

OUR OWN STATE.

OGDEN NEWS.

DEC. 8, 1899.

INJUNCTION SUIT FILED.

Deering Harvest Company vs Sidney Stevens and Sidney Stevens Implement Company.

Calvert vs Green Verdict—Charles J. Corey Bankruptcy—Interesting Local News Briefs.

In the case of the Deering Harvesting Company vs Sidney Stevens, Sidney Stevens Implement Company et al, yesterday, an order was signed by Judge W. M. McCarty requiring the defendants to appear in court on Dec. 12, 1899, to show cause why an injunction should not be issued restraining them from transferring, assigning or disposing of any of the capital stock of the above mentioned implement company.

The complaint of the Deering Harvesting Company sets forth that the defendant, Sidney Stevens, in the case of the Deering Harvesting Company vs Sidney Stevens, Sidney Stevens Implement Company et al, yesterday, an order was signed by Judge W. M. McCarty requiring the defendants to appear in court on Dec. 12, 1899, to show cause why an injunction should not be issued restraining them from transferring, assigning or disposing of any of the capital stock of the above mentioned implement company.

The complaint of the Deering Harvesting Company sets forth that the defendant, Sidney Stevens, in the case of the Deering Harvesting Company vs Sidney Stevens, Sidney Stevens Implement Company et al, yesterday, an order was signed by Judge W. M. McCarty requiring the defendants to appear in court on Dec. 12, 1899, to show cause why an injunction should not be issued restraining them from transferring, assigning or disposing of any of the capital stock of the above mentioned implement company.

The complaint of the Deering Harvesting Company sets forth that the defendant, Sidney Stevens, in the case of the Deering Harvesting Company vs Sidney Stevens, Sidney Stevens Implement Company et al, yesterday, an order was signed by Judge W. M. McCarty requiring the defendants to appear in court on Dec. 12, 1899, to show cause why an injunction should not be issued restraining them from transferring, assigning or disposing of any of the capital stock of the above mentioned implement company.

The complaint of the Deering Harvesting Company sets forth that the defendant, Sidney Stevens, in the case of the Deering Harvesting Company vs Sidney Stevens, Sidney Stevens Implement Company et al, yesterday, an order was signed by Judge W. M. McCarty requiring the defendants to appear in court on Dec. 12, 1899, to show cause why an injunction should not be issued restraining them from transferring, assigning or disposing of any of the capital stock of the above mentioned implement company.

The complaint of the Deering Harvesting Company sets forth that the defendant, Sidney Stevens, in the case of the Deering Harvesting Company vs Sidney Stevens, Sidney Stevens Implement Company et al, yesterday, an order was signed by Judge W. M. McCarty requiring the defendants to appear in court on Dec. 12, 1899, to show cause why an injunction should not be issued restraining them from transferring, assigning or disposing of any of the capital stock of the above mentioned implement company.

The complaint of the Deering Harvesting Company sets forth that the defendant, Sidney Stevens, in the case of the Deering Harvesting Company vs Sidney Stevens, Sidney Stevens Implement Company et al, yesterday, an order was signed by Judge W. M. McCarty requiring the defendants to appear in court on Dec. 12, 1899, to show cause why an injunction should not be issued restraining them from transferring, assigning or disposing of any of the capital stock of the above mentioned implement company.

The complaint of the Deering Harvesting Company sets forth that the defendant, Sidney Stevens, in the case of the Deering Harvesting Company vs Sidney Stevens, Sidney Stevens Implement Company et al, yesterday, an order was signed by Judge W. M. McCarty requiring the defendants to appear in court on Dec. 12, 1899, to show cause why an injunction should not be issued restraining them from transferring, assigning or disposing of any of the capital stock of the above mentioned implement company.

The complaint of the Deering Harvesting Company sets forth that the defendant, Sidney Stevens, in the case of the Deering Harvesting Company vs Sidney Stevens, Sidney Stevens Implement Company et al, yesterday, an order was signed by Judge W. M. McCarty requiring the defendants to appear in court on Dec. 12, 1899, to show cause why an injunction should not be issued restraining them from transferring, assigning or disposing of any of the capital stock of the above mentioned implement company.

