

THE SEMI-WEEKLY NEWS

THE GREAT COUNTRY PAPER OF THE WEST

Is Issued Mondays and Thursdays and contains all the cream of the Daily and Saturday News

LOCAL BRIEFS.

The level of the great lake continues to fall slowly, as the result of consequent evaporation.

Ralph, seven-year-old son of J. H. Plant, fell from a hayrack yesterday afternoon, and dislocated his elbow.

Richard C. F. Gunther, formerly of Germany, now of Salt Lake, was admitted to citizenship today by Judge Armstrong.

There will be a meeting of the Lewis & Clark Exposition commission tomorrow morning at 10 o'clock in the governor's office.

The little son of S. J. Kelly, aged four, while playing circus yesterday afternoon, fell and broke his left arm just above the elbow.

Mrs. John W. Taylor and Misses Leona and May Taylor have rented a cottage in Wasatch for a month, and will leave for the resort tomorrow.

Gov. Cutler, State Auditor Edwards and State Treasurer Christiansen are in Provo today attending the regular monthly meeting of the state board of insanity.

Several of the faculty of the state university are preparing to build new dormitories on the upper east bench which is becoming very popular for residence purposes.

Soliciting committees of the Commercial club working in the interest of the proposed Wasatch drive report gratifying success up to date, but are not yet ready to give out figures.

The Real Estate men will turn in and hear the Elks in their purple day celebration, with July 4, 14, 24 and 27 as festive days, the month is pretty well peppered with festive occasions.

State Super. of Schools Nelson will arrive home from Auburn Park, N. J., tomorrow morning and will hold a meeting of the state board of education on Saturday afternoon at 2 o'clock.

Edward Karriek, in prison at Fort Douglas for desertion, escaped yesterday afternoon, and at last accounts, a reward of \$50 is offered for his capture.

George Rose inadvertently ran his hand against a pin saw in the Commercial Planning mill yesterday, and the L. D. S. hospital doctors found it necessary to amputate three fingers. The rest of the hand may be saved.

J. B. Milburn, a Price saloon keeper, went to sleep on the street last night in this city, with a \$1,000 draft, \$36 in cash and a gold watch in his pocket. Officer Morris woke Milburn up and took him to the police station after something of a struggle.

Local Elks are delighted with Denver's success in securing the next general convention, and propose to see that Utah is largely and handsomely represented in the party. They predict a general outpouring of Elks from all of the large western cities, and an immense attendance generally.

Judge Breeden has received word from his former home at Santa Fe, N. M., of the death of one of the most prominent old fellows in the territory. A. P. Hogle, who died from injuries received in falling from a street car in Denver. One of Mr. Hogle's boys was in the president's retinue.

A Boston man named A. M. Cannon, is in town with his bride, formerly Miss Elsie Cannon of California. The groom traveled clear across the continent to marry a girl of his own name, and found her. The couple are en route to "The Hub of the Universe," where they will reside.

The state board of equalization is hindered and delayed in the preparation of its annual report, by the failure of the auditors of several counties to send in the local reports. The offending counties are Carbon, Emery, Duchesne, Kane, San Juan, Utah, Uintah, Wasatch. All county reports should have been in by July 1.

Dist. Atty. Fred C. Lounsbrough has drawn up a report of the business of his office during the first six months of his administration, which have been 38 convictions in felony cases and only one case has been appealed. The record is a splendid and unusual one as during the entire year 1904 there were less than 30 felony convictions.

The Real Estate men claim to have sold 15,000 tickets to their feast at Saltair. There is a parade at 3 o'clock, an evening of automobiles and bicycles, and a big band in advertisement of the feast to the lake. The real estate men hope to make the occasion the biggest of its kind the west ever saw.

Postmaster A. L. Thomas received word last evening from Washington of his appointment as custodian of the new Federal building, now in charge of the government superintendent of construction, Mr. Dorsey Ash. The transfer will be made next month after formal acceptance of the structure from the contractor by the special agent to be sent out here.

Local manufacturers and dealers report a greatly increased demand for harnesses for many purposes, particularly among the farmers, and there is also a strong demand for carriages and wagons of various kinds. One local carriage repository looked today as though a cyclone had been operating in that vicinity, so many vehicles had been taken out of late.

Local hotel clerks are taking a long breath of relief over the abolition of the free telephone at the hotels. A Kenyon clerk remarked today that when the free phone was in operation it took over half his time in waiting on guests in this connection. Now, guests go in to a box to do their talking, and pay their check for the same, and the clerks are relieved from all further trouble.

Miss Louise Benz, secretary of the Salt Lake Christian union, is in receipt of an acknowledgment by General Secretary von Vogt of the United States of Christian Endeavor at Boston of a resolution passed by the union advising

that the evangelical prison work of the society at large be made a department by itself. The secretary promised that serious consideration would be given the matter.

