

In the midst of all this, the correspondent finds some satisfaction in the deduction that "Jack the Ripper" is doing one good thing for Whitechapel; he is drawing England's attention to this realm of woe. Millions of England's wealth is annually sent over the seas to the complacent heathen, but wretched Whitechapel, at the very doors of London's cathedrals, of her Parliament and royal palaces and mighty banks, exists in worse than savagery, and a worse than savage, undetected, slays her hopeless, helpless creatures who parade in the garbled shape of women. Christianity is mocked and civilization is mocked by the horrible and indescribable crimes that make the place abhorred.

Thus does Whitechapel add infamy to infamy, crime to crime and sin to sin until it must long since have transcended the record of Gomorrah and reached the summit of iniquity in its career of darkness and death.

THE "LIBERAL" TIN PAN TUMULT.

THE chairman of the central committee of the "Liberal" party has been adding oral remarks to his printed attacks on the People's Party. They are equally unreliable and would be misleading if they were not so erroneous.

Here are the principal charges he makes as a representative of the "Liberal" elements: "The system which has been built up by the People's party," he says, "is based upon the idea of communism;" this "stunts the growth of the intellect," and "here in Utah we have our Dukes and our Earls and our Barons;" "the result is that millions grow up in poverty while wealthy citizens are surrounded by luxuries;" "that millions suffer and remain poor and their children are uneducated and the State is injured;" "that giant corporation, Zion's Co-op" is a "commercial anaconda which encircles in its folds every man of moderate means who seeks to do business in his line and completely crushes him out of existence;" "in political matters everything is prepared in secret conclave;" "the members of our City Council whenever any problem arises of vast interest to the public close the doors and enter upon an executive session where the voter cannot go;" "the people receive no value in return for the money which they contribute for the support of their municipal and ter-

ritorial governments;" "we are not possessed of adequate water rights and water privileges," and the only answer we receive is, "that God has brought the drouth and that we have no right to complain and criticize those who have so wisely expended the people's money;" "the Liberals of this city own more than half of the real estate;" "the Liberal platform is equal rights to all, no privileges for the few and equal rights to the many," etc., etc.

This is the sort of stuff dealt out to the small knots of "Liberals" who congregate for political purposes. If the object in view is to stir up men filled with bitterness to still further hostility to the majority here, it may have the desired effect. If it is to win votes from the People's Party it is the very essence of folly, because every People's Party man who is not insane knows that this twaddle is a mess of misrepresentation and positive absurdity, and that it maligns him in common with all his political associates. Blackguarding men and then inviting them to vote with you is a singular method of making political converts.

Now, "the idea of communism" forms no element of the principles of the People's Party nor of any "system" which it has "built up." Communism is foreign to the faith and purposes of the People's Party and of the Church to which most of the members of that party belong. Therefore, all the dire results of communism which the faucy of the "Liberal" orator has painted are "based" upon a fallacy. So with his flights into feudal times and his reference to Barons and Dukes and Earls, and "the millions who grow up in poverty" and "the millions who remain poor," etc., as the result of these imaginary orders of nobility. The speaker must have cut bodily out of some old speech suited to the Old World all this rhodomontade. How many millions are there impoverished by "Barons" among the two hundred thousand of Utah's population? How many millions are there uneducated in Utah, to the "injury of the State" before we have any "State?"

Does any "Liberal," whether inebriated or sober, pretend to say that there are no misery and poverty and lack of education among the great States of this nation far away from Utah? That there is no "wealth surrounded by luxuries while the millions grow up in poverty," in regions where the People's Party is un-

known? Or would he venture to say that there are no inequalities among the "Liberals?" Are there no "poor," no "uneducated," no "suffering" among them? If they own "half the real estate in this city," is not that property in the hands of but a few of their number while the many are landless?

The truth is that property is more evenly distributed among those who belong to the People's Party, and there is less aggregation of wealth in the hands of a few, than among any other people of equal numbers in the world. And whatever inequalities exist are no more chargeable to the People's Party than they are to the glittering stars.

And what "man of moderate means" or of large or small means has Z. C. M. I. "crushed out of existence?" If some merchant, anxious to make huge profits, has not succeeded, because the effect of Z. C. M. I. business methods has been to ensure goods to the purchaser at reasonable prices, who but the unsuccessful individual has cause to complain? And what is all that to do with the People's Party? That party does not run or control Z. C. M. I. Why not make the People's Party responsible for the high price of sugar and the low price of political buncombe?

And so "political matters" here are "settled in secret conclave," are they? What a remarkable state of affairs! How are they settled among the "Liberals?" Do they hold no private caucuses, and secret conclaves, and pick out the men who shall be candidates? Do the Democrats South and the Republicans North and parties in the East and the West never settle political matters in "secret conclave?" Now we happen to know that there is less of this among the People's Party than elsewhere. There is, in our opinion, not enough of it. We believe that more confidential conference of leading minds to talk over the situation and give direction to public sentiment, would be beneficial. And there is nothing of importance settled upon among the People's Party but has been done "in the bright light of day." Deeds of darkness, culminating in political fraud, belong to the party of misrepresentation and trickery, and are illustrated in the history of Tooele and Ogden.

The City Council sometimes goes into executive session. Well, what legislative and deliberative body does not? Is there anything wrong or unusual in that? And are there