EVENING NEWS od Daily, Sunday's Been AT FOUR O"CLOCK. PRINTED AND PUBLISHED BY THE DESERET NEWS COMPANY CHARLES W. PENROSE, EDITOR. and thus proclaims the il egality of by which citizens of Utah, not only Thursday, Mar. 1, 1883. THE DEBATE ON THE ED. been prevented from exercising the MUNDS FOLLY. dight of suffrage.

The report of the debate, unlike WE publish to-day the report in the much of the matter which ap-Congressional Record of the debate pears in the Congressional Record, on the substitute bill, introduced by worth reading, and to all impar-Senster Edmunds in place of the tial minds will show the shallow original bill understood to have been basis on which inimical legislation drafted by the District Attorney for is proposed for Utah.

MUNDS BILL.

Utah, but which was too bad in principle and construction even for the Senator from Vermont. DEBATE ON THE NEW ED-

The dispute turned chiefly on the suffrage question, and the lamentable ignorance of our national legis-

[Concluded from first page] lators on the Utah question was Mr. Edmunds. Mr. -President, again manifested. Mr. Edmunds the question of suffrage is a politialleged information from a number of the Commissioners who recently visited Utah, and who spent most entirely apart from this bill. Thta of their time in this city. It was to of their time in this city. It was to the effect that woman suffrage here is "a suffrage of servitude;" that the women here "vote as their Brds and masters require them to do, be and masters require them to do, be they many or few." In response to in that Territory, I would say if the the suggestion of Mr. Morrill that Mormon women could not now vote they should have the right to vote. "if the Gentile woman did vote, one because if you can get at their real wife would not counterbalance six," opinions (as these commissioners Mr. Elmunds said "that is true enough." All of this goes to prove interviews, etc.) we should find that that the Senators named were ig- the very nature of woman in general-the very nature of every virtunorant of the effects of Mr. Edmunds' ous woman—revolts at the notion of polygamy and of all its influences; but they are like the women of Cenbill of last session, which took the ballot away from all the polytral Asia at this present moment; gamic wives as well as the polythey are dominated by the lords of gamie husbands. Even Mr. Hear, creation, who wish to keep up a while arguing rationally against the government which is opposed, as the principle of the bill, seemed at my friend and myself agree, first to be unaware of the fact that everything that is good as we underpolygamists, male and female, had all agree in desiring to put down. been practically disfranchised in That is the way it stands. There Utab, although he afterwards called fore we do not invade any principle attention to this fact.

the pretended object of the measure is the suppession of polygamy, but its real object was let out by Mr. Edmunds in heplying to Mr. Hoar, and that is to oripple the the hands of the few to the detri-

Senator Blair takes the realiy con-stitutional position in relation to this matter, that is, admitting for the time being that Congress has any right to regulate the domestic affairs of an organized community. He holds that the right of suffrage once lawfully exercised cannot be taken away without conviction for crime, away without conviction for crime, and thus proclaims the illegality of the rulings of the Commissioners, by which citizens of Utah, not only unconvicted but clearly untainted of any offence against the laws have and to the Mormon women alike. If we apply it to the Mormon men we mut apply it to the Gentile men slike, and then we shall have nobody to elect a Territorial Legis-lature and make a government. Men Hone L men to be their opinion to-day. men vote for sustaining that institu-tion, they do so as a rule as freely as do the Mormon men. Bo upon the question of fact I should need a great deal of evidence to satisfy me that the position of the honorable Mr. Hoar. I want to ask the Senator a question, but I want to found it, if he will allow me, on the read-

ing of a passage from the last act. The Senator, as I understood him in what he first said, stated that these women acted under duress of their Senator is correct.

husbands. For that reason he But assuming that he is correct in wished to exclude them. The pres- that regard, and that his object is ent law is this section 8 of the act of to place the control of the Territory in the hands of those who are March 22, 1882.

opposed to polygamy-for that, after all, is the only practical reason that can be urged in this strange and otherwise violent and tyranni-That no polygamist, bigamist, or any person cohabiting with more than one woman, and no woman cohabiting with any of the per-sons described as aforesaid in this section, in any Territory or other place over which the United States have embusive jurisdiction, shall be entitled to vote at any election held in any such Territory or other place, or be elig-ible for election or appointment to or be en-titled to hold any office or place of public trust, honor, or emolument in, under, or for cal legislation; nothing but the good purpose involved can possibly justify it—it is a question whether the best purpose, that is the destruction of polygamy, the destruction of the Mormon system, can justify a vio-lation of the constitutional princi-