Arrangements are being made by Acting Mayor Hewlett to have the \$500,000 bond money account transferred to a local bank. A proposition has been made by one of the banks to pay the city 2 per cent interest on the bond money deposited. As it will be some time before any large portion of the money is needed, it will be seen that what amount is not in use can be drawing interest which will amount to a considerable sum.

There will be civil service examinations in this city, Aug. 2 next, for the position of mechanical, bureau of roads, department of commerce and labor, at \$300 per annum, also, for the position of clerk and foreman of cutters, quartermaster department, Schuyler arsenal, Philadelphia, at \$1,500 per annum; also for the position of plumber, Panama canal, age limit 21 to 45, and pay at 56 cents per hour; also for the position of assistant superintendent of construction, quartermaster's department, at Fort McKean, Wyo., at \$900 per annum.

The new Y. M. C. A. gymnasium, in order to give more perfect training to the athletic young men and his older brother, has secured another expert to assist Director Burdette in the gymnasium. The new man, John L. Girard, will take personal charge of wrestling, tumbling, general mat work and basketball. He is an expert in his line, with a long experience in gymnasium work. He comes here from Colorado where he was connected with the Agricultural college at Fort Collins for last year. He competed in the Paris exposition as a representative from Canada, and did a record of 16 flat in the 100 yard event. He weighs only 125 pounds, but is very "tough" in build and is an excellent wrestler for his weight. Mr. Girard will establish his family in Salt Lake, and intends to make it his permanent home.

Save your money and when you get a dollar, deposit it with Zion's Savings Bank and Trust Co., Salt Lake City, Utah. Jos. F. Smith, President; George M. Cannon, Cashier.

C. S. Martin Coal Co.
Rock Springs, Colorado, Route Gate and Clear Creek lump, nut and slack.

PURE FOOD SHOW.

One to be given at Utahna Park in September and October.

The campaign against deception and fraud in the manufacture of food stuffs, which is receiving general attention from the nation, is not to be passed over by Utah merchants. The grocers and butchers of Salt Lake, who are an organization for their own protection have been waging war against wholesalers dealing in short weight and inferior goods, and are now planning a pure food exposition. It will be given at Utahna park from Sept. 25, to Oct. 7, and will include exhibits from many eastern manufacturers. The visit of the crowd of people to the exposition is anticipated and for that reason every effort will be made to demonstrate the usefulness of pure foods. Hundreds of samples, and a great deal of printed matter will be given away.

The association has elected a "Pure Food Exposition committee" which will have charge of the event.

Chamberlain's Colic, Cholera and Diarrhoea Remedy—The Best in Existence.

T. M. Wood, manager of the White County News, Beebe, Ark., is a representative southern man, who does not hesitate to express his good opinion of a well known remedy. He says: "It gives me pleasure to recommend Chamberlain's Colic, Cholera and Diarrhoea Remedy, having used it myself and in my family with the best results. In fact, I believe it to be the best remedy of the kind in existence. Sold by all druggists."

SILVER LOVING CUP.

Beautiful Token Presented to Commercial Club by Los Angeles Citizens.

The Commercial club was the recipient last evening of the loving cup from the Los Angeles chamber of commerce. The cup is of silver, 15 inches high and eight inches wide at the mouth. The finish is plain except at the base and top which are ornamented with floral designs in gray and the handles are of fine design. The inscription on the side reads as follows:

The Los Angeles Chamber of Commerce Presents This Token of Good Fellowship To The Commercial Club of Salt Lake City, In Remembrance Of Unbroken Hospitality, June, 1905.

The gift is on exhibition at the Commercial club and is much admired.

TODAY'S ORGAN RECITAL.

The following program will be given at today's organ recital in the Tabernacle:

Toccata and Fugue in D minor, Bach
"Andantino".....Lemare
"Chant Scraphique".....Gullmunt
"Andantino".....Lemare
Waltzer from "Die Melancholien".....Wagner
"Pilgrims' Chorus" from "Tannhauser".....Wagner

"THE LINE BEAUTIFUL."

Lehigh Valley Railroad, Delightful route to New York, Philadelphia and Atlantic Coast Resorts. Five through trains daily. Dining cars: a la carte. For time tables and descriptive matter, address George Eade, Jr., Western Passenger Agent, 218 South Clark St., Chicago, Ill.

RESENTED THE INSULT.

Alleged Justification for Brutal Assault on Dan Maurer.

A young man named James Bishop is under arrest on a serious charge of assault with a deadly weapon with intent to do bodily harm. He was taken into custody after an exciting chase of half a mile in which a dozen persons participated. He was finally nabbed by Officer Morris at the corner of First and Sixth streets.

