

# DESERT EVENING NEWS.

VOL. XIV. SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH TERRITORY, WEDNESDAY EVENING, JUNE 1, 1891. NO. 160.

## NEW YORK TRADE.

### HAZARD POWDER

Constantly on hand a complete stock of the well known and justly celebrated  
**GUNPOWDER**  
BLASTING, KENTUCKY HILL,  
FAIR LAKE, DUCK,  
ELECTRIC, FUSE,  
For Sale by J. C. M. I., and all the principal stores in the Territory, and by  
H. B. CLAWSON, Agent.

### BATES & JOHNSON,

SUCCESSORS TO  
WYLLIS M. WARNER,  
HIGH AND LOW PRICED  
**STEAM HEATING APPARATUS**,  
114 Leonard Street, N. Y.

David James, Agent, Salt Lake City.

The Assembly Hall, Salt Lake City, is  
warned by our Apparatus erected by Mr.  
James.

### HEMPHILL, HAMLIN & CO.

**CARPETING**,  
FLOOR OIL CUTS, DRUGS, ETC.,  
343 & 344 BROADWAY,  
NEW YORK.

Our Goods are kept by J. C. M. I. and its  
Branch Store.

### PECK BROS. & CO.

MANUFACTURERS OF  
BRASS AND PLATED WORK FOR WHEELS AND STEAM  
BOILERS.

### PLUMBERS MATERIALS.

73 BEEKMAN STREET,  
NEW YORK.  
Sole by permission to David James, Salt  
Lake City.

### B. W. MERRIAM & CO.

MANUFACTURERS OF AND DEALERS IN  
**LOOKING GLASSES**  
OF EVERY DESCRIPTION.  
NO. 577 BROADWAY,  
NEW YORK.

### A. W. FABER'S

STATIONERY ARTICLES & ARTISTS' MATERIALS.  
MAY BE HAD AT  
J. C. M. I.,  
Gold Pens and Pencils, Combs, Pen-  
holders, Rubber Goods, Lead Pencil  
Cases, Etc.

### BENEDICT, HALL & CO.

Wholesale Manufacturers of  
**BOOTS**  
AND  
**SHOES**.  
Nos. 124 and 126 Grand Street,  
Corner Crosby,  
NEW YORK.

### ABBEY & IMBRIE,

NEW YORK.  
Manufacturers of every descrip-  
tion of  
**HIGH GRADE**  
**FISHING TACKLE**.  
For sale by all dealers in the Territory.

### USE

**CLARK'S**  
TRADE  
**MARK**  
**SPPOOL COTTON**.

### GEORGE A. CLARK,

SOLE AGENT.  
THE BEST AND MOST POPULAR  
Sewing Thread of Modern Times.  
BEWARE OF IMITATIONS.  
A COMPLETE ASSORTMENT  
FOR SALE BY  
J. C. M. I.,  
Branches and Dealers Everywhere.

### CLARK BROTHERS.

Formerly Draper, Clark & Co.  
**HAT WAREHOUSE**,  
622 & 624 BROADWAY,  
and 106 & 108 CROSBY STREET,  
NEW YORK.

### BATES, REED & COOLEY

Importers and Jobbers of  
**SILK AND FANCY DRY GOODS**,  
Including a full and complete line of  
Prints, Ginghams and Domestics.  
845, 847 and 849 N. 3rd Street,  
NEW YORK CITY.

### THOS. M. ARGALL & CO.

Manufacturers of  
**MEN'S & BOYS'**  
**CLOTHING**.  
230 BROADWAY,  
NEW YORK.

### BRINCKERHOFF, TURNER & CO.

100 Duane Street, New York.  
Manufacturers of and Dealers in  
FOR SALE, BUCK, "Woodbury,"  
Mills, "Polhemus" and other famous  
brands, all numbers—Prints, Ginghams and  
Soft  
COTTON CLOTHING of all numbers—  
110 to 150 inches wide, for Dress—Cotton—  
and Wagon Coverings. Machine Agents for  
these persons, constantly on hand and made  
to order.  
Agents for U. S. Sundry Co., "Standard"  
and "Eagle" by the Case or in quantity.  
157 These Goods can be obtained at J. C. M. I.

### PHILLIPS & CO.

Manufacturers of all sizes, single and  
double strength  
**WINDOW GLASS**  
10th and 11th Streets, Pittsburg, Pa.  
For goods kept in full line by Lathrop,  
Taylor & Co., Salt Lake City.

