

The call of the ayes and nays resulted: Ayes—Evans, Melville, Haynes, Moran, Glendinning, Peters; nays—Graves, Lund, Morrell, McCuiston. Absent—Baskin, who had left the room a few seconds before the call of the roll. Baskin came in, however, a few seconds later, saying he had merely stepped out to sign some documents, and he asked unanimous consent that he be allowed to vote. The president ruled that Baskin could vote. Baskin voted aye, and the bill was declared passed.

MONDAY, MARCH 7.

A communication was received from the Governor announcing his approval of C. F. 35, establishing Arbor day. The Governor also returned C. F. 39, providing for the assessment and collection of taxes in incorporated cities, for amendment. Bill with accompanying document was referred to the committee on ways and means.

HOUSE.

TUESDAY, MARCH 1.

The committee on claims and public accounts reported on claim 7, of R. W. Heybourn, for \$162 for services as deputy statistician, recommending its rejection. Adopted.

The same committee reported on claim 16, of the territorial warden, for \$1000 for services for ten years past, recommending its rejection. Adopted.

Also on claim 8, of T. J. Almy, for \$80 for jurors' fees, recommending its rejection. Adopted.

Also on claim 17, of Lorenzo Clark, for \$36 for services as constable in Sugar House precinct, recommending its allowance. Adopted, and referred to committee on appropriations.

On claim 34, of Eber Case, for \$80, for jurors' fees, recommending its rejection. Adopted.

On claim 27, of Beaver County, for \$101.75 for collecting statistics, recommending its rejection. Adopted.

On claim 37, of Weber County for \$300, recommending its rejection. Adopted.

On claim 39, of Sanpete County for \$435.05 for providing maps for the use of the assessor and collector, recommending that it be allowed. Referred to the committee on appropriations.

On claim 38, of Weber County for \$247, one-half the cost for maps for the assessor and collector, recommending that it be allowed. Committee on appropriations.

On claim 40, of W. W. Wilson, justice of the peace of Sandy precinct, for \$222.95, recommending that it be allowed. Committee on appropriations.

On claim 41, of Utah county, for \$475 for one-half cost of maps for the assessor and collector, recommending that it be allowed. Committee on appropriations.

On claim 48, of George D. Barnard & Co., for \$250 for cabinet for clerk of the Supreme court, recommending that it be allowed. Committee on appropriations.

The special order, C. F. 37, to regulate the fees of attorneys, clerks of the district courts, commissioners and justices of the peace, was taken up and discussed. Finally, on motion of Sargent, the bill passed.

After three hours' reading and numerous amendments, the election bill passed by a vote of 19 ayes to 1 nay.

The Committee on Enrolment reported that H. F. 85, on taxing costs in court, and H. F. 89, relating to fees of marshals and sheriffs, had been forwarded to the Governor.

WEDNESDAY, MARCH 2.

H. F. 30 substituted, to authorize counties to issue bonds for outstanding indebtedness, etc., was read and passed.

H. F. 110, relating to a bureau of statistics, was read the third time and passed.

H. F. 111, relating to revenue, was read the third time and passed.

H. F. 116, relating to tenancy in common, was read the third time and passed.

The bill introduced by Lawrence, (H. F. 101), to make it unlawful to expose forests, shrubs or undergrowth on the public domain to danger from fire and prescribing the duties of sheriffs in extinguishing the same, created a lengthy discussion in which many of the members participated. An amendment offered by Adams was ridged by an amendment offered by Wright, and the vote on the latter resulted in its rejection; the amendment was also rejected.

Adams moved to amend by striking out "sheriff" and inserting "any person." No second.

The bill was then placed upon its passage and passed, ayes 19, noes 2, Sargent creating a laugh by responding "as usual—no."