It is charged that Bishop assaulted one Daniel Maurer at the corner of First and Sixth streets. Maurer declares that the assault was wholly unprovoked, while Bishop makes an entirely different claim. He says that Maurer insulted a young lady who was in company with Bishop. From all that could be learned about the affair, it appears that Bishop was walking up the street with a young woman and passed Maurer and a friend who were standing on the corner. They were shouting and some remarks were taken by Bishop and directed at the young woman. He told her to go along the street while he returned. He demanded an explanation from Maurer and the latter said he was shouting to some people across the street. This did not seem to satisfy Bishop and the two became engaged

knife and slashed Maurer in the arm. After doing the cutting he tried to get away but was followed by an angry crowd until Officer Morris arrested him. At the station he denied his identity but finally confessed he was the man wanted. He declared, however, that the trouble was the result of insults offered his lady companion. He refused to divulge her name, but in a wordy war that developed into a fight.

Then it is claimed, Bishop drew a

Cures Indigestion

HORSFORD'S ACID PHOSPHATE
Nature's remedy for obstinate indigestion, nervous dyspepsia, headache and depression.

SEEING SALT LAKE.

Prominent Y. M. C. A. Worker Steps Off En Route to Portland.

William Knowles Cooper, general secretary of the Young Men's Christian association, spent yesterday in Salt Lake. He is en route to the Portland fair, accompanied by Mrs. Cooper. In the forenoon they drove about the city and inspected the Y. M. C. A. building, and in the afternoon visited Saltair as the guest of Hon. George Albert Smith. In returning home from the fair Mr. and Mrs. Cooper will visit Yellowstone park. Mr. Cooper's headquarters are in Springfield, Mass., where he has direct charge of five local branches of the Y. M. C. A. work. This is his third visit to the west and is made partly for pleasure but principally to attend the general convention of the Y. M. C. A. of America, which will meet at Portland next week.

The one great virtue of Burnett's Vanilla Extract is purity. It is real vanilla, distilled from the genuine, always pure.

COUNTY IS NOT LIABLE.

When Sheriff is Sued he Must Stand the Costs at His Own Expense.

Attorney-General Breeden has rendered an opinion stating that a county is not liable for costs when the sheriff is sued for damages. It is addressed to R. A. McBride, city attorney, Fillmore, Utah, and is as follows:

I acknowledge the receipt of your favor of recent date seeking my opinion upon the following state of facts, to-wit: "When a prisoner has been lawfully committed to a jail, and afterwards institutes suit for damages against the sheriff, charging as cause, the negligence of the jailer, and the jury finds no cause of action, but it is merely and incidentally upon the county, by its commissioners to reimburse him for costs including reasonable attorney's fees."