The complaint of the Deering Harvesting Company sets forth that the defendant, Sidney Stevens, in the case of the Deering Harvesting Company vs Sidney Stevens, Sidney Stevens Implement Company et al, yesterday, an order was signed by Judge W. M. McCarty requiring the defendants to appear in court on Dec. 12, 1899, to show cause why an injunction should not be issued restraining them from transferring, assigning or disposing of any of the capital stock of the above mentioned implement company.

The complaint of the Deering Harvesting Company sets forth that the defendant, Sidney Stevens, in the case of the Deering Harvesting Company vs Sidney Stevens, Sidney Stevens Implement Company et al, yesterday, an order was signed by Judge W. M. McCarty requiring the defendants to appear in court on Dec. 12, 1899, to show cause why an injunction should not be issued restraining them from transferring, assigning or disposing of any of the capital stock of the above mentioned implement company.

The complaint of the Deering Harvesting Company sets forth that the defendant, Sidney Stevens, in the case of the Deering Harvesting Company vs Sidney Stevens, Sidney Stevens Implement Company et al, yesterday, an order was signed by Judge W. M. McCarty requiring the defendants to appear in court on Dec. 12, 1899, to show cause why an injunction should not be issued restraining them from transferring, assigning or disposing of any of the capital stock of the above mentioned implement company.

The complaint of the Deering Harvesting Company sets forth that the defendant, Sidney Stevens, in the case of the Deering Harvesting Company vs Sidney Stevens, Sidney Stevens Implement Company et al, yesterday, an order was signed by Judge W. M. McCarty requiring the defendants to appear in court on Dec. 12, 1899, to show cause why an injunction should not be issued restraining them from transferring, assigning or disposing of any of the capital stock of the above mentioned implement company.

The complaint of the Deering Harvesting Company sets forth that the defendant, Sidney Stevens, in the case of the Deering Harvesting Company vs Sidney Stevens, Sidney Stevens Implement Company et al, yesterday, an order was signed by Judge W. M. McCarty requiring the defendants to appear in court on Dec. 12, 1899, to show cause why an injunction should not be issued restraining them from transferring, assigning or disposing of any of the capital stock of the above mentioned implement company.

The complaint of the Deering Harvesting Company sets forth that the defendant, Sidney Stevens, in the case of the Deering Harvesting Company vs Sidney Stevens, Sidney Stevens Implement Company et al, yesterday, an order was signed by Judge W. M. McCarty requiring the defendants to appear in court on Dec. 12, 1899, to show cause why an injunction should not be issued restraining them from transferring, assigning or disposing of any of the capital stock of the above mentioned implement company.

The complaint of the Deering Harvesting Company sets forth that the defendant, Sidney Stevens, in the case of the Deering Harvesting Company vs Sidney Stevens, Sidney Stevens Implement Company et al, yesterday, an order was signed by Judge W. M. McCarty requiring the defendants to appear in court on Dec. 12, 1899, to show cause why an injunction should not be issued restraining them from transferring, assigning or disposing of any of the capital stock of the above mentioned implement company.

The complaint of the Deering Harvesting Company sets forth that the defendant, Sidney Stevens, in the case of the Deering Harvesting Company vs Sidney Stevens, Sidney Stevens Implement Company et al, yesterday, an order was signed by Judge W. M. McCarty requiring the defendants to appear in court on Dec. 12, 1899, to show cause why an injunction should not be issued restraining them from transferring, assigning or disposing of any of the capital stock of the above mentioned implement company.

The complaint of the Deering Harvesting Company sets forth that the defendant, Sidney Stevens, in the case of the Deering Harvesting Company vs Sidney Stevens, Sidney Stevens Implement Company et al, yesterday, an order was signed by Judge W. M. McCarty requiring the defendants to appear in court on Dec. 12, 1899, to show cause why an injunction should not be issued restraining them from transferring, assigning or disposing of any of the capital stock of the above mentioned implement company.