C. F. 29, to encourage manufactories in this Territory, was taken up on its third reading. The clerk had not proceeded very far when it struck a snag in the shape of an amendment offered by Sargent, who was opposed to granting a bounty in any form whatever. If woolen factories should not be exempted from taxation, neither should sugar factories. If it was desired that this bill pass, the section (4) providing such gratuity had better be left out.

Moritz opposed the amendment.

A vote was taken on the amendment, resulting in its adoption—13 to 9; Snow voting in the negative this time.

J. D. Irvine moved that section 4 be reinstated in the bill. Carried, and the clerk proceeded with the reading.

Arnett moved a wholesale striking out, including section 4 and some others, together with several portions of sections, all that provided bounty for anything but iron works. He supported his motion in a forcible argument.

Snow partly favored and partly opposed the amendment.

Irvine (W. H.) opposed the amendment, making an excellent speech.

Moritz spoke about his own industry (brewing), offering an amendment exempting lager beer from taxation.

Ruled out of order.

Lawrence spoke against the amendment. He made a lengthy and impressive argument.

Adams opposed the amendment.

The amendment was defeated, Arnett only voting aye.

Moritz sent in his amendment in writing; the vote on it showed 7 for, 15 against.

Nebeker offered a humorous amendment, exaggerating the encouragement and bounty questions, which created considerable laughter.

Sargent offered an amendment ex-

empting salt from taxation, which was accepted by Nebeker. Voted down.

Marshall opposed the bill in a legal argument.

Lawrence again spoke in favor of the bill.

The debate by this time assumed strictly Democratic and Republican features, the economic phases of the tariff question being incidentally condemned and advocated respectively.

Cunningham undertook to show that the Lehl Sugar factory would get from the government and Territory bounty about 12½ per cent. on the their investment.

W. H. Irvine said he was glad to learn that the factory had been able to pay a dividend, and that showed the Territory had been the gainer by it. A gentleman had handed him a statement showing that on the starting of this factory the California sugar men reduced the price so as to give this Territory a reduction or saving of \$13,000, on what sugar was shipped here, while the Territory had been benefited \$50,000 besides this through the building and operating of this factory. He was in favor of home manufactures and hence for the bill.

Snow said that Cache county owns about 30 per cent. of the stock of the sugar factory and that it was distributed among all classes. In his county a meeting had been held lately for organizing a cannery where all are Democrats. They expressed themselves in favor of exempting from taxation certain factories, but were not in favor of a sugar bounty.

Arnett said he had stretched his ideas a little in favor of iron factories only in the bill, still reserving his opposition to bounties.

Pike styled the bill as class legislation. He had money invested in iron and a cannery, neither of which had been successful.

Moritz urged that the members should lay aside their Democratic principles and work for the good of the Territory, for which they are here.

The bill failed on a vote of 12 yeas to 10 nays.

H. F. 126, relating to proceedings to obtain possession of real property. Read and passed.

H. F. 49, the World's Fair bill, was partly read, when J. D. Irvine moved to make it a special order for Friday, that it might come up after the Council had acted on its bill of similar import. The motion failed.

The question of the amount to appropriate for the Utah exhibit was discussed. The committee had placed the amount at \$50,000, and there were motions to make the amount in different sums from \$75,000 down to \$25,000.

The votes on the two amounts were as follows:

On the \$75,000 amendment: Ayes—Allen, Colton, W. H. Irvine, Kimball, Lawrence, Pierce, Snow—7. Nays—Adams, Arnett, Cunningham, J. D. Irvine, Montgomery, Moritz, Mackay, Marshall, Nebeker, Olson, Pike, Sargent, Stoker, Tuttle, Wright—15.

On \$25,000 proposition: Ayes—Adams, Arnett, Cunningham, J. D. Irvine, Montgomery, Mackay, Marshall, Nebeker, Olson, Pike, Stoker, Tuttle, Wright—13. Nays—Allen, Colton, W. H. Irvine, Kimball, Lawrence, Moritz, Pierce, Snow—8.

Mr. Sargent offered an amendment