

# WIDOW WITMER ACCUSED OF MURDER

## Police Believe She is Guilty of Fourteen Homicides—Include Four Husbands, Five Children and One Sister.

Dayton, Ohio, Oct. 7.—Mrs. J. A. Witmer, a widow, residing in this city, has been arrested by the police at the instigation of the coroner and is held a prisoner at Central station pending an investigation into very serious charges. Mrs. Witmer, the police say, is suspected of fourteen murders, the list including four husbands, five children, one sister and four members of different families in which she was employed as housekeeper. The last supposed victim was her sister, Mrs. Anna Pugh, who died a week ago under mysterious circumstances. An autopsy performed at the request of Mrs. Witmer's mother, who came here from Detroit, is said to have disclosed the presence of arsenic and copperas in the stomach.

# WILL OF LATE DAVID H. PEERY.

## Document Was Filed for Probate at Ogden Today—Estate Valuation Given as \$100,000—Widow and all the Children Are Beneficiaries.

(Special to the "News.")  
Ogden, Oct. 7.—Today the will of the late David H. Peery was filed for probate, together with a petition for letters of administration. The text of the will covers ten pages and recites that the estate of the deceased is valued at \$100,000. In the list of bequests the widow, Mrs. Elizabeth Letitia Higginbotham Peery, is named as the first beneficiary. To Mrs. Peery is left the property and big residence located on the corner of Adams avenue and Twenty-fourth street, together with \$20,000 in cash and a one-tenth interest in the balance of the estate. This balance is to be equally divided between Mrs. Peery and the nine surviving children as follows: Mrs. Louise Letitia Peery Richards, David H. Peery, Jr., Joseph S. Peery, Horace G. Peery, John Harold Peery, Elizabeth Letitia Peery, Simon F. Peery, Lewis H. Peery and Harmon Peery. A piece of property on Washington avenue and Twenty-fourth street, which was heretofore owned by Mrs. Richards as her own, is to be included with the entire estate and its value deducted from the one-tenth share in the estate due to the legatees, just as in the case of Mrs. Richards.

# 22,504 PEOPLE PAID ADMISSION.

## Average of Over Five Thousand Visitors Daily—Financial Outcome Safe, but Details Are Unobtainable Yet.

Late this afternoon Secretary Sears of the D. A. C. society said that during the five days which were occupied by the exposition given under the auspices of the society 22,504 persons paid for admission into the fair grounds. While the financial outcome is certain to show a good balance on the profit side of the ledger, details will be unobtainable for some time yet. It will probably require two weeks time in which to receive and pay all bills and then the result of the accounting will be made public.

# VOLUME OF CONFERENCE BUSINESS

## Has Really Only Just Begun—Slightly Under That Experienced Heretofore—Condition is Easily Accounted For.

Inquiry at Z. C. M. I. by the "News" elicited the information that during the conference, so far, the volume of business has been slightly under what has been transacted upon similar occasions. "While the business of this conference time has been gratifyingly good," said the official who was approached upon the matter, "it has been less than we have experienced during previous conferences. This is easily accounted for, however, in the fact that the branch institutions and smaller stores throughout our business territory are far better stocked nowadays than they have been heretofore. These institutions sell goods at retail to the people at prices as low as ours, and the fact that they keep good stocks of such articles as are commonly used by the people makes it unnecessary for visitors to conference to do much trading here. It must be understood that our annual volume of business is increased by this condition of affairs in that our wholesale shipments to branch institutions are distributed over the entire year in order to keep them supplied with the stocks from which their patrons make purchases locally. These local purchases, as I have said before, prevent much of the conference retail trading that used to be in vogue. The present arrangement is much more convenient to the people and is no less profitable to the Z. C. M. I. and its branch houses. Most of the trading which has come through this conference is coming in today and will continue during the major portion of the remainder of this week. Conference being now over, time for trading has really just begun."