## ST. LOUIS TRADE.

### Fairbanks' Scales

THE STANDARD OF THE WORLD.



### Eclipse Wind Mills

The Strongest Mills Made.  
SAFEST TO BUY.  
Because they are the best (none as solid and  
durable as the Eclipse). They are made of  
solid iron, and are of the best quality of  
material. Send for catalogue describing all  
articles wanted.

### FAIRBANKS & CO.

102 & 104 Washington Ave., St. Louis, Mo.  
AGENTS FOR  
The Hancock Inspirator,  
THE BEST BOILER FEEDER KNOWN.

### L. M. RUMSEY & CO.

Manufacturers and Jobbers of  
**PUMPS AND FIRE ENGINES**,  
Lead Pipe and Sheet Lead, Gas Pipe,  
Plumbers' and Mechanics' Fitters,  
Brass Goods, Belting,  
Ropes and Packing.

### AGRICULTURAL IMPLEMENTS,

Fein Wire, Barbed Wire, Fenc-  
ing, Etc., Etc.  
210 NORTH SECOND ST., ST. LOUIS, MO.

### Leggett & Myers Tobacco Co.

MANUFACTURERS OF THE  
**STAR RANGER, COMMERCIAL, CLIPPER, AMER-  
ICAN** AND OTHER BRANDS OF  
**TOBACCO**.  
Sole by J. C. M. I. and Branch Store.

### W. H. GRADY, Pres.

F. W. ROCKWELL, Sec'y.

### SOUTHERN COAST

STRICTLY  
PURE WHITE  
LEAD  
PURE LINED  
OIL  
ST. LOUIS.

Every package of this Company's brand of

### STRICTLY PURE WHITE LEAD.

Bears the Company's guarantee of its  
Absolute Purity.

### THE SOUTHERN WHITE LEAD

Is manufactured entirely from the Best  
Refined Pig Lead, and is ground in pure  
linseed oil, and as the name of this Com-  
pany is prominent on the label, it is guaran-  
teed to be of the highest quality.

### STRICTLY PURE.

Parties buying White Lead branded "South-  
ern White Lead" are absolutely sure of obtain-  
ing the highest quality of the product. The  
"Southern White Lead" is the oldest and best  
known brand of White Lead in the Territory,  
and for economy and quality stands without a  
rival.

### FOR SALE BY

DEALERS GENERALLY.

### CHICAGO TRADE.

### TURNER & RAY,

DEALERS IN  
**BOOTS AND SHOE MANUFACTUR-  
ING**—CROCOD, MACHIN-  
ERY, TOOLS, Etc., Etc.

### WHOLESALE MANUFACTURERS GOODS

and Machinery a Specialty.  
CHICAGO, ILL.