The complaint of the Deering Harvesting Company sets forth that the defendant, Sidney Stevens, in the case of the Deering Harvesting Company vs Sidney Stevens, Sidney Stevens Implement Company et al, yesterday, an order was signed by Judge W. M. McCarty requiring the defendants to appear in court on Dec. 12, 1899, to show cause why an injunction should not be issued restraining them from transferring, assigning or disposing of any of the capital stock of the above mentioned implement company.

The complaint of the Deering Harvesting Company sets forth that the defendant, Sidney Stevens, in the case of the Deering Harvesting Company vs Sidney Stevens, Sidney Stevens Implement Company et al, yesterday, an order was signed by Judge W. M. McCarty requiring the defendants to appear in court on Dec. 12, 1899, to show cause why an injunction should not be issued restraining them from transferring, assigning or disposing of any of the capital stock of the above mentioned implement company.

The complaint of the Deering Harvesting Company sets forth that the defendant, Sidney Stevens, in the case of the Deering Harvesting Company vs Sidney Stevens, Sidney Stevens Implement Company et al, yesterday, an order was signed by Judge W. M. McCarty requiring the defendants to appear in court on Dec. 12, 1899, to show cause why an injunction should not be issued restraining them from transferring, assigning or disposing of any of the capital stock of the above mentioned implement company.

The complaint of the Deering Harvesting Company sets forth that the defendant, Sidney Stevens, in the case of the Deering Harvesting Company vs Sidney Stevens, Sidney Stevens Implement Company et al, yesterday, an order was signed by Judge W. M. McCarty requiring the defendants to appear in court on Dec. 12, 1899, to show cause why an injunction should not be issued restraining them from transferring, assigning or disposing of any of the capital stock of the above mentioned implement company.

The complaint of the Deering Harvesting Company sets forth that the defendant, Sidney Stevens, in the case of the Deering Harvesting Company vs Sidney Stevens, Sidney Stevens Implement Company et al, yesterday, an order was signed by Judge W. M. McCarty requiring the defendants to appear in court on Dec. 12, 1899, to show cause why an injunction should not be issued restraining them from transferring, assigning or disposing of any of the capital stock of the above mentioned implement company.

The complaint of the Deering Harvesting Company sets forth that the defendant, Sidney Stevens, in the case of the Deering Harvesting Company vs Sidney Stevens, Sidney Stevens Implement Company et al, yesterday, an order was signed by Judge W. M. McCarty requiring the defendants to appear in court on Dec. 12, 1899, to show cause why an injunction should not be issued restraining them from transferring, assigning or disposing of any of the capital stock of the above mentioned implement company.

The complaint of the Deering Harvesting Company sets forth that the defendant, Sidney Stevens, in the case of the Deering Harvesting Company vs Sidney Stevens, Sidney Stevens Implement Company et al, yesterday, an order was signed by Judge W. M. McCarty requiring the defendants to appear in court on Dec. 12, 1899, to show cause why an injunction should not be issued restraining them from transferring, assigning or disposing of any of the capital stock of the above mentioned implement company.

The complaint of the Deering Harvesting Company sets forth that the defendant, Sidney Stevens, in the case of the Deering Harvesting Company vs Sidney Stevens, Sidney Stevens Implement Company et al, yesterday, an order was signed by Judge W. M. McCarty requiring the defendants to appear in court on Dec. 12, 1899, to show cause why an injunction should not be issued restraining them from transferring, assigning or disposing of any of the capital stock of the above mentioned implement company.

The complaint of the Deering Harvesting Company sets forth that the defendant, Sidney Stevens, in the case of the Deering Harvesting Company vs Sidney Stevens, Sidney Stevens Implement Company et al, yesterday, an order was signed by Judge W. M. McCarty requiring the defendants to appear in court on Dec. 12, 1899, to show cause why an injunction should not be issued restraining them from transferring, assigning or disposing of any of the capital stock of the above mentioned implement company.

The complaint of the Deering Harvesting Company sets forth that the defendant, Sidney Stevens, in the case of the Deering Harvesting Company vs Sidney Stevens, Sidney Stevens Implement Company et al, yesterday, an order was signed by Judge W. M. McCarty requiring the defendants to appear in court on Dec. 12, 1899, to show cause why an injunction should not be issued restraining them from transferring, assigning or disposing of any of the capital stock of the above mentioned implement company.