I am of the opinion that the county would not be legally liable in the case presented. In the case of a prisoner lawfully committed to a jail, and afterwards institutes suit for damages against the sheriff, charging as cause, the negligence of the jailer, and the jury finds no cause of action, but it is merely and incidentally upon the county, by its commissioners to reimburse him for costs including reasonable attorney's fees. I am of the opinion that the county would not be legally liable in the case presented. In the case of a prisoner lawfully committed to a jail, and afterwards institutes suit for damages against the sheriff, charging as cause, the negligence of the jailer, and the jury finds no cause of action, but it is merely and incidentally upon the county, by its commissioners to reimburse him for costs including reasonable attorney's fees. I am of the opinion that the county would not be legally liable in the case presented. In the case of a prisoner lawfully committed to a jail, and afterwards institutes suit for damages against the sheriff, charging as cause, the negligence of the jailer, and the jury finds no cause of action, but it is merely and incidentally upon the county, by its commissioners to reimburse him for costs including reasonable attorney's fees. I am of the opinion that the county would not be legally liable in the case presented. In the case of a prisoner lawfully committed to a jail, and afterwards institutes suit for damages against the sheriff, charging as cause, the negligence of the jailer, and the jury finds no cause of action, but it is merely and incidentally upon the county, by its commissioners to reimburse him for costs including reasonable attorney's fees. I am of the opinion that the county would not be legally liable in the case presented. In the case of a prisoner lawfully committed to a jail, and afterwards institutes suit for damages against the sheriff, charging as cause, the negligence of the jailer, and the jury finds no cause of action, but it is merely and incidentally upon the county, by its commissioners to reimburse him for costs including reasonable attorney's fees. I am of the opinion that the county would not be legally liable in the case presented. In the case of a prisoner lawfully committed to a jail, and afterwards institutes suit for damages against the sheriff, charging as cause, the negligence of the jailer, and the jury finds no cause of action, but it is merely and incidentally upon the county, by its commissioners to reimburse him for costs including reasonable attorney's fees. I am of the opinion that the county would not be legally liable in the case presented. In the case of a prisoner lawfully committed to a jail, and afterwards institutes suit for damages against the sheriff, charging as cause, the negligence of the jailer, and the jury finds no cause of action, but it is merely and incidentally upon the county, by its commissioners to reimburse him for costs including reasonable attorney's fees. I am of the opinion that the county would not be legally liable in the case presented. In the case of a prisoner lawfully committed to a jail, and afterwards institutes suit for damages against the sheriff, charging as cause, the negligence of the jailer, and the jury finds no cause of action, but it is merely and incidentally upon the county, by its commissioners to reimburse him for costs including reasonable attorney's fees. I am of the opinion that the county would not be legally liable in the case presented. In the case of a prisoner lawfully committed to a jail, and afterwards institutes suit for damages against the sheriff, charging as cause, the negligence of the jailer, and the jury finds no cause of action, but it is merely and incidentally upon the county, by its commissioners to reimburse him for costs including reasonable attorney's fees. I am of the opinion that the county would not be legally liable in the case presented. In the case of a prisoner lawfully committed to a jail, and afterwards institutes suit for damages against the sheriff, charging as cause, the negligence of the jailer, and the jury finds no cause of action, but it is merely and incidentally upon the county, by its commissioners to reimburse him for costs including reasonable attorney's fees. I am of the opinion that the county would not be legally liable in the case presented. In the case of a prisoner lawfully committed to a jail, and afterwards institutes suit for damages against the sheriff, charging as cause, the negligence of the jailer, and the jury finds no cause of action, but it is merely and incidentally upon the county, by its commissioners to reimburse him for costs including reasonable attorney's fees. I am of the opinion that the county would not be legally liable in the case presented. In the case of a prisoner lawfully committed to a jail, and afterwards institutes suit for damages against the sheriff, charging as cause, the negligence of the jailer, and the jury finds no cause of action, but it is merely and incidentally upon the county, by its commissioners to reimburse him for costs including reasonable attorney's fees. I am of the opinion that the county would not be legally liable in the case presented. In the case of a prisoner lawfully committed to a jail, and afterwards institutes suit for damages against the sheriff, charging as cause, the negligence of the jailer, and the jury finds no cause of action, but it is merely and incidentally upon the county, by its commissioners to reimburse him for costs including reasonable attorney's fees. I am of the opinion that the county would not be legally liable in the case presented. In the case of a prisoner lawfully committed to a jail, and afterwards institutes suit for damages against the sheriff, charging as cause, the negligence of the jailer, and the jury finds no cause of action, but it is merely and incidentally upon the county, by its commissioners to reimburse him for costs including reasonable attorney's fees. I am of the opinion that the county would not be legally liable in the case presented. In the case of a prisoner lawfully committed to a jail, and afterwards institutes suit for damages against the sheriff, charging as cause, the negligence of the jailer, and the jury finds no cause of action, but it is merely and incidentally upon the county, by its commissioners to reimburse him for costs including reasonable attorney's fees. I am of the opinion that the county would not be legally liable in the case presented. In the case of a prisoner lawfully committed to a jail, and afterwards institutes suit for damages against the sheriff, charging as cause, the negligence of the jailer, and the jury finds no cause of action, but it is merely and incidentally upon the county, by its commissioners