# WEAVER JUDGMENT IS VACATED.

## Ogden City Has Five Days in Which to Make Answer to His Complaint—Suit Growing Out of the Big Water Controversy.

Judge Marshall in the federal court this morning ordered that judgment be vacated in the case of Wm. C. Weaver, receiver, against Ogden City and that the defendants be given five days in which to file an answer to the complaint. In this case a judgment by default for \$9,553.76 was given the plaintiffs last June. The defendants not appearing in court. The said defendants alleged in their petition this morning that the summons to appear in answer to the plaintiff's complaint were mailed, and never reached the proper authorities of Ogden city until judgment was rendered against them. The suit is for the recovery of water rentals alleged to be due the Bear River Irrigation and Ogden Waterworks company by Ogden city and its citizens.

# A CRIST FROM THE LAW MILLS

## Criminal Cases to be Taken Up Tomorrow by Judge Stewart.

## LITIGATION OVER A TEAM.

## Evalena Hasbrouck Sues the St. Joe Company for Payment of Ten Promissory Notes.

Judge Stewart will take up criminal cases again tomorrow. Judge Hall is still confined to his home and probate matters have been continued till Wednesday, when Judge Stewart will attend to them in the event of Judge Hall's continued indisposition.

## Litigation Over a Team.

## "DIVINE HEALING."

"Divine Healing" was again the subject of discussion by the Salt Lake ministers at the meeting of their association this morning. Rev. Andrews again went over the points of which he spoke two weeks ago sustaining the fact that divine healing is a doctrine worthy of belief and practice today as much as it was centuries ago. There were several other ministers present who also are of the opinion that "Divine healing" is not a thing of the past. Rev. Barnett and some others spoke to this effect.

Rev. Dr. Padon took the extreme of the other side of the question and Rev. Barnett and others alluded to against the idea. There was considerable feeling shown in the discussion though a friendly nature. Much interest is just now manifested in the question which will again be discussed next Monday.

## JUDGE KING IN WASHINGTON

## Is Having a Hearing Before the Mining Claims Commissioner.

(Special to the "News.")  
Washington, D. C., Oct. 7.—Ex-Representative King arrived here last night. He was at the land office this morning. He is having a hearing before the commissioner on mining claims, and will also have a hearing before the assistant secretary of the interior tomorrow on similar matter.

## SUTHERLAND'S SECRETARY

## He Arrives in Washington to Study Law and Look After Matters

(Special to the "News.")  
Washington, D. C., Oct. 7.—Mr. Nelson, private secretary to Congressman Sutherland, has arrived. His coming this time is for the purpose of studying law in this city and incidentally to look after some department matters for Mr. Sutherland.

## PRESENTED WITH A CANE.

## President Snow Receives Gift From Sunday School Children of Samoa.

A very pretty and touching scene took place in President Snow's office this afternoon when that venerable leader was presented with a cane from the Sunday school children of Samoa. The presentation took place in the presence of President Snow, Joseph F. Smith and a number of returned missionaries from Samoa. The presentation was made by a young Samoan girl who is here attending the B. Y. academy. Her speech was exceedingly well worded and President Snow, in his customary gracious manner, after the presentation was made the company had a short but pleasant visit with President Snow.

## SCHOOLS AGAIN ACTIVE.

## Board of Education Will Not Meet Until Next Month.

The things that the public school children saw at the State Fair especially in the educational department, seems to have acted upon them as a stimulus, for they are now at their desks working with an unworldly zeal. The teachers appreciate the effect of a creditable school display upon an intelligent and ambitious student.

There are several matters to come up before the school board but unless something unusual comes up the board will not meet until the first Tuesday in November.

## POLITICAL POINTERS.

Conference and Fair are things of the past, and fuel in plenty will now be put under the political pot.

City Attorney Stephens who is in politics only to the extent of succeeding himself, may under pressure, be induced by the Democracy to accept the mayoralty nomination.