The complaint of the Deering Harvesting Company sets forth that the defendant, Sidney Stevens, in the case of the Deering Harvesting Company vs Sidney Stevens, Sidney Stevens Implement Company et al, yesterday, an order was signed by Judge W. M. McCarty requiring the defendants to appear in court on Dec. 12, 1899, to show cause why an injunction should not be issued restraining them from transferring, assigning or disposing of any of the capital stock of the above mentioned implement company.

The complaint of the Deering Harvesting Company sets forth that the defendant, Sidney Stevens, in the case of the Deering Harvesting Company vs Sidney Stevens, Sidney Stevens Implement Company et al, yesterday, an order was signed by Judge W. M. McCarty requiring the defendants to appear in court on Dec. 12, 1899, to show cause why an injunction should not be issued restraining them from transferring, assigning or disposing of any of the capital stock of the above mentioned implement company.

The complaint of the Deering Harvesting Company sets forth that the defendant, Sidney Stevens, in the case of the Deering Harvesting Company vs Sidney Stevens, Sidney Stevens Implement Company et al, yesterday, an order was signed by Judge W. M. McCarty requiring the defendants to appear in court on Dec. 12, 1899, to show cause why an injunction should not be issued restraining them from transferring, assigning or disposing of any of the capital stock of the above mentioned implement company.

The complaint of the Deering Harvesting Company sets forth that the defendant, Sidney Stevens, in the case of the Deering Harvesting Company vs Sidney Stevens, Sidney Stevens Implement Company et al, yesterday, an order was signed by Judge W. M. McCarty requiring the defendants to appear in court on Dec. 12, 1899, to show cause why an injunction should not be issued restraining them from transferring, assigning or disposing of any of the capital stock of the above mentioned implement company.

The complaint of the Deering Harvesting Company sets forth that the defendant, Sidney Stevens, in the case of the Deering Harvesting Company vs Sidney Stevens, Sidney Stevens Implement Company et al, yesterday, an order was signed by Judge W. M. McCarty requiring the defendants to appear in court on Dec. 12, 1899, to show cause why an injunction should not be issued restraining them from transferring, assigning or disposing of any of the capital stock of the above mentioned implement company.

HEADACHES AND DIZZY SPELLS

Indicate That Your Nerves Are Weak.

HUDYAN CURES.



THAT THIS IS TRUE IS PROVEN BY MRS. Carter's letter:

LOS ANGELES, Cal. Dear Doctor: I want everyone to know what Hudyen did for me. I suffered with weak nerves and complicated neuralgia in women. Had bad headaches, pain in back and dizzy spells nearly all the time. I was very weak, about half the time in bed. I took Hudyen, and from the very first day felt better. In a few days I was able to get up and work. I am today a healthy woman and every symptom of my illness has passed away. Hudyen is indeed good.

HUDYAN strengthens and quiets the nerves. Hudyen is for sale by druggists. 50c a package, or six packages for \$2.50.

If your druggist does not keep HUDYAN send direct to the

HUDYAN REMEDY CO.,

Cor. Stockton, Ellis and Market Sts., SAN FRANCISCO, CAL.

Consult the HUDYAN Doctors free of charge. Call or write.

Special Correspondence:

Spanish Fork, Utah, Dec. 6.—Considerable excitement was produced here last night and all of today when it became known that the smallpox had actually made its appearance. An actor belonging to John Lindsay's theatrical company is the victim. Last night while the company was playing at Dahlie's hall some persons present noticed the suspicious appearance of the player. This was followed by an examination of the case and a determination on the part of Drs. Robinson, county quarantine physician, Warner, city quarantine physician, and Slater, a prominent expert of diseases, that the man had the smallpox in an advanced state, though of a mild type. Much indignation is expressed at the thoughtless carelessness or unpardonable ignorance as the case may be, of appearing before a full house in close contact, while one of the members showed unmistakable signs of being afflicted with at least a suspicious malady.