### M. S. VANDERBILT, Wm. LEBERT.

### JOHN C. NEEMES & CO.

MANUFACTURING  
**CONFECTIONERS**  
20, 22, 24, 26, 28, 30, 32, 34, 36, 38, 40, 42, 44, 46, 48, 50, 52, 54, 56, 58, 60, 62, 64, 66, 68, 70, 72, 74, 76, 78, 80, 82, 84, 86, 88, 90, 92, 94, 96, 98, 100, 102, 104, 106, 108, 110, 112, 114, 116, 118, 120, 122, 124, 126, 128, 130, 132, 134, 136, 138, 140, 142, 144, 146, 148, 150, 152, 154, 156, 158, 160, 162, 164, 166, 168, 170, 172, 174, 176, 178, 180, 182, 184, 186, 188, 190, 192, 194, 196, 198, 200, 202, 204, 206, 208, 210, 212, 214, 216, 218, 220, 222, 224, 226, 228, 230, 232, 234, 236, 238, 240, 242, 244, 246, 248, 250, 252, 254, 256, 258, 260, 262, 264, 266, 268, 270, 272, 274, 276, 278, 280, 282, 284, 286, 288, 290, 292, 294, 296, 298, 300, 302, 304, 306, 308, 310, 312, 314, 316, 318, 320, 322, 324, 326, 328, 330, 332, 334, 336, 338, 340, 342, 344, 346, 348, 350, 352, 354, 356, 358, 360, 362, 364, 366, 368, 370, 372, 374, 376, 378, 380, 382, 384, 386, 388, 390, 392, 394, 396, 398, 400, 402, 404, 406, 408, 410, 412, 414, 416, 418, 420, 422, 424, 426, 428, 430, 432, 434, 436, 438, 440, 442, 444, 446, 448, 450, 452, 454, 456, 458, 460, 462, 464, 466, 468, 470, 472, 474, 476, 478, 480, 482, 484, 486, 488, 490, 492, 494, 496, 498, 500, 502, 504, 506, 508, 510, 512, 514, 516, 518, 520, 522, 524, 526, 528, 530, 532, 534, 536, 538, 540, 542, 544, 546, 548, 550, 552, 554, 556, 558, 560, 562, 564, 566, 568, 570, 572, 574, 576, 578, 580, 582, 584, 586, 588, 590, 592, 594, 596, 598, 600, 602, 604, 606, 608, 610, 612, 614, 616, 618, 620, 622, 624, 626, 628, 630, 632, 634, 636, 638, 640, 642, 644, 646, 648, 650, 652, 654, 656, 658, 660, 662, 664, 666, 668, 670, 672, 674, 676, 678, 680, 682, 684, 686, 688, 690, 692, 694, 696, 698, 700, 702, 704, 706, 708, 710, 712, 714, 716, 718, 720, 722, 724, 726, 728, 730, 732, 734, 736, 738, 740, 742, 744, 746, 748, 750, 752, 754, 756, 758, 760, 762, 764, 766, 768, 770, 772, 774, 776, 778, 780, 782, 784, 786, 788, 790, 792, 794, 796, 798, 800, 802, 804, 806, 808, 810, 812, 814, 816, 818, 820, 822, 824, 826, 828, 830, 832, 834, 836, 838, 840, 842, 844, 846, 848, 850, 852, 854, 856, 858, 860, 862, 864, 866, 868, 870, 872, 874, 876, 878, 880, 882, 884, 886, 888, 890, 892, 894, 896, 898, 900, 902, 904, 906, 908, 910, 912, 914, 916, 918, 920, 922, 924, 926, 928, 930, 932, 934, 936, 938, 940, 942, 944, 946, 948, 950, 952, 954, 956, 958, 960, 962, 964, 966, 968, 970, 972, 974, 976, 978, 980, 982, 984, 986, 988, 990, 992, 994, 996, 998, 1000.

### KEITH BROTHERS,

MANUFACTURERS AND JOBBERS OF  
**Hats, Caps,**  
**FURS,**  
AND  
**STRAW GOODS.**

### MEN'S FURNISHING GOODS,

**BUCK GOODS, ETC.**  
202, 204, 206 & 208, Madison Street,  
CHICAGO, ILL.

### AN ARTICLE OF WOUND-WOUND

FARMER'S PAPER DESCRIBED.

### TRY TO

N. K. FARMER & CO.

For Sale by J. C. M. I. and its  
Branches and Dealers Everywhere.

### PHILLIPS & CO.

Manufacturers of all sizes, single and  
double strength  
**WINDOW GLASS**  
10th and 11th Streets, Pittsburg, Pa.  
For goods kept in full line by Lathrop,  
Taylor & Co., Salt Lake City.