It is not improbable that D. C. Dunbar will be requested to conduct the Democratic campaign in Salt Lake.

"President Buckle of the City Council will not be nominated for Mayor by the Republicans," said a friend today, "but he will cut a wider swath in the convention than many suppose."

Frank R. Snow, secretary of the Consolidated Implement company will, in all probability, be named by the Democrats from the Eighteenth ward for one of the three city councilmen from the Fourth precinct.

Gilbert F. Boyman, Elijah Farr and

# CHINESE COURT ON THE MOVE.

## Requisitions for Travelers' Expenses Are Very Heavy.

## THOSE LEGATION GUARDS.

## Chinese Officials May Make a Protest Against Their Actions, They Are Acting Like Conquerors.

Peking, Oct. 7.—The officials here have not been informed as to whether the court has started for Kai Feng Fu, (as announced in a dispatch from Shanghai yesterday), previous advice lead to the belief that the court did start. The temporary palaces at Kai Feng Fu and Pao Ting Fu are being prepared although they will be occupied for a few days. Requisitions for the travelers' expenses amount to 12,000,000 in spite of the edict enjoining economy in this respect. The scope of preparation may be judged from a single item, 30,000 taels will be expended on table ware. Several local officials along the route have resigned because they are unable to meet the expenses of entertaining the court. The latter's journey now is particularly unfortunate because the regions traversed have been impoverished by the summer famine.

The Chinese officials are considering the desirability of protesting to the foreign ministers against the conduct of the legation guards. The soldiers continue to treat the Chinese like a conquered people. Groups of soldiers roam about the city wearing their side arms, often intoxicated, and ill treating the natives and committing petty robberies. A part of the Americans recently lost a silver watch, securing several hundred taels worth of property. The whole garrison was confined to barracks until the guilty men were detected. The governor of Peking has protested because the foreign storekeepers continuing to occupy buildings which they acquired in 1900 regardless of their status as prisoners of war, and to evict the storekeepers from these places.

Correspondence has been exchanged between the Chinese officials and the legation regarding the complaints made by Chinese that they have been compelled against their will to take part in the work of building the new legation.

It is becoming evident that some modicum of civility must be established, for the conditions will become intolerable. Notwithstanding the minister's policy of moderation in dealing with the legation quarter presents the appearance of a fortified city. The British consular, adjoining the Italian legation, adjoining the German legation, are all surrounded by a high wall, and a deep moat protects the German section, and a cross the city wall. The Germans have erected a stone fort for artillery.

Prince Su, the collector of taxes, has adopted the policy of taxing goods brought into Peking for sale in the market. Heretofore such goods have not been taxed and the merchants have protested to the ministers, who hold that the goods should remain untaxed on the ground that they are intended for the use of the legations.

## EPISCOPAL CONVENTION.

## Second Week Opens with a Great Amount of Business on Hand.

San Francisco, Oct. 7.—The second week of the triennial Episcopal convention opened this morning with much important work on the program and an arduous day on the part of the delegates to accomplish as much as possible during the day. At the usual prayer service in Trinity church Archbishop Williams of Washington, D. C., was the celebrant, the benediction being pronounced by Bishop Tuttle, of Missouri.

The adoption of the amendments to the constitution was resumed both by the house of bishops and house of deputies, and occupied the attention of the convention during the day.

At St. Luke's church the Daughters of the King continued their interesting meeting, the forenoon being devoted to the discussion of home missions.

The American Sunday School institute held its first session at Grace church, the attendance being large.

Bishop Nichols, of California, conducted the prayer service and also delivered an address of welcome. Bishop Whitaker, of Pennsylvania, was elected vice president, and Rev. H. Dunning, secretary. Much interest was manifested in the proceedings.

President Lindsey called the house of deputies to order this morning but considerable time was occupied in reading and approving the minutes of the preceding day's session.