The Boyack House, at which place John Lindsay and his crowd are staying, has been closed, and also the Dahlie place, and it is expected that those who came were closely associated with the patient will be closely guarded. At an early hour this morning Trustee Prior and Principal Reese concluded it was better to close the schools of this district for the present, and this will be followed at once by a proclamation from the mayor of the city, Mr. Ben Argyle, forbidding all public gatherings for the present.

OUTGOING CITY ADMINISTRATION.

Our present city officers are preparing to leave their offices on Monday, Dec. 11, and to be succeeded by their successors in office, and to transmit to their care a city as free from "vice and crime" as can be found anywhere. During the present administration the laws have been, on the whole, fairly executed. The mayor, Mr. Argyle, has been a most efficient official, and has given the city a fine baby girl. Judge Heber C. Jex, and City Marshal Olsen, are men of exceptional ability, and integrity, while the incoming officers are above and beyond the taint of evil suspicion. It is true that some evils have existed as they do wherever men are, but they have been confined chiefly to exuberant but undignified hoodlumism and possibly some secret gaming.

The next administration, according to its platform, must close the saloons, check rowdism, stop gambling and sustain public order.

A Keen Clear Brain.

Your best feelings, your social position of business success depend largely on the perfect action of your Stomach and Liver. Dr. King's New Life Pills give increased strength, a keen, clear brain, high ambition. A 25 cent box will make you feel like a new being. Sold by Z. C. M. I. Drug Dept.

ON TO MANILA, 20 CENTS.

The last 1,000 of the beautiful book, "On to Manila," detailing the achievements of the Utah batteries in the Philippines, are now offered at 20 cents. Postage prepaid.

SANTAQUIN.

Funeral of Bishop Eli Openshaw—Close of a Noble Career.

Special Correspondence.

Santaquin, Utah Co., Dec. 7.—The farmers here are jubilant over the fall of snow we had on the night of the 5th, when ten inches of the beautiful, soft, warm covering for the many fields of fall wheat that were already cheering the hearts of the granagers.

FUNERAL OF BISHOP OPENSHAW.

Yesterday the funeral services of our late friend and brother ex-Bishop Eli Openshaw was conducted in the school house, under the direction of Bishop John M. Holladay, commencing at 11 a. m., and though the previous night brought so much snow the house was

Public Schools.

Our public schools are prospering and are in good shape. It is expected that we will turn out a large number of graduates this school year, our principal, R. L. McFarley, with his assistants, are working hard to this end. Sandy employs seven teachers, with a school population of 517. The salaries of teachers and janitors amount to \$405 every month.

RURAL DELIVERY.

We expect the rural delivery to start from the Sandy postoffice, will begin in the near future, this route comprises East Jordan, West Jordan and part of Murray, running as far north as the Highland Boy smelter, and the west to what is known as the old Red Wood line, the south line is the county road running east and west from West Jordan school house to Bishop Goff's store, East Jordan to State road.

FILLMORE.

County Surplus—Grand Larceny—New School Building to be Dedicated.

Special Correspondence.

Fillmore City, Millard Co., Dec. 7.—The county court was in session three days this week and disposed of the business on the table with their usual alacrity and scrutiny; there will likely be a surplus of money on hand after the county obligations have been met, which the commissioners contemplate expending in making public improvements on and around the court house.

ACCUSED OF GRAND LARCENY.

District Attorney S. Marioneaux today filed with the clerk of the district court, information charging Joseph Nelson with grand larceny.

PINE NEW SCHOOL BUILDING.

Preparations are being made for the dedication of our new school house,

which will occur the 23rd of this month. There will be an appropriate program of exercises under the auspices of the school, and impromptu remarks from some of our leading citizens. The building is one that would adorn the school grounds of any city in our State; it is constructed according to modern plans of school building, and is furnished with the latest improved school apparatus.

Brave Men Fall.

Victims to stomach, liver and kidney troubles as well as women, and all feel the results in loss of appetite, poisons in the blood, backache, nervousness, headache and tired, listless, run-down feeling. But there's no need to feel like that. J. W. Gardner, of Idaho, Ind., says: "Electric Bitters are just the thing for a man when he don't care whether he lives or dies. It gave me new strength and good appetite. I can now eat anything and have a new lease on life." Only 50 cts., at Z. C. M. I. Drug Dept. Every bottle guaranteed.