## BY TELEGRAPH.

### WASHINGTON ITEMS.

#### Important Decisions.

WASHINGTON, 31.—An important  
decision was rendered by the French  
American claims commission to-day  
in the case of Joseph Napoleon Per-  
che, Archbishop of New Orleans, and  
the United States. The memorial of the  
claimant set forth that in 1825, at which time he was a  
French subject residing in New Or-  
leans, the military force of the  
United States, under the command  
of General R. F. Butler, occupied  
that city and demolished property  
belonging to him to the value of  
\$30,000, and subjected him to arrest  
and imprisonment. For these in-  
juries he claims he is entitled to  
damages in \$40,000 with interest.  
The United States maintains that  
the archbishop is not entitled to re-  
cover at all, as a French subject un-  
der the present treaty, for the reason  
that he is now, and has been since  
1870, a naturalized American citizen.  
Following is the decision of the  
commission:  
Archbishop Perche, in his mem-  
orial states, he was naturalized in  
the United States in 1870. He does  
not claim to be a French citizen.  
The United States maintains that  
the archbishop is not entitled to re-  
cover at all, as a French subject un-  
der the present treaty, for the reason  
that he is now, and has been since  
1870, a naturalized American citizen.  
Following is the decision of the  
commission:  
Archbishop Perche, in his mem-  
orial states, he was naturalized in  
the United States in 1870. He does  
not claim to be a French citizen.  
The United States maintains that  
the archbishop is not entitled to re-  
cover at all, as a French subject un-  
der the present treaty, for the reason  
that he is now, and has been since  
1870, a naturalized American citizen.  
Following is the decision of the  
commission:  
Archbishop Perche, in his mem-  
orial states, he was naturalized in  
the United States in 1870. He does  
not claim to be a French citizen.  
The United States maintains that  
the archbishop is not entitled to re-  
cover at all, as a French subject un-  
der the present treaty, for the reason  
that he is now, and has been since  
1870, a naturalized American citizen.  
Following is the decision of the  
commission:  
Archbishop Perche, in his mem-  
orial states, he was naturalized in  
the United States in 1870. He does  
not claim to be a French citizen.  
The United States maintains that  
the archbishop is not entitled to re-  
cover at all, as a French subject un-  
der the present treaty, for the reason  
that he is now, and has been since  
1870, a naturalized American citizen.  
Following is the decision of the  
commission:  
Archbishop Perche, in his mem-  
orial states, he was naturalized in  
the United States in 1870. He does  
not claim to be a French citizen.  
The United States maintains that  
the archbishop is not entitled to re-  
cover at all, as a French subject un-  
der the present treaty, for the reason  
that he is now, and has been since  
1870, a naturalized American citizen.  
Following is the decision of the  
commission:  
Archbishop Perche, in his mem-  
orial states, he was naturalized in  
the United States in 1870. He does  
not claim to be a French citizen.  
The United States maintains that  
the archbishop is not entitled to re-  
cover at all, as a French subject un-  
der the present treaty, for the reason  
that he is now, and has been since  
1870, a naturalized American citizen.  
Following is the decision of the  
commission:  
Archbishop Perche, in his mem-  
orial states, he was naturalized in  
the United States in 1870. He does  
not claim to be a French citizen.  
The United States maintains that  
the archbishop is not entitled to re-  
cover at all, as a French subject un-  
der the present treaty, for the reason  
that he is now, and has been since  
1870, a naturalized American citizen.  
Following is the decision of the  
commission:  
Archbishop Perche, in his mem-  
orial states, he was naturalized in  
the United States in 1870. He does  
not claim to be a French citizen.  
The United States maintains that  
the archbishop is not entitled to re-  
cover at all, as a French subject un-  
der the present treaty, for the reason  
that he is now, and has been since  
1870, a naturalized American citizen.  
Following is the decision of the  
commission:  
Archbishop Perche, in his mem-  
orial states, he was naturalized in  
the United States in 1870. He does  
not claim to be a French citizen.  
The United States maintains that  
the archbishop is not entitled to re-  
cover at all, as a French subject un-  
der the present treaty, for the reason  
that he is now, and has been since  
1870, a naturalized American citizen.  
Following is the decision of the  
commission:  
Archbishop Perche, in his mem-  
orial states, he was naturalized in  
the United States in 1870. He does  
not claim to be a French citizen.  
The United States maintains that  
the archbishop is not entitled to re-  
cover at all, as a French subject un-  
der the present treaty, for the reason  
that he is now, and has been since  
1870, a naturalized American citizen.  
Following is the decision of the  
commission:  
Archbishop Perche, in his mem-  
orial states, he was naturalized in  
the United States in 1870. He does  
not claim to be a French citizen.  
The United States maintains that  
the archbishop is not entitled to re-  
cover at all, as a French subject un-  
der the present treaty, for the reason  
that he is now, and has been since  
1870, a naturalized American citizen.  