to reimburse him for costs including reasonable attorney's fees. I am of the opinion that the county would not be legally liable in the case presented. In the case of a prisoner lawfully committed to a jail, and afterwards institutes suit for damages against the sheriff, charging as cause, the negligence of the jailer, and the jury finds no cause of action, but it is merely and incidentally upon the county, by its commissioners to reimburse him for costs including reasonable attorney's fees. I am of the opinion that the county would not be legally liable in the case presented. In the case of a prisoner lawfully committed to a jail, and afterwards institutes suit for damages against the sheriff, charging as cause, the negligence of the jailer, and the jury finds no cause of action, but it is merely and incidentally upon the county, by its commissioners to reimburse him for costs including reasonable attorney's fees. I am of the opinion that the county would not be legally liable in the case presented. In the case of a prisoner lawfully committed to a jail, and afterwards institutes suit for damages against the sheriff, charging as cause, the negligence of the jailer, and the jury finds no cause of action, but it is merely and incidentally upon the county, by its commissioners to reimburse him for costs including reasonable attorney's fees. I am of the opinion that the county would not be legally liable in the case presented. In the case of a prisoner lawfully committed to a jail, and afterwards institutes suit for damages against the sheriff, charging as cause, the negligence of the jailer, and the jury finds no cause of action, but it is merely and incidentally upon the county, by its commissioners to reimburse him for costs including reasonable attorney's fees. I am of the opinion that the county would not be legally liable in the case presented. In the case of a prisoner lawfully committed to a jail, and afterwards institutes suit for damages against the sheriff, charging as cause, the negligence of the jailer, and the jury finds no cause of action, but it is merely and incidentally upon the county, by its commissioners to reimburse him for costs including reasonable attorney's fees. I am of the opinion that the county would not be legally liable in the case presented. In the case of a prisoner lawfully committed to a jail, and afterwards institutes suit for damages against the sheriff, charging as cause, the negligence of the jailer, and the jury finds no cause of action, but it is merely and incidentally upon the county, by its commissioners to reimburse him for costs including reasonable attorney's fees. I am of the opinion that the county would not be legally liable in the case presented. In the case of a prisoner lawfully committed to a jail, and afterwards institutes suit for damages against the sheriff, charging as cause, the negligence of the jailer, and the jury finds no cause of action, but it is merely and incidentally upon the county, by its commissioners to reimburse him for costs including reasonable attorney's fees. I am of the opinion that the county would not be legally liable in the case presented. In the case of a prisoner lawfully committed to a jail, and afterwards institutes suit for damages against the sheriff, charging as cause, the negligence of the jailer, and the jury finds no cause of action, but it is merely and incidentally upon the county, by its commissioners to reimburse him for costs including reasonable attorney's fees. I am of the opinion that the county would not be legally liable in the case presented. In the case of a prisoner lawfully committed to a jail, and afterwards institutes suit for damages against the sheriff, charging as cause, the negligence of the jailer, and the jury finds no cause of action, but it is merely and incidentally upon the county, by its commissioners to reimburse him for costs including reasonable attorney's fees. I am of the opinion that the county would not be legally liable in the case presented. In the case of a prisoner lawfully committed to a jail, and afterwards institutes suit for damages against the sheriff, charging as cause, the negligence of the jailer, and the jury finds no cause of action, but it is merely and incidentally upon the county, by its commissioners to reimburse him for costs including reasonable attorney's fees. I am of the opinion that the county would not be legally liable in the case presented. In the case of a prisoner lawfully committed to a jail, and afterwards institutes suit for damages against the sheriff, charging as cause, the negligence of the jailer, and the jury finds no cause of action, but it is merely and incidentally upon the county, by its commissioners to reimburse him for costs including reasonable attorney's fees. I am of the opinion that the county would not be legally liable in the case presented. In the case of a prisoner lawfully committed to a jail, and afterwards institutes suit for damages against the sheriff, charging as cause, the negligence of the jailer, and the jury finds no cause of action, but it is merely and incidentally upon the county, by its commissioners to reimburse him for costs including reasonable attorney's fees. I am of the opinion that the county would not be legally liable in the case presented. In the case of a prisoner lawfully committed to a jail, and afterwards institutes suit for damages against the sheriff, charging as cause, the negligence of the jailer, and the jury finds no cause of action, but it is merely and incidentally upon the county, by its commissioners to reimburse him for costs including reasonable attorney's fees. I am of the opinion that the county would not be legally liable in the case presented. In the case of a prisoner lawfully committed to a jail, and afterwards institutes suit for damages against the sheriff, charging as cause, the negligence of the jailer, and the jury finds no cause of action, but it is merely and incidentally upon the county, by its commissioners to reimburse him for costs including reasonable attorney's fees. I am of the opinion that the county would not be legally liable in the case presented. In the case of a prisoner lawfully committed to a jail, and afterwards institutes suit for damages against the sheriff, charging as cause, the negligence of the jailer, and the jury finds no cause of action, but it is merely and incidentally upon the county, by its commissioners to reimburse him for costs including reasonable attorney's fees. I am of the opinion that the county would not be legally liable in the case presented. In the case of a prisoner lawfully committed to a jail, and afterwards institutes suit for damages against the sheriff, charging as cause, the negligence of the jailer, and the jury finds no cause of action, but