

on Tuesday, July 14th, the following resolution was introduced:

Whereas, H. S. Laney, who now holds the position of police judge in this city was elected on the Liberal ticket and selected by a Liberal city council to fill said position, and

Whereas, Said H.S. Laney has deserted the Liberal party and still holds said position, now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is the sense of this convention that said Laney should be given leave of absence by the city council until after the 10th of February next.

It was received with "uproarious" favor, and would have passed but for a point of order which shelved it for a time. It was re-introduced but another point of order was sprung, and so it was smothered although the convention was vociferous in its support.

The "Liberal" members of the City Council having neglected their sworn duties so that they might attend this convention, there was no meeting of the Council that night, it had to be put off until Thursday evening. What took place then will be found in the report of the Council proceedings in another part of this paper. Every citizen should read it.

Justice Laney was deposed or "bounced" as the "Liberal" organ said he would be. He was accused of charging the City Council with the illegal voting of those conduit men. He did nothing of the kind. But he was not allowed the privilege, nay the right, of speaking in his own defence. The objection to this self-defence was made by James Anderson, *Councilman from the First Precinct*, who seemed to fear the statements which Justice Laney would make about what he saw at the First Precinct polls.

Now, if this is not carrying party dictation and factional tyranny to the extreme, what is it? The cowardly creatures not only demanded the resignation of a public officer because he would not bend his mind to their notions and schemes, but refused him the poor privilege of defending himself when falsely accused.

This is "Liberalism" in spirit and in practice. Compulsion in its most detestable form. Every "Liberal" in the City Council bowed to the party lash of Boss Powers, and joined in the shameful act that condemned a man unheard and cast out a public officer because he had left their party and dared to expose its infamous practices.

It was urged against Justice Laney that he ought to have informed the Judges of Election. Why? Were they not acquainted with the facts as well as he? Would it have been of any use? Have not such things been done before with the connivance of "Liberal" Judges of Election? Besides he told the prosecuting officer

and thus did his duty. And the suggestion that he should have told the men who allowed the bogus voters to cast their ballots, is simply ridiculous on one side and evasive on the other.

If the Democrats of Salt Lake do not sift this matter to the bottom they deserve to suffer from similar frauds in August. And if any voter approves of the party dictation, meanness, and infamy developed in this affair, his proper place is within the lines of that combination of fraud, falsehood and tyranny, the "Liberal" faction.

#### QUAY'S PERDICAMENT.

SENATOR Quay has called upon the Philadelphia Republicans who are urging his retirement from politics to give proofs of his wrong-doing in connection with the State treasury. The *New York World* was the organ that put the fire brand to Quay's political superstructure, by charging him with the use, while treasurer of the State of Pennsylvania, of \$400,000 or more of the public finances in his stock gambling ventures, and that paper embraces the opportunity to poke up the embers of his reputation once more. Answering his request for proofs, it says:

"The *World* has presented sufficient evidence that Quay was an embezzler under the laws of Pennsylvania. The proof was in his own confession. The *World* named the witnesses to establish its charge. Why does not Mr. Quay sue the *World* for libel and call on Wayne McVeagh, Senator Don Cameron and 'Chris' Magee as witnesses?"

The *World*, the *Evening Post*, the *Times* and other influential papers of New York, which have shared in the original charge that Quay was a public thief, have tried by every possible means to exasperate the Pennsylvania Senator into suing them for libel, but he won't sue. He made an elaborate answer to the charges on the floor of the Senate, declaring them to be false, but not till after they had played the mischief with the Republican party in Pennsylvania, and greatly damaged Republican interests in other parts of the Union as well. His speech in the Senate was extensively applauded by the Republican press and many State conventions endorsed him as chairman of the National Republican committee. But the people evidently don't like the showing, and unless the Senator clears up his record with something more substantial than a personal denial, he is in great danger of receiving his political doom in the house of his friends.

A high tide in the Mersey, at Liverpool, England, a short time ago, swept away a dam and 10,000,000 tons of masonry and other work.

#### A "LIBERAL" SORT OF AMERICAN.

It is reported that Judge Rhodes of Ogden in a recent Democratic speech made this remark: He said he was the attorney for a powerful corporation in this city, and believed he had influence with it; and he added: "If there is a man employed by that company who is not good enough to vote for David Evans he is not good enough to work for us."

If Judge Rhodes said what is attributed to him, he talked like a demagogue and a political boss. The "Liberal" organ, commenting on this asked: "What kind of an American is Judge Rhodes?" The answer is, if he is correctly reported he is a "Liberal" kind of American. He is what the *Tribune* would call an "American gentleman."

That is the course pursued by the "Liberal" bosses in this city. It can be proven beyond a doubt that one of the conditions exacted from men seeking employment on city public works has been that they would agree to vote the "Liberal" ticket. If they would not they could not get a job. What Judge Rhodes is accused of saying, the "Liberals" are guilty of doing.

More than that. They carry their dictation and tyranny so far as to demand that public officers who will not vote the "Liberal" ticket shall resign, and if they do not resign they take measures to turn them out.

The *Tribune*, which endorses this kind of oppression, says it is "a Mormon custom." But that is only a *Tribune* pleasantry—otherwise a straight "Liberal" lie. The "Liberal" public order to workmen of all kinds is: "No Mormon need apply." The "Liberal" command to all public officers is: "Vote the Liberal ticket or get out, and if you do not move out we will kick you out."

That is why the local office-holders, with but two or three exceptions, dare not join the respective political party organizations to which they naturally belong. They are with the "Liberal" faction for bread and butter. If they side with the Democrats or vote with the Republicans they lose their places, being accused of "spitting on the hand that provided their bread and butter," as was done openly in the City Council on Thursday night as an excuse for turning out an officer who would not vote the "Liberal" ticket.

There have been many charges made—without a fact to support them—of "Mormon" dictation in politics. But there has never been anything done in all the years of so called "priestly control," that can be compared with the