Following is the decision of the  
commission:  
Archbishop Perche, in his mem-  
orial states, he was naturalized in  
the United States in 1870. He does  
not claim to be a French citizen.  
The United States maintains that  
the archbishop is not entitled to re-  
cover at all, as a French subject un-  
der the present treaty, for the reason  
that he is now, and has been since  
1870, a naturalized American citizen.  
Following is the decision of the  
commission:  
Archbishop Perche, in his mem-  
orial states, he was naturalized in  
the United States in 1870. He does  
not claim to be a French citizen.  
The United States maintains that  
the archbishop is not entitled to re-  
cover at all, as a French subject un-  
der the present treaty, for the reason  
that he is now, and has been since  
1870, a naturalized American citizen.  
Following is the decision of the  
commission:  
Archbishop Perche, in his mem-  
orial states, he was naturalized in  
the United States in 1870. He does  
not claim to be a French citizen.  
The United States maintains that  
the archbishop is not entitled to re-  
cover at all, as a French subject un-  
der the present treaty, for the reason  
that he is now, and has been since  
1870, a naturalized American citizen.  
Following is the decision of the  
commission:  
Archbishop Perche, in his mem-  
orial states, he was naturalized in  
the United States in 1870. He does  
not claim to be a French citizen.  
The United States maintains that  
the archbishop is not entitled to re-  
cover at all, as a French subject un-  
der the present treaty, for the reason  
that he is now, and has been since  
1870, a naturalized American citizen.  
Following is the decision of the  
commission:  
Archbishop Perche, in his mem-  
orial states, he was naturalized in  
the United States in 1870. He does  
not claim to be a French citizen.  
The United States maintains that  
the archbishop is not entitled to re-  
cover at all, as a French subject un-  
der the present treaty, for the reason  
that he is now, and has been since  
1870, a naturalized American citizen.  
Following is the decision of the  
commission:  
Archbishop Perche, in his mem-  
orial states, he was naturalized in  
the United States in 1870. He does  
not claim to be a French citizen.  
The United States maintains that  
the archbishop is not entitled to re-  
cover at all, as a French subject un-  
der the present treaty, for the reason  
that he is now, and has been since  
1870, a naturalized American citizen.  
Following is the decision of the  
commission:  
Archbishop Perche, in his mem-  
orial states, he was naturalized in  
the United States in 1870. He does  
not claim to be a French citizen.  
The United States maintains that  
the archbishop is not entitled to re-  
cover at all, as a French subject un-  
der the present treaty, for the reason  
that he is now, and has been since  
1870, a naturalized American citizen.  
Following is the decision of the  
commission:  
Archbishop Perche, in his mem-  
orial states, he was naturalized in  
the United States in 1870. He does  
not claim to be a French citizen.  
The United States maintains that  
the archbishop is not entitled to re-  
cover at all, as a French subject un-  
der the present treaty, for the reason  
that he is now, and has been since  
1870, a naturalized American citizen.  
Following is the decision of the  
commission:  
Archbishop Perche, in his mem-  
orial states, he was naturalized in  
the United States in 1870. He does  
not claim to be a French citizen.  
The United States maintains that  
the archbishop is not entitled to re-  
cover at all, as a French subject un-  
der the present treaty, for the reason  
that he is now, and has been since  
1870, a naturalized American citizen.  
Following is the decision of the  
commission:  
Archbishop Perche, in his mem-  
orial states, he was naturalized in  
the United States in 1870. He does  
not claim to be a French citizen.  
The United States maintains that  
the archbishop is not entitled to re-  
cover at all, as a French subject un-  
der the present treaty, for the reason  
that he is now, and has been since  
1870, a naturalized American citizen.  
Following is the decision of the  
commission:  
Archbishop Perche, in his mem-  
orial states, he was naturalized in  
the United States in 1870. He does  
not claim to be a French citizen.  
The United States maintains that  
the archbishop is not entitled to re-  
cover at all, as a French subject un-  
der the present treaty, for the reason  
that he is now, and has been since  
1870, a naturalized American citizen.  
Following is the decision of the  
commission:  
Archbishop Perche, in his mem-  
orial states, he was naturalized in  
the United States in 1870. He does  
not claim to be a French citizen.  
The United States maintains that  
the archbishop is not entitled to re-  
cover at all, as a French subject un-  
der the present treaty, for the reason  
that he is now, and has been since  
1870, a naturalized American citizen.  
Following is the decision of the  
commission:  
Archbishop Perche, in his mem-  
orial states, he was naturalized in  
the United States in 1870. He does  
not claim to be a French citizen.  
The United States maintains that  
the archbishop is not entitled to re-  
cover at all, as a French subject un-  
der the present treaty, for the reason  
that he is now, and has been since  
1870, a naturalized American citizen.  
Following is the decision of the  
commission:  