ON TO MANILA, 20 CENTS.

The last 1,000 of the beautiful book, "On to Manila," detailing the achievements of the Utah batteries in the Philippines, are now offered at 20 cents. Postage prepaid.

PLEASANT GROVE.

Death of John J. Hayne, a Veteran of Early Days.

Special Correspondence.

Pleasant Grove, Utah Co., Dec. 8.—Elder John J. Hayne died here last night at 10 o'clock, of general debility. He was born in Ireland, Jan. 25th, 1825, and came to Utah in 1852, having emigrated from London. He lived in Lehi thirteen years, moved to this place in 1865. He leaves three sons and one daughter; his wife died fifteen years ago. Brother Hayne was a conscientious, honest man, zealous in the work of God, and has labored faithfully to promote the cause of truth in the earth. He occupied the position of senior president of the 44th quorum of Seventy for many years. His funeral will be held at the ward meeting house Monday, Dec. 11th, at 1 o'clock p. m.

SILVER CITY.

George Buckler Meets Severe Accident—Samuel Pulver Will be Buried at Payson.

Special Correspondence.

Silver City, Dec. 6.—George Buckler, an employee of the Swansone mine, suffered a very bad accident last evening, which may result in the loss of his left eye. Buckler had been sent to work on the 400 level of the mine and had just mounted a set of timbers—which had been erected for him to work on—when they gave way letting him fall to the level below, a distance of twenty feet. Besides some very severe bruises on various parts of the body, his left eye and side of his face were badly mashed. Dr. Hosmer dressed the wound and the man was sent in to the hospital this afternoon for treatment.

SAMUEL PULVER WILL BE BURIED AT PAYSON.

The remains of Samuel Pulver, who met death on Tuesday by an explosion of a charge of dynamite in the Dragon Iron mine, just east of camp, were removed to Payson today, where his remains now reside. A preliminary service will be held at that place on the afternoon of the 7th. The deceased had been married but a short time ago and leaves behind his heart-broken wife, and many relatives and friends who sincerely feel his death.

OUR NEIGHBORS.

STONE, IDAHO.

Improved Care of Sheep—Scrimmage with a Wild Cat.

Special Correspondence.

Stone, Oneida Co., Idaho, Dec. 5.—Last week the Improvement association had a dance for the benefit of that organization. There was a good turn out.

IMPROVED CARE OF SHEEP.

Mr. William Wright, of Brigham City, has his sheep in the hills near by. He will winter one flock in this valley, and he is now erecting sheds to protect them from the bad weather in early spring. He intends raising early lambs and catching the market at its prime.

The water case in which Mr. Conant is defendant and nearly all the rest of the settlers in Curlew valley are plaintiffs, will come up in Brigham City on the 13th inst. for a hearing before Judge Hart. If the decision is favorable to the settlers, as they have every reason to believe, it will be a serious blow to the water company and several thousand acres more land brought into cultivation.

SCRIMMAGE WITH A WILDCAT.

A few mornings since, when Mrs. Allen of this place, went to let out her poultry, she found a strange animal on the roof of the chicken coop. The lady little attention, but as soon as the fowls appeared he made for them. The lady struck him with a stick. He then seemed to forget his want of a breakfast and sprang at her, luckily missing his mark. His next move was to spring on the roof of the chicken coop. Mrs. Allen now screamed lustily for assistance. A gentleman who is boarding with the family ran out, and securing a pitchfork, succeeded in dispatching the lover of ducks and chickens. It proved to be a wildcat measuring three feet in length.

RELIEF SOCIETY BALL.

The Relief society of Snowville, of which the sisters here form a conspicuous part, have been to the expense of putting up a new barnyard; this depleted their treasury previously overflowing; so to replenish their vaults, on Thanksgiving evening the sisters had a dance and oyster supper; the large school building in Snowville was crowded; there was good music and plenty for all; extreme sociability prevailed, and mirth and merriment were enjoyed by all.

THATCHER, ARIZONA.