So you have already excluded ples that have been the result of every bigamist, or polygamist man the toil and development and strugor woman, every woman cohabiting gle of six thousand years. Assum with any polygamist or bigamist; and this is a proposition merely to extend to all women this disqualifi-means which are brought into use extend to all women this disqualifibreaking up as I am these practices eation without extending it to all in this section of this bill and other mep. The Mormon man in carry- provisions of the till which certaining his principles into practice is not excluded. New, I wish to ask the Senator from Vermont why it is that opinions (as these commissioners finding certain men not guilty of cumstances—assuming that, how-did from many of them in private crime but only entertaining bad ever, to be so, does the Senator at-interviews, etc.) we should find that opinions, and certain women not tain his end?

guilty of crime but some of them as Is it not a matter of common nohe supposes entertaining bad opin-ions, he makes a law applicable to the Territory of Utah by which he excludes all women from the fran-Mormon women also? Of what chise they now possess and refuses avail is it to strike at the suffrage in to exclude not only all men but even the hands of Mormon women even the men entertaining those opin- if they do vote unintelligently or as slaves, casting the ballots of their masters and husbands? If you dis-Mr. Edmunds, I have answered

that already. I have said that your franchise these women there are law must be equal and is equal as four Mormons to every Gentile to applied to classes. Nobody doubts, vote for the system, not four polygmy friend from Massachusetts does amists it may be, but four who ennot doubt that wherever we have tertain this same Mormon opinion, fore we do not invade any principle of law or constitutional government in arranging the political affairs of a Territory over which we have su-preme dominion. If the argument of a learned citizen of the State of Pennsylvania, said to have been de-livered to a committee of the House of Representatives, and a copy ef which has been sent to me, is sound. "Mormon" Church and to put the which has been sent to me, is sound, its application. Now in order to ac-political power of the Territory into then we have no right to legislate complish the object we have in mons remaining who are not in the about any of the transactions in the view, one great and necessary step practice of polygamy to retain by Earl J Territory of Utah at all, and Utah is in it is to diminish the political their voting in their own hands the Erson L ment of the many. Senator Ed-munds is a great expounder of Re-publican principles according to the theories of his party. Is it not a

Fatled. STEUBENVILLE, Ohio, 1. - The

Cieveland Furnace Company, oper-ating under lease of the Furnace & Iron Company, failed this morning; Habilities, \$90,000; assets \$36,000 to \$40,000.

NEW YORK, 1.—Police Justice Duffy decided that the case of Morse, arrested for producing the Passion Play, should go before a jury, and heid the accused for trial. Bail was fixed at \$5,000.

almi Here

Hilled and **Ceptured**

SAN ANTONIO, Tex., 1.—A party of ranchmen surprised an Indian camp in Chihuahua, Mexico, a few days ago and captured 25 squaws, and killed 10 bucks.

Robbery and Murder.

ST. LOUIS, 1.—John Heigle, mer-chant in North Leavenworth, Kan-ses, was found dead in his store very late last night. His throat was cut and his head smashed, and the money drawer carried off.

Hilled by the Cars, HOULTON, Maine, 1.-Two men, McInley and Brown, with their wives, attempted to drive across the New Brunswick railway at Cantonbury, N. B., yesterday, in front of an advancing train. The train struck them, killing both ladies al-most instantly and seriously injuring McInley.

LIST OF LETTERS DEMAINING IN THE POST OFFICE AT

Salt Lake City, March 1, 1883, which not called for within one month; will be to the Dead Letter Office.

Peterson C

Reese E Roberts E Reces U Rymearson Rees J Robeson L

Robeson L Higby K

Receipt M Receipt M Redfield Mr Reynolds A Robbins A

Mrt

LADIES' LIST.

Pratt A "BM Ingersoll | Isachson E Armstrong B Andersen M Johnson C Alexander M HJacobs F James J W Phelps M C Quigley Jensen M Johnson L Jay N D Jibeon S Jones M J Berry W H

Russel M Kempton L Lowis R . Livingstone Lennberg A Ligerstrom I

Less L Looker J R Lindsay C Legso D ME McKey S E McAilister L McLean M A McIntyre M McCleary J Malquist H Morensen H Mores & Martin C Moore A M Mikkelson J Mikkelson J Mikkelson J Mitchell L Murray M Morgan M A Mortensen M Mutler R Mowry K Madsen L Morton J Skidmore S Stewart E Svanberg V Stevens M A Schenickel N Simons L 2 Stewart 1 Ihristenses Simons L 2 Stewart J 2 Solomon J Salabury J Show F Shipley O Stevenson I Simonson A Stevenson B Stromberg. Sherriff A Smith G Cox B: Crawford A



queer kind of republicanism to make few Senators, will agree at all. laws for the express purpose of de-stroying popular government in an stroying popular government in an Territory, and being determined to minority of its citizens?

