1862, they now know that the law condemns their crime, to become the new settlers who

which the court presumes they once were, let them at once begin to obey the laws, laws in harmony with the principles and practices of all civilized nations; let them no longer listen to the profligate, no longer imitate the examples of false teachers, who would have them believe that the man who turns away from the wife of his youth, and takes to his bed a young concubine, does a deed of piety, a deed, however, which reminds civilized men of the filial piety which prevails among certain African tribes, where aged parents by knocking them on the head with a club.

These applications for naturalization must be rejected.

Special Notices.

HARDWARE.—In this line William Blair & Co., carry on a large and thriving business, and in their traffic have earned a wide-spread reputation for "squareness" in their deal and the reasonable prices of their goods. They occupy 1