Dr. Beatty, of Kansas, for the committee on unfinished business, reported an unfinished business, reported an unfinished business, reported an unfinished business.

Several amendments to article 1 of the new constitution were offered the object being to change the rule designating the manner of determining who shall be the presiding bishop at the term of his service in that position.

A message from the house of bishops announced to the effect that the name of the diocese of Indiana be changed to the diocese of Indianapolis. The deputies immediately concurred.

Rev. Hudson Stok, of Dallas, offered the following resolution, which was referred to the committee on education:

"Resolved, That the degree of doctor of philosophy implies in the holder original research of a character to further the progress of some science, and should be given only on residence at a duly incorporated and qualified college on proof of such work by examination, and thesis."

"Resolved, That only degrees of doctor of philosophy so conferred should be recognized in the calendars and official publications of the church."

The house proceeded with the discussion of the amendments of the constitution, the question being the provision that special forms of service may be prescribed by canon for certain congregations not in full communion with the church.

An attempt was made to limit debate to ten minutes.

Rev. Dr. Fulton opposed any limitation to the debate on this most important subject. He especially desired that Dr. Huntington should have all the time he needs to close the discussion. The house, by a large majority, refused to vote on a debate regarding such procedure as unconstitutional.

## ABDUCTORS ARRAIGNED.

## Those Who Committed Outrage on Mabel Goodrich Before Magistrate.

Philadelphia, Oct. 7.—Charged with abducting and robbing Mabel Goodrich, the proprietress of a respectable establishment, Edward K. Sloan, Henry E. Wallace, D. Knight Finlay and Oscar S. Dunlap were today brought before a magistrate and committed to jail out bail and fined that his purpose was to assist Sloan in placing Mrs. Goodrich in the hands of the law and order society. He had not been told of the intention to rob the woman.

Detective Donaghy related the story of the arrest of the prisoner and stated that all had confessed. The man was the first witness against the prisoners and identified each of them. She then told the remarkable story of her abduction, confinement and robbery.

Det. Donaghy related the story of the arrest of the prisoner and stated that all had confessed. The man was the first witness against the prisoners and identified each of them. She then told the remarkable story of her abduction, confinement and robbery.

Det. Donaghy related the story of the arrest of the prisoner and stated that all had confessed. The man was the first witness against the prisoners and identified each of them. She then told the remarkable story of her abduction, confinement and robbery.

Det. Donaghy related the story of the arrest of the prisoner and stated that all had confessed. The man was the first witness against the prisoners and identified each of them. She then told the remarkable story of her abduction, confinement and robbery.

Det. Donaghy related the story of the arrest of the prisoner and stated that all had confessed. The man was the first witness against the prisoners and identified each of them. She then told the remarkable story of her abduction, confinement and robbery.

Det. Donaghy related the story of the arrest of the prisoner and stated that all had confessed. The man was the first witness against the prisoners and identified each of them. She then told the remarkable story of her abduction, confinement and robbery.

Det. Donaghy related the story of the arrest of the prisoner and stated that all had confessed. The man was the first witness against the prisoners and identified each of them. She then told the remarkable story of her abduction, confinement and robbery.

Det. Donaghy related the story of the arrest of the prisoner and stated that all had confessed. The man was the first witness against the prisoners and identified each of them. She then told the remarkable story of her abduction, confinement and robbery.

Det. Donaghy related the story of the arrest of the prisoner and stated that all had confessed. The man was the first witness against the prisoners and identified each of them. She then told the remarkable story of her abduction, confinement and robbery.

Det. Donaghy related the story of the arrest of the prisoner and stated that all had confessed. The man was the first witness against the prisoners and identified each of them. She then told the remarkable story of her abduction, confinement and robbery.

Det. Donaghy related the story of the arrest of the prisoner and stated that all had confessed. The man was the first witness against the prisoners and identified each of them. She then told the remarkable story of her abduction, confinement and robbery.