it is merely and incidentally upon the county, by its commissioners to reimburse him for costs including reasonable attorney's fees. I am of the opinion that the county would not be legally liable in the case presented. In the case of a prisoner lawfully committed to a jail, and afterwards institutes suit for damages against the sheriff, charging as cause, the negligence of the jailer, and the jury finds no cause of action, but it is merely and incidentally upon the county, by its commissioners to reimburse him for costs including reasonable attorney's fees. I am of the opinion that the county would not be legally liable in the case presented. In the case of a prisoner lawfully committed to a jail, and afterwards institutes suit for damages against the sheriff, charging as cause, the negligence of the jailer, and the jury finds no cause of action, but it is merely and incidentally upon the county, by its commissioners to reimburse him for costs including reasonable attorney's fees. I am of the opinion that the county would not be legally liable in the case presented. In the case of a prisoner lawfully committed to a jail, and afterwards institutes suit for damages against the sheriff, charging as cause, the negligence of the jailer, and the jury finds no cause of action, but it is merely and incidentally upon the county, by its commissioners to reimburse him for costs including reasonable attorney's fees. I am of the opinion that the county would not be legally liable in the case presented. In the case of a prisoner lawfully committed to a jail, and afterwards institutes suit for damages against the sheriff, charging as cause, the negligence of the jailer, and the jury finds no cause of action, but it is merely and incidentally upon the county, by its commissioners to reimburse him for costs including reasonable attorney's fees. I am of the opinion that the county would not be legally liable in the case presented. In the case of a prisoner lawfully committed to a jail, and afterwards institutes suit for damages against the sheriff, charging as cause, the negligence of the jailer, and the jury finds no cause of action, but it is merely and incidentally upon the county, by its commissioners to reimburse him for costs including reasonable attorney's fees. I am of the opinion that the county would not be legally liable in the case presented. In the case of a prisoner lawfully committed to a jail, and afterwards institutes suit for damages against the sheriff, charging as cause, the negligence of the jailer, and the jury finds no cause of action, but it is merely and incidentally upon the county, by its commissioners to reimburse him for costs including reasonable attorney's fees. I am of the opinion that the county would not be legally liable in the case presented. In the case of a prisoner lawfully committed to a jail, and afterwards institutes suit for damages against the sheriff, charging as cause, the negligence of the jailer, and the jury finds no cause of action, but it is merely and incidentally upon the county, by its commissioners to reimburse him for costs including reasonable attorney's fees. I am of the opinion that the county would not be legally liable in the case presented. In the case of a prisoner lawfully committed to a jail, and afterwards institutes suit for damages against the sheriff, charging as cause, the negligence of the jailer, and the jury finds no cause of action, but it is merely and incidentally upon the county, by its commissioners to reimburse him for costs including reasonable attorney's fees. I am of the opinion that the county would not be legally liable in the case presented. In the case of a prisoner lawfully committed to a jail, and afterwards institutes suit for damages against the sheriff, charging as cause, the negligence of the jailer, and the jury finds no cause of action, but it is merely and incidentally upon the county, by its commissioners to reimburse him for costs including reasonable attorney's fees. I am of the opinion that the county would not be legally liable in the case presented. In the case of a prisoner lawfully committed to a jail, and afterwards institutes suit for damages against the sheriff, charging as cause, the negligence of the jailer, and the jury finds no cause of action, but it is merely and incidentally upon the county, by its commissioners to reimburse him for costs including reasonable attorney's fees. I am of the opinion that the county would not be legally liable in the case presented. In the case of a prisoner lawfully committed to a jail, and afterwards institutes suit for damages against the sheriff, charging as cause, the negligence of the jailer, and the jury finds no cause of action, but it is merely and incidentally upon the county, by its commissioners to reimburse him for costs including reasonable attorney's fees. I am of the opinion that the county would not be legally liable in the case presented. In the case of a prisoner lawfully committed to a jail, and afterwards institutes suit for damages against the sheriff, charging as cause, the negligence of the jailer, and the jury finds no cause of action, but it is merely and incidentally upon the county, by its commissioners to reimburse him for costs including reasonable attorney's fees. I am of the opinion that the county would not be legally liable in the case presented. In the case of a prisoner lawfully committed to a jail, and afterwards institutes suit for damages against the sheriff, charging as cause, the negligence of the jailer, and the jury finds no cause of action, but it is merely and incidentally upon the county, by its commissioners to reimburse him for costs including reasonable attorney's fees. I am of the opinion that the county would not be legally liable in the case presented. In the case of a prisoner lawfully committed to a jail, and afterwards institutes suit for damages against the sheriff, charging as cause, the negligence of the jailer, and the jury finds no cause of action, but it is merely and incidentally upon the county, by its commissioners to reimburse him for costs including reasonable attorney's fees. I am of the opinion that the county would not be legally liable in the case presented. In the case of a prisoner lawfully committed to a jail, and afterwards institutes suit for damages against the sheriff, charging as cause, the negligence of the jailer, and the jury finds no cause of action, but it is merely and incidentally upon the county, by its commissioners to reimburse him for costs including reasonable attorney's fees. I am of the opinion that the county would not be legally liable in the case presented. In the case of a prisoner lawfully committed to a jail, and afterwards institutes suit for damages against the sheriff, charging as cause, the