Thanksgiving—Mutual Mission Work—Beautiful Mild Weather.

Special Correspondence.

Thatcher, Graham County, Arizona, Dec. 4.—Our Thanksgiving was pleasantly passed. The academy pupils paraded the streets before ten and had a very enjoyable program in the chapel between ten and twelve.

At 3 p. m. the little tots of the Primary met and had picnic and a nice program.

Elder Walker from Weber county, Utah, is here laboring in the interests of the Mutual Improvement work.

The weather is beautiful, dry and clear; children are playing out of doors; academy pupils sitting about the yard studying; the grapes are being put in and irrigated; the green foliage still adorns the trees, and some roses are in bloom.

PIMA, ARIZONA.

Reunion of First Quorum of Elders—Quarterly Conference.

Special Correspondence.

Pima, Arizona, Dec. 4.—The reunion of the members of the First quorum of Elders was a very successful affair. This quorum is made up of those living in four wards and one branch, with center at Pima. Elder W. T. Webb, with his associates in the presidency of this quorum, took the proper course to arouse the interest. There was a large attendance and some visitors, among whom were the Stake presidency. A splendid program was gone through

DELINQUENT TAXES FOR THE YEAR 1899.

(Continued from Page 16)

NAME AND LOCATION.	AMOUNT.	DATE.	APPROPRIATE.
(Book E.)			
4017 Danville, B. W.	18.21		
4018 Todd, Charles	10.60		
4019 Ruddy, J. B.	8.15		
4020 West Side Rapid Transit Co., personal property in 2nd district	158.18		
4021 West Side Rapid Transit Co., personal property in 2nd district	85.94		
4022 American Brewing & Refrigerator line.	1.77		
4023 Huron Stock Car Co.	8.03		
4024 Arms Palace Horse Car Co.	1.77		
4025 Cedar Rapids Refrigerator Co.	8.88		
4026 California Fruit Transportation Co.	17.84		
4027 Continental Fruit Express Co.	76.78		
4028 C. B. Havens & Co.	29.25		
4029 Lipton Car line.	88		
4030 Mather Horse Stock Car Co.	2.35		
4031 Omaha Packing Co.	1.77		
4032 Western Refrigerator Car Line Co.	2.65		
4033 Shipper's Refrigerator Car Co.	1.67		
4034 St. Charles Refrigerator Dispatch Co. NET PROVIDED OF MINES.	.83		
4035 Tilton Mining Co., 6th district	1.73		
4036 Schenck & Hanauer, Keystone group, district	22.82		
APPENDIX.			
13021 Joseph, S. in a 1/2 of sw 1/4 sec. 12, T. 2 S., range 1 W., 21 acres, 24th	2.50		
13022 Smith, Hudson, Imp. in lot 9, blk. 20, Kinney & Gourlay's imp. city plat.	2.48		
13023 Brown, Frederick, in sec. 3, 4, 11 S., range 1 E., 2nd dist., 20 acres, 24th	15.65		
13024 Maier, Chris, all lot 8 and 1 1/2 lot 37, 2d dist.	10.63		
13025 Binley, Elizabeth et al, in a 1/2 of sw 1/4 sec. 2, T. 1 S., R. 1 W., 23 acres, 3rd district	3.48		
13026 Jones, T. R., in sec. 26, T. 2 S., R. 3 W., 20 acres, 3rd district	1.57		

GOOD FATHERLY ADVICE

Is being given this young man, who is about to embark on the sea of matrimony. The old gentleman is telling the boy that he should exercise great economy in commencing housekeeping, as he would find so many demands upon his limited purse. In the matter of furnishing his home, there was but one house to which he could conscientiously recommend him—and he did so from actual experience. In this he exhibited excellent judgment, because the house referred to was:

H. DINWOODEY FURNITURE CO.

WITHOUT PRECEDENT

Traditional Storekeeping has followed into retirement many another idea that the world has outgrown. No merchant owns his trade. No sensible person will, in these days, buy goods on sentiment or past experience. The demand is that the merchant shall be up to date in every respect. That's how our business finds the chance to find itself. Keen public sense comprehends the best and rewards it. The witnesses of the day are the following: Our