# THIRD WEEK OF INVESTIGATION.

## Interest in Schley Case Unabated—Captain Lemly Has a Dozen Witnesses Yet—Hodgson on the Stand.

Washington, Oct. 7.—The Schley court of inquiry today entered upon the third straight week of the investigation of Admiral Schley's conduct in the Spanish war. The crowd in attendance was as large as at any time previous indicating that the interest in the case is unabated. It had been expected that the navy department would be able to complete its presentation of the case by the close of last week but when the court opened today Capt. Lemly's list of witnesses still contained almost a dozen names. Prominent among these were Capt. Chadwick, who was Admiral Sampson's chief of staff and who was in immediate command of the flagship New York during the Santiago campaign.

The program for today included in addition to those recalled for the previous witnesses and two who have hitherto been on the stand. The new witnesses were present when the court convened with the expectation of being called to the stand during the day were the cruises New Orleans during the bombardment of the Cristobal Colon and May 31, in which vessel participated, and Lieut. M. A. Bristol, who, as an ensign, was the watch officer on the battleship Texas during the Santiago campaign.

Lieut. Commander Dyson was also present with the understanding that he would probably be recalled during the day for the purpose of continuing his testimony concerning the coal supply of the lying squadron and the capacity under varying conditions of speed.

## COMMANDER HODGSON RESUMES.

The day's proceedings began with the recall of Lieut. Dyson and Commander Hodgson for the purpose of correcting their previous testimony, and when they had been recalled, Commander Hodgson, navigator of the Brooklyn during the Santiago campaign, resumed the stand. This was his third sitting on the witness stand. His day's testimony the judge advocated had not entirely completed his questions. There were still a few of the letters from the ship which had been sent to the shore and which were being read to the witness and Admiral Schley to be read and when they were concluded Capt. Lemly and Mr. Hanson proceeded with the questioning of other witnesses.

When the reading of the Schley-Hodgson correspondence had been concluded Capt. Lemly asked Mr. Hodgson whether he had seen the Brooklyn when the vessel was at anchor between the witness and Admiral Schley to be read and when they were concluded Capt. Lemly and Mr. Hanson proceeded with the questioning of other witnesses.

When the reading of the Schley-Hodgson correspondence had been concluded Capt. Lemly asked Mr. Hodgson whether he had seen the Brooklyn when the vessel was at anchor between the witness and Admiral Schley to be read and when they were concluded Capt. Lemly and Mr. Hanson proceeded with the questioning of other witnesses.

When the reading of the Schley-Hodgson correspondence had been concluded Capt. Lemly asked Mr. Hodgson whether he had seen the Brooklyn when the vessel was at anchor between the witness and Admiral Schley to be read and when they were concluded Capt. Lemly and Mr. Hanson proceeded with the questioning of other witnesses.

When the reading of the Schley-Hodgson correspondence had been concluded Capt. Lemly asked Mr. Hodgson whether he had seen the Brooklyn when the vessel was at anchor between the witness and Admiral Schley to be read and when they were concluded Capt. Lemly and Mr. Hanson proceeded with the questioning of other witnesses.

When the reading of the Schley-Hodgson correspondence had been concluded Capt. Lemly asked Mr. Hodgson whether he had seen the Brooklyn when the vessel was at anchor between the witness and Admiral Schley to be read and when they were concluded Capt. Lemly and Mr. Hanson proceeded with the questioning of other witnesses.

When the reading of the Schley-Hodgson correspondence had been concluded Capt. Lemly asked Mr. Hodgson whether he had seen the Brooklyn when the vessel was at anchor between the witness and Admiral Schley to be read and when they were concluded Capt. Lemly and Mr. Hanson proceeded with the questioning of other witnesses.

When the reading of the Schley-Hodgson correspondence had been concluded Capt. Lemly asked Mr. Hodgson whether he had seen the Brooklyn when the vessel was at anchor between the witness and Admiral Schley to be read and when they were concluded Capt. Lemly and Mr. Hanson proceeded with the questioning of other witnesses.