negligence of the jailer, and the jury finds no cause of action, but it is merely and incidentally upon the county, by its commissioners to reimburse him for costs including reasonable attorney's fees. I am of the opinion that the county would not be legally liable in the case presented. In the case of a prisoner lawfully committed to a jail, and afterwards institutes suit for damages against the sheriff, charging as cause, the negligence of the jailer, and the jury finds no cause of action, but it is merely and incidentally upon the county, by its commissioners to reimburse him for costs including reasonable attorney's fees. I am of the opinion that the county would not be legally liable in the case presented. In the case of a prisoner lawfully committed to a jail, and afterwards institutes suit for damages against the sheriff, charging as cause, the negligence of the jailer, and the jury finds no cause of action, but it is merely and incidentally upon the county, by its commissioners to reimburse him for costs including reasonable attorney's fees. I am of the opinion that the county would not be legally liable in the case presented. In the case of a prisoner lawfully committed to a jail, and afterwards institutes suit for damages against the sheriff, charging as cause, the negligence of the jailer, and the jury finds no cause of action, but it is merely and incidentally upon the county, by its commissioners to reimburse him for costs including reasonable attorney's fees. I am of the opinion that the county would not be legally liable in the case presented. In the case of a prisoner lawfully committed to a jail, and afterwards institutes suit for damages against the sheriff, charging as cause, the negligence of the jailer, and the jury finds no cause of action, but it is merely and incidentally upon the county, by its commissioners to reimburse him for costs including reasonable attorney's fees. I am of the opinion that the county would not be legally liable in the case presented. In the case of a prisoner lawfully committed to a jail, and afterwards institutes suit for damages against the sheriff, charging as cause, the negligence of the jailer, and the jury finds no cause of action, but it is merely and incidentally upon the county, by its commissioners to reimburse him for costs including reasonable attorney's fees. I am of the opinion that the county would not be legally liable in the case presented. In the case of a prisoner lawfully committed to a jail, and afterwards institutes suit for damages against the sheriff, charging as cause, the negligence of the jailer, and the jury finds no cause of action, but it is merely and incidentally upon the county, by its commissioners to reimburse him for costs including reasonable attorney's fees. I am of the opinion that the county would not be legally liable in the case presented. In the case of a prisoner lawfully committed to a jail, and afterwards institutes suit for damages against the sheriff, charging as cause, the negligence of the jailer, and the jury finds no cause of action, but it is merely and incidentally upon the county, by its commissioners to reimburse him for costs including reasonable attorney's fees. I am of the opinion that the county would not be legally liable in the case presented. In the case of a prisoner lawfully committed to a jail, and afterwards institutes suit for damages against the sheriff, charging as cause, the negligence of the jailer, and the jury finds no cause of action, but it is merely and incidentally upon the county, by its commissioners to reimburse him for costs including reasonable attorney's fees. I am of the opinion that the county would not be legally liable in the case presented. In the case of a prisoner lawfully committed to a jail, and afterwards institutes suit for damages against the sheriff, charging as cause, the negligence of the jailer, and the jury finds no cause of action, but it is merely and incidentally upon the county, by its commissioners to reimburse him for costs including reasonable attorney's fees. I am of the opinion that the county would not be legally liable in the case presented. In the case of a prisoner lawfully committed to a jail, and afterwards institutes suit for damages against the sheriff, charging as cause, the negligence of the jailer, and the jury finds no cause of action, but it is merely and incidentally upon the county, by its commissioners to reimburse him for costs including reasonable attorney's fees. I am of the opinion that the county would not be legally liable in the case presented. In the case of a prisoner lawfully committed to a jail, and afterwards institutes suit for damages against the sheriff, charging as cause, the negligence of the jailer, and the jury finds no cause of action, but it is merely and incidentally upon the county, by its commissioners to reimburse him for costs including reasonable attorney's fees. I am of the opinion that the county would not be legally liable in the case presented. In the case of a prisoner lawfully committed to a jail, and afterwards institutes suit for damages against the sheriff, charging as cause, the negligence of the jailer, and the jury finds no cause of action, but it is merely and incidentally upon the county, by its commissioners to reimburse him for costs including reasonable attorney's fees. I am of the opinion that the county would not be legally liable in the case presented. In the case of a prisoner lawfully committed to a jail, and afterwards institutes suit for damages against the sheriff, charging as cause, the negligence of the jailer, and the jury finds no cause of action, but it is merely and incidentally upon the county, by its commissioners to reimburse him for costs including reasonable attorney's fees. I am of the opinion that the county would not be legally liable in the case presented. In the case of a prisoner lawfully committed to a jail, and afterwards institutes suit for damages against the sheriff, charging as cause, the negligence of the jailer, and the jury finds no cause of action, but it is merely and incidentally upon the county, by its commissioners to reimburse him for costs including reasonable attorney's fees. I am of the opinion that the county would not be legally liable in the case presented. In the case of a prisoner lawfully committed to a jail, and afterwards institutes suit for damages against the sheriff, charging as cause, the negligence of the jailer, and the jury finds no cause of action, but it is merely and incidentally upon the county, by its commissioners to reimburse him for costs including reasonable attorney's fees. I am of the opinion that the county would not be legally liable in the case presented. In the case of a prisoner lawfully committed to a jail, and afterwards institutes