

he had at that time on his person a watch and chain-although this does not appear-and takes a still bigger jump to the conclusion that he was the owner of that watch and chain at the time when assessments were made as provided by law, which he must have been to warrant the decision that he was a taxpaver in the sense of owning taxable property. The Revenue Law in force at the time when Mr. Majors was summoned as a juror says: "Property taxable under this Act shall be listed and assessed as owned and valued on the first day of April in each year." If Judge Zane is justified in assuming, as he does, that the puror owned a watch and chain on his person at the time he was challenged, that does not prove that he owned the taxable property on the first day of April preceding. The evidence went to show, and his admission provided, that he was not a tax -payer in this Territory whatever he may have been in Califorpla.

reached.

On Judge Zane's reasoning and conclusions, a drummer whose domicile is York who comes to Utah on business and stays here six months, may not only be taxed for all the per-Assessor does not find him out and asthat duty in his own State. For, on Judge Zane's reasoning, if such it may be called, he is a tax-payer whether he pays taxes or not, so long as he owns anything of value; and he is a resident of this Territory, because he is here to do business and has been here six months, although his domicil, his voting residence, his tax-paying residence, his bona fide home is in New York City. Queer kind of law, that, is it not? Judge Zane admits that " under the general law of the land assessable property is at a man's own domicile, the place of his abode " but says, he is "disposed to hold" that the Statutes of Utah " have changed that rule"; he does got show however, in what respect they have so changed the general principles that govern in such matters, he is only "disposed to hold" that opinion, And why is he so disposed? Is it not for the purpose of straining a point to make an illegal, or at least doubtful grand jury legal for the purpose of indicting "Mormons?"

The sophistry he displays, too, in handling the question of returning to the box the names of jurors, once drawn, is Icanaparont. The Poland ames thus drawn," "shall not be returned to or again aced in the box until a new list shall Thus drawn." What does in? His Honor argues that it refers to the object for which the jurors are drawn, that is, to "constitu the regular grand and petit juries while if they are drawn subsequently for special cases their names are re-But the words "thus signify, if language means the manner in which they are names selected are to be placed by the clerk, in the covered bax, and "thoroughly mixed and mingled," and

ance are treated like so many cabbas heads, for the most part ma campaign or other purposes. It would save the local papers some

type-setting if the following names were kept standing: J. E. McBride, O. J. Hollister, M. M. Bane, Nathan Kimball, V. M. C. Sitva, J. F. Bradley

STOP THAT COUGH

## Dr. Framer's Throat and

Novelties in Gents' and Boys' Wear. Blankets, Quilts, Rubber Goods, Etc., Etc.,



13

02

Coalville, Utah,

At yard .....