When the reading of the Schley-Hodgson correspondence had been concluded Capt. Lemly asked Mr. Hodgson whether he had seen the Brooklyn when the vessel was at anchor between the witness and Admiral Schley to be read and when they were concluded Capt. Lemly and Mr. Hanson proceeded with the questioning of other witnesses.

When the reading of the Schley-Hodgson correspondence had been concluded Capt. Lemly asked Mr. Hodgson whether he had seen the Brooklyn when the vessel was at anchor between the witness and Admiral Schley to be read and when they were concluded Capt. Lemly and Mr. Hanson proceeded with the questioning of other witnesses.

When the reading of the Schley-Hodgson correspondence had been concluded Capt. Lemly asked Mr. Hodgson whether he had seen the Brooklyn when the vessel was at anchor between the witness and Admiral Schley to be read and when they were concluded Capt. Lemly and Mr. Hanson proceeded with the questioning of other witnesses.

When the reading of the Schley-Hodgson correspondence had been concluded Capt. Lemly asked Mr. Hodgson whether he had seen the Brooklyn when the vessel was at anchor between the witness and Admiral Schley to be read and when they were concluded Capt. Lemly and Mr. Hanson proceeded with the questioning of other witnesses.

When the reading of the Schley-Hodgson correspondence had been concluded Capt. Lemly asked Mr. Hodgson whether he had seen the Brooklyn when the vessel was at anchor between the witness and Admiral Schley to be read and when they were concluded Capt. Lemly and Mr. Hanson proceeded with the questioning of other witnesses.

When the reading of the Schley-Hodgson correspondence had been concluded Capt. Lemly asked Mr. Hodgson whether he had seen the Brooklyn when the vessel was at anchor between the witness and Admiral Schley to be read and when they were concluded Capt. Lemly and Mr. Hanson proceeded with the questioning of other witnesses.

When the reading of the Schley-Hodgson correspondence had been concluded Capt. Lemly asked Mr. Hodgson whether he had seen the Brooklyn when the vessel was at anchor between the witness and Admiral Schley to be read and when they were concluded Capt. Lemly and Mr. Hanson proceeded with the questioning of other witnesses.

When the reading of the Schley-Hodgson correspondence had been concluded Capt. Lemly asked Mr. Hodgson whether he had seen the Brooklyn when the vessel was at anchor between the witness and Admiral Schley to be read and when they were concluded Capt. Lemly and Mr. Hanson proceeded with the questioning of other witnesses.

When the reading of the Schley-Hodgson correspondence had been concluded Capt. Lemly asked Mr. Hodgson whether he had seen the Brooklyn when the vessel was at anchor between the witness and Admiral Schley to be read and when they were concluded Capt. Lemly and Mr. Hanson proceeded with the questioning of other witnesses.

When the reading of the Schley-Hodgson correspondence had been concluded Capt. Lemly asked Mr. Hodgson whether he had seen the Brooklyn when the vessel was at anchor between the witness and Admiral Schley to be read and when they were concluded Capt. Lemly and Mr. Hanson proceeded with the questioning of other witnesses.

When the reading of the Schley-Hodgson correspondence had been concluded Capt. Lemly asked Mr. Hodgson whether he had seen the Brooklyn when the vessel was at anchor between the witness and Admiral Schley to be read and when they were concluded Capt. Lemly and Mr. Hanson proceeded with the questioning of other witnesses.

When the reading of the Schley-Hodgson correspondence had been concluded Capt. Lemly asked Mr. Hodgson whether he had seen the Brooklyn when the vessel was at anchor between the witness and Admiral Schley to be read and when they were concluded Capt. Lemly and Mr. Hanson proceeded with the questioning of other witnesses.