suit for damages against the sheriff, charging as cause, the negligence of the jailer, and the jury finds no cause of action, but it is merely and incidentally upon the county, by its commissioners to reimburse him for costs including reasonable attorney's fees. I am of the opinion that the county would not be legally liable in the case presented. In the case of a prisoner lawfully committed to a jail, and afterwards institutes suit for damages against the sheriff, charging as cause, the negligence of the jailer, and the jury finds no cause of action, but it is merely and incidentally upon the county, by its commissioners to reimburse him for costs including reasonable attorney's fees. I am of the opinion that the county would not be legally liable in the case presented. In the case of a prisoner lawfully committed to a jail, and afterwards institutes suit for damages against the sheriff, charging as cause, the negligence of the jailer, and the jury finds no cause of action, but it is merely and incidentally upon the county, by its commissioners to reimburse him for costs including reasonable attorney's fees. I am of the opinion that the county would not be legally liable in the case presented. In the case of a prisoner lawfully committed to a jail, and afterwards institutes suit for damages against the sheriff, charging as cause, the negligence of the jailer, and the jury finds no cause of action, but it is merely and incidentally upon the county, by its commissioners to reimburse him for costs including reasonable attorney's fees. I am of the opinion that the county would not be legally liable in the case presented. In the case of a prisoner lawfully committed to a jail, and afterwards institutes suit for damages against the sheriff, charging as cause, the negligence of the jailer, and the jury finds no cause of action, but it is merely and incidentally upon the county, by its commissioners to reimburse him for costs including reasonable attorney's fees. I am of the opinion that the county would not be legally liable in the case presented. In the case of a prisoner lawfully committed to a jail, and afterwards institutes suit for damages against the sheriff, charging as cause, the negligence of the jailer, and the jury finds no cause of action, but it is merely and incidentally upon the county, by its commissioners to reimburse him for costs including reasonable attorney's fees. I am of the opinion that the county would not be legally liable in the case presented. In the case of a prisoner lawfully committed to a jail, and afterwards institutes suit for damages against the sheriff, charging as cause, the negligence of the jailer, and the jury finds no cause of action, but it is merely and incidentally upon the county, by its commissioners to reimburse him for costs including reasonable attorney's fees. I am of the opinion that the county would not be legally liable in the case presented. In the case of a prisoner lawfully committed to a jail, and afterwards institutes suit for damages against the sheriff, charging as cause, the negligence of the jailer, and the jury finds no cause of action, but it is merely and incidentally upon the county, by its commissioners to reimburse him for costs including reasonable attorney's fees. I am of the opinion that the county would not be legally liable in the case presented. In the case of a prisoner lawfully committed to a jail, and afterwards institutes suit for damages against the sheriff, charging as cause, the negligence of the jailer, and the jury finds no cause of action, but it is merely and incidentally upon the county, by its commissioners to reimburse him for costs including reasonable attorney's fees. I am of the opinion that the county would not be legally liable in the case presented. In the case of a prisoner lawfully committed to a jail, and afterwards institutes suit for damages against the sheriff, charging as cause, the negligence of the jailer, and the jury finds no cause of action, but it is merely and incidentally upon the county, by its commissioners to reimburse him for costs including reasonable attorney's fees. I am of the opinion that the county would not be legally liable in the case presented. In the case of a prisoner lawfully committed to a jail, and afterwards institutes suit for damages against the sheriff, charging as cause, the negligence of the jailer, and the jury finds no cause of action, but it is merely and incidentally upon the county, by its commissioners to reimburse him for costs including reasonable attorney's fees. I am of the opinion that the county would not be legally liable in the case presented. In the case of a prisoner lawfully committed to a jail, and afterwards institutes suit for damages against the sheriff, charging as cause, the negligence of the jailer, and the jury finds no cause of action, but it is merely and incidentally upon the county, by its commissioners to reimburse him for costs including reasonable attorney's fees. I am of the opinion that the county would not be legally liable in the case presented. In the case of a prisoner lawfully committed to a jail, and afterwards institutes suit for damages against the sheriff, charging as cause, the negligence of the jailer, and the jury finds no cause of action, but it is merely and incidentally upon the county, by its commissioners to reimburse him for costs including reasonable attorney's fees. I am of the opinion that the county would not be legally liable in the case presented. In the case of a prisoner lawfully committed to a jail, and afterwards institutes suit for damages against the sheriff, charging as cause, the negligence of the jailer, and the jury finds no cause of action, but it is merely and incidentally upon the county, by its commissioners to reimburse him for costs including reasonable attorney's fees. I am of the opinion that the county would not be legally liable in the case presented. In the case of a prisoner lawfully committed to a jail, and afterwards institutes suit for damages against the sheriff, charging as cause, the negligence of the jailer, and the jury finds no cause of action, but it is merely and incidentally upon the county, by its commissioners to reimburse him for costs including reasonable attorney's fees. I am of the opinion that the county would not be legally liable in the case presented. In the case of a prisoner lawfully committed to a jail, and afterwards institutes suit for damages against the sheriff, charging as cause, the negligence of the jailer, and the jury finds no cause of action, but it is merely and incidentally upon the county, by its commissioners to reimburse him for costs including reasonable attorney's fees. I am of the opinion that the county would not be legally liable in the case presented. In the case of