the Vermont Senator gives his whole argument away justified in taking any step that by stating that if the "Mormon" cise of their opinions they should opinions, but the motive is to libe-have the right to vote, and if they rate these women from the duress had not that right he would give it ment there which is injurious to to them. He assumes that the their own fortune, their own honor, "Mornon" women are "compelled to vote as their lords and masters di-rect," yet admits that he has not been able to get at their "real epin-ions," although he thinks the Com-missioners have. Now, the evidence all goes to prove that the "Mormon" women vote for tast their "real desire. The absolutely accent helicts their their to undertake, and I do not think it right to undertake, and I do not think it right to undertake, and I do not think it right to undertake, and I do not think it right to undertake, and I do not think it right to undertake, and I do not think it right to undertake, and I do not this classification provers. We must do this the reason with

Mormon faith.

ing over all political power to a small there and to abolish this anti-re- it all to women; but inasmuch as there and to abolish this anti-re-publican hierarchy which is found-ed on that alone, I think we are justified in taking any step that protects equal rights, necessary to that end. The motive is not to women could have the free exer- make a distinction in respect to are plural wives or not, because the here, which commences by placing "Mormon" women are "compelled to their own fortune, their own honor, as the male people, and they vote seems harsh. There is no necessity, and their own almost solid in one way in order to I say, of adopting these violent

ions?

ingrafted contrivance on the original unmarried male polygamists in that Mormon faith. Now how are you going to do it? of all the bills suggested will still

power of the church extends entire a witness under arrest before the ly beyond the mere polygamous re- ordinary means have been put in lation. It extends to almost all the motion to secure his attendance, befemale people of that Church as well fore there is any contempt of court, Hoggan H Hooper A Horricks (

LATEST BY LIGHTNING.

cation to the Globe Democrat in

Thirkell H Taylor H Oakey H Urchard S Thompson M I Redid 8 A Parratt 8 E Peterson 8 Peet H Palme L Phelps M C 2 Petitt M A Player M Pholps M Phokeel M Parker J W Petitt J Phillips J 2 Phillips J 2 Phawson H Proctor H 2 Pendleton E Vesting C Wasden E A Wright A Walker C A Williams E I Woodruff E Wright H M Woodmanaee Woodmansee (Will L Wilson L Walser S Wisboitz M Williams M A Woodruff P N beeler S S

CENTLEMEN'S LIST.

Newson W C 2 Owen W C 2 Olecon M Obrian P Oertil J Oroutt F M Openshaw J Owen H, Obrian A D Ogen E H P Archibaid D Archibaid D Archibaid D Armstrong J CHansen P "G Hardin J J Armstrong J CHenderten Atkins J Hunt J Anderson L Hill J Andrews S Hanak P Algreen S C Holden B Alvy W Harrison R B Hayti B B Baily M R Hartison H B Bart L Borch M Horne W Borg L P Hardin J Bail R Burn W Borg L P Hardin J Burn W Borg J Burn W Howard J Brandt W D Bandry J Burland W Hutchison Beoker V Hamilton Blanker J Burne A Hint J

P Pholips S Powall T Potenson O M Proster L Place J S, Potterson J Potter G P Potter G P Potter Balt Lake House Perr A S Perr A 2 Poiton A Hinst J Hallander Harris J Hols H Hathaway Hadder F Howe G Bansen H Roberts W Rowsy F Ross B BRobieson L J Reed L Rockwell J Robbins J Robbins J R Bobins J R Robertson J Robbins J Robertson S Robbins J Rosenbergen Rosengreen Reede D W Hansen E Hershøy 1 7 Hanks G Hoffenback Hansver Mr Bunter A Yoagland A 1 ammond P

John D James H I Jensen G I Johnson H Johnson H Jordan J James J Jensen P 2 Ingram H James S

Taylor & H Tesdall E W Torronto P Taylor B T Taylor B T Taylor B T Taylor J W Twiner J M Taylor J Thomson 0

RETAIL DRY GOODS DEP'T!

2 DUNO

Our Large Stock of Retail Dry Goods MUST BE CLOSED BY MARCH 20TH, '83.

WE MEAN WHAT WE SAY.

We are Closing our Retail Dry Goods Department, and propose to carry on an Exclusive WHOLESALE BUSINESS in DRY GOODS and GROCERIES, and in order to make room for our immense Spring Purchases we offer our Stock

FOR CASH! COST

Call and Secure Bargains while you have a chance.

WM. JENNINGS & SONS.