When the reading of the Schley-Hodgson correspondence had been concluded Capt. Lemly asked Mr. Hodgson whether he had seen the Brooklyn when the vessel was at anchor between the witness and Admiral Schley to be read and when they were concluded Capt. Lemly and Mr. Hanson proceeded with the questioning of other witnesses.

When the reading of the Schley-Hodgson correspondence had been concluded Capt. Lemly asked Mr. Hodgson whether he had seen the Brooklyn when the vessel was at anchor between the witness and Admiral Schley to be read and when they were concluded Capt. Lemly and Mr. Hanson proceeded with the questioning of other witnesses.

When the reading of the Schley-Hodgson correspondence had been concluded Capt. Lemly asked Mr. Hodgson whether he had seen the Brooklyn when the vessel was at anchor between the witness and Admiral Schley to be read and when they were concluded Capt. Lemly and Mr. Hanson proceeded with the questioning of other witnesses.

When the reading of the Schley-Hodgson correspondence had been concluded Capt. Lemly asked Mr. Hodgson whether he had seen the Brooklyn when the vessel was at anchor between the witness and Admiral Schley to be read and when they were concluded Capt. Lemly and Mr. Hanson proceeded with the questioning of other witnesses.

When the reading of the Schley-Hodgson correspondence had been concluded Capt. Lemly asked Mr. Hodgson whether he had seen the Brooklyn when the vessel was at anchor between the witness and Admiral Schley to be read and when they were concluded Capt. Lemly and Mr. Hanson proceeded with the questioning of other witnesses.

When the reading of the Schley-Hodgson correspondence had been concluded Capt. Lemly asked Mr. Hodgson whether he had seen the Brooklyn when the vessel was at anchor between the witness and Admiral Schley to be read and when they were concluded Capt. Lemly and Mr. Hanson proceeded with the questioning of other witnesses.

When the reading of the Schley-Hodgson correspondence had been concluded Capt. Lemly asked Mr. Hodgson whether he had seen the Brooklyn when the vessel was at anchor between the witness and Admiral Schley to be read and when they were concluded Capt. Lemly and Mr. Hanson proceeded with the questioning of other witnesses.

When the reading of the Schley-Hodgson correspondence had been concluded Capt. Lemly asked Mr. Hodgson whether he had seen the Brooklyn when the vessel was at anchor between the witness and Admiral Schley to be read and when they were concluded Capt. Lemly and Mr. Hanson proceeded with the questioning of other witnesses.

When the reading of the Schley-Hodgson correspondence had been concluded Capt. Lemly asked Mr. Hodgson whether he had seen the Brooklyn when the vessel was at anchor between the witness and Admiral Schley to be read and when they were concluded Capt. Lemly and Mr. Hanson proceeded with the questioning of other witnesses.

When the reading of the Schley-Hodgson correspondence had been concluded Capt. Lemly asked Mr. Hodgson whether he had seen the Brooklyn when the vessel was at anchor between the witness and Admiral Schley to be read and when they were concluded Capt. Lemly and Mr. Hanson proceeded with the questioning of other witnesses.

When the reading of the Schley-Hodgson correspondence had been concluded Capt. Lemly asked Mr. Hodgson whether he had seen the Brooklyn when the vessel was at anchor between the witness and Admiral Schley to be read and when they were concluded Capt. Lemly and Mr. Hanson proceeded with the questioning of other witnesses.

When the reading of the Schley-Hodgson correspondence had been concluded Capt. Lemly asked Mr. Hodgson whether he had seen the Brooklyn when the vessel was at anchor between the witness and Admiral Schley to be read and when they were concluded Capt. Lemly and Mr. Hanson proceeded with the questioning of other witnesses.

When the reading of the Schley-Hodgson correspondence had been concluded Capt. Lemly asked Mr. Hodgson whether he had seen the Brooklyn when the vessel was at anchor between the witness and Admiral Schley to be read and when they were concluded Capt. Lemly and Mr. Hanson proceeded with the questioning of other witnesses.