Archbishop Perche, in his mem-  
orial states, he was naturalized in  
the United States in 1870. He does  
not claim to be a French citizen.  
The United States maintains that  
the archbishop is not entitled to re-  
cover at all, as a French subject un-  
der the present treaty, for the reason  
that he is now, and has been since  
1870, a naturalized American citizen.  
Following is the decision of the  
commission:  
Archbishop Perche, in his mem-  
orial states, he was naturalized in  
the United States in 1870. He does  
not claim to be a French citizen.  
The United States maintains that  
the archbishop is not entitled to re-  
cover at all, as a French subject un-  
der the present treaty, for the reason  
that he is now, and has been since  
1870, a naturalized American citizen.  
Following is the decision of the  
commission:  
Archbishop Perche, in his mem-  
orial states, he was naturalized in  
the United States in 1870. He does  
not claim to be a French citizen.  
The United States maintains that  
the archbishop is not entitled to re-  
cover at all, as a French subject un-  
der the present treaty, for the reason  
that he is now, and has been since  
1870, a naturalized American citizen.  
Following is the decision of the  
commission:  
Archbishop Perche, in his mem-  
orial states, he was naturalized in  
the United States in 1870. He does  
not claim to be a French citizen.  
The United States maintains that  
the archbishop is not entitled to re-  
cover at all, as a French subject un-  
der the present treaty, for the reason  
that he is now, and has been since  
1870, a naturalized American citizen.  
Following is the decision of the  
commission:  
Archbishop Perche, in his mem-  
orial states, he was naturalized in  
the United States in 1870. He does  
not claim to be a French citizen.  
The United States maintains that  
the archbishop is not entitled to re-  
cover at all, as a French subject un-  
der the present treaty, for the reason  
that he is now, and has been since  
1870, a naturalized American citizen.  
Following is the decision of the  
commission:  
Archbishop Perche, in his mem-  
orial states, he was naturalized in  
the United States in 1870. He does  
not claim to be a French citizen.  
The United States maintains that  
the archbishop is not entitled to re-  
cover at all, as a French subject un-  
der the present treaty, for the reason  
that he is now, and has been since  
1870, a naturalized American citizen.  
Following is the decision of the  
commission:  
Archbishop Perche, in his mem-  
orial states, he was naturalized in  
the United States in 1870. He does  
not claim to be a French citizen.  
The United States maintains that  
the archbishop is not entitled to re-  
cover at all, as a French subject un-  
der the present treaty, for the reason  
that he is now, and has been since  
1870, a naturalized American citizen.  
Following is the decision of the  
commission:  
Archbishop Perche, in his mem-  
orial states, he was naturalized in  
the United States in 1870. He does  
not claim to be a French citizen.  
The United States maintains that  
the archbishop is not entitled to re-  
cover at all, as a French subject un-  
der the present treaty, for the reason  
that he is now, and has been since  
1870, a naturalized American citizen.  
Following is the decision of the  
commission:  
Archbishop Perche, in his mem-  
orial states, he was naturalized in  
the United States in 1870. He does  
not claim to be a French citizen.  
The United States maintains that  
the archbishop is not entitled to re-  
cover at all, as a French subject un-  
der the present treaty, for the reason  
that he is now, and has been since  
1870, a naturalized American citizen.  
Following is the decision of the  
commission:  
Archbishop Perche, in his mem-  
orial states, he was naturalized in  
the United States in 1870. He does  
not claim to be a French citizen.  
The United States maintains that  
the archbishop is not entitled to re-  
cover at all, as a French subject un-  
der the present treaty, for the reason  
that he is now, and has been since  
1870, a naturalized American citizen.  
Following is the decision of the  
commission:  
Archbishop Perche, in his mem-  
orial states, he was naturalized in  
the United States in 1870. He does  
not claim to be a French citizen.  
The United States maintains that  
the archbishop is not entitled to re-  
cover at all, as a French subject un-  
der the present treaty, for the reason  
that he is now, and has been since  
1870, a naturalized American citizen.  
Following is the decision of the  
commission:  
Archbishop Perche, in his mem-  
orial states, he was naturalized in  
the United States in 1870. He does  
not claim to be a French citizen.  
The United States maintains that  
the archbishop is not entitled to re-  
cover at all, as a French subject un-  
der the present treaty, for the reason  
that he is now, and has been since  
1870, a naturalized American citizen.  
Following is the decision of the  
commission:  
Archbishop Perche, in his mem-  
orial states, he was naturalized in  
the United States in 1870. He does  
not claim to be a French citizen.  
The United States maintains that  
the archbishop is not entitled to re-  
cover at all, as a French subject un-  
der the present treaty, for the reason  
that he is now, and has been since  
1870, a naturalized American citizen.  
Following is the decision of the  
commission:  
Archbishop Perche, in his mem-  
orial states, he was naturalized in  
the United States in