

COURT CALENDAR.

NOTICE TO PARTIES AND ATTORNEYS.

As Judge Emerson is expected to be with and assist me during the month of October, the call for trial of the criminal calendar in the Third District Court will commence on the 1st of October; and the call of the civil calendar for trial will commence on Friday, the 28th day of September, 1887; on the civil calendar eight cases per day will be on call for trial, in the following order to wit:

M. SCHAEFFER, Judge.

1. H. W. Lawrence, et al. vs. S. E. Millin; Hempstead and Gamble for plaintiff, Rosborough and Merritt for defendant.
2. Victoria Imperial T. & M. Co., vs. John H. Ely; Rosborough and Merritt for plaintiff, Hempstead & Gamble for defendant.
3. Victoria Imperial T. & M. Co., vs. John H. Ely; Rosborough & Merritt for plaintiff, Hempstead & Gamble for defendant.
4. James L. Newton et al. vs. Wm. Brown; Whitney & Kimball for plaintiff.
5. Oscar Ludwig et al. vs. Jacob F. Geve; Haydon & Gilchrist for plaintiff, W. W. Gee for defendant.
6. John Swanson vs. Theodore Tangall; S. J. Jonasson for plaintiff, Woods & Appleby for defendant.
7. Albino Williams et al. vs. Henry J. Faust; McCurdy & Morgan, Robertson & McBride for plaintiff, Williams & Young for defendant.
8. C. W. Schofield vs. Isaac S. Watterman; Hempstead & Gamble for plaintiff, Rosborough & Merritt for defendant.
9. John Hagler vs. Johan Schneider; Haydon & Gilchrist for plaintiff.
10. Warren Hussey vs. Mason M. Hill; Marshall & Royle for plaintiff, Baskin & DeWolfe for defendant.
11. Joseph R. Walker vs. Thomas Taylor et al.; Bennett, Whitney & Patterson for plaintiff, Marshall & Royle, Rosborough & Merritt for defendant.
12. John W. Kerr vs. Matthew T. Gishorn et al.; Marshall & Royle for plaintiff, Rosborough & Merritt for defendant.
13. Mary Adair vs. Robert T. Burton; D. Cooper for plaintiff, Z. Snow for defendant.
14. George A. Jackson vs. B. M. DuRell; Tilford & Hagan for plaintiff, Hempstead & Gamble for defendant.
15. W. M. Bardenyer vs. Sheridan Hill M. and S. Co.; E. M. Barnum for plaintiff, Hempstead & Gamble for defendant.
16. Edward D. Wassail vs. Great Western Iron Co.; Hempstead & Gamble for plaintiff, Williams & Young for defendant.
17. John Spriggs vs. Curtis B. Hawley; James B. McKean for plaintiff, Williams & Young for defendant.
18. John H. Latey vs. John W. Jahson; C. K. Gilchrist for plaintiff, Rosborough & Merritt for defendant.
19. Mary J. Colton vs. John F. Tasker; Woods & Gee for plaintiff, Tilford & Hagan for defendant.
20. Alexander Tarbet et al. vs. D. F. Smith et al.; Tilford & Hagan for defendant.
21. John S. Lawrence vs. B. F. Butler; Gilchrist & Brown for plaintiff, Robertson & McBride and Lomax for defendant.
22. Alexander Tarbet vs. Flagstaff S. M. Co. et al.; Baskin & DeWolfe for plaintiff; Robertson & McBride for defendant.
23. Frank J. Hirth et al. vs. H. B. Clawson et al.; Lomax, Williams & Young for plaintiffs; Sheeks, Rawlins & Gilchrist for defendants.
24. Frank J. Hirth et al. vs. John Clark et al.; J. D. Lomax for plaintiff; Sheeks, Rawlins & Gilchrist for defendants.
25. William McQueen vs. John Tiernan et al.; Baskin & DeWolfe for plaintiff, W. C. Hall for defendant.
26. W. G. Gallagher et al. vs. John Tiernan et al.; Baskin & DeWolfe for plaintiffs; W. C. Hall for defendants.
27. Isaac Roland vs. Herman Gray et al.; Robertson and McBride for plaintiff; Gilchrist, Sheeks & Rawlins for defendants.
28. John L. Baldwin et al. vs. H. B. Clawson; Wm. Haydon for plaintiff; McKean, Sheeks & Rawlins for defendant.
29. John L. Baldwin et al. vs. H. B. Clawson et al.; Wm. Haydon for

plaintiff, Sheeks & Rawlins for defendant.

30. John Tiernan vs. W. G. Gallagher; W. O. Hall for plaintiff, Baskin & DeWolfe for defendant.
31. John L. Baldwin et al. vs. J. W. Goldthwait, et al.; Wm. Haydon for plaintiff, McKean, Brown, Sheeks and Rawlins for defendant.
32. John L. Baldwin et al. vs. J. W. Goldthwait et al.; Wm. Haydon for plaintiff, McKean, Sheeks & Rawlins for defendant.
33. B. M. DuRell vs. Farley R. Granger; Hempstead & Gamble for plaintiff, Williams & Young for defendants.
34. Isaac Roland vs. J. W. Goldthwait et al.; Robertson & McBride for plaintiff, Gilchrist, Williams & Young for defendant.
35. Mortimer Sullivan vs. Wells, Fargo & Co.; Woods & Gee for plaintiff, Marshall & Royle for defendant.
36. George E. Whitney vs. Isaac S. Watterman; W. W. Woods for plaintiff, Rosborough & Merritt for defendant.
37. William Wilson vs. Chicago M. & S. Co.; Bethune & Barnum for plaintiff, C. K. Gilchrist for defendant.
38. W. O. Smith vs. P. L. Brieger; Robertson & Lomax for plaintiff, Huey, Hoge & Jonasson for defendant.
39. George W. Kinney vs. Enoch Reese et al.; Hempstead & Gamble for plaintiff.
40. Wells, Fargo & Co. vs. Matthew W. Gibson et al.; Marshall & Royle for plaintiffs, Rosborough & Merritt for defendants.
41. School District No. 31 vs. James Gordon; Z. Snow for plaintiff, Baskin & DeWolfe for defendant.
42. John Pitts et al. vs. A. M. Clark et al.; Haydon & McKean for plaintiffs, Lewis Burnes for defendants.
43. Rose Ella Jenkins vs. Salt Lake City Street R. R. Co.; Hoge & Jonasson for plaintiff, Williams & Young for defendant.
44. C. D. Robison vs. Winsor & Randall; Lewis Burnes for plaintiff, C. W. Bennett for defendant.
45. M. J. Snedaker et al. vs. Monroe Salisbury; E. T. Sprague for plaintiff, Hempstead & Gamble for defendant.
46. Wyoming C. & M. Co. vs. Thomas Wardell; Hempstead & Gamble for plaintiff, J. G. Sutherland for defendant.
47. Wyoming C. & M. Co. vs. Thomas Wardell; Hempstead & Gamble for plaintiff, J. G. Sutherland for defendant.
48. Samuel Kahn vs. the Central S. Co. et al.; Robertson & McBride for plaintiff, Marshall & Royle for defendant.
49. Lewis F. Alpey vs. R. P. Lounsbury; James B. McKean & Son for plaintiff, Hempstead & Gamble for defendant.
50. Old Telegraph M. Co. vs. Samuel Kahn; Bennett & Harkness for plaintiff, Robertson & McBride for defendant.
51. M. Kinner et al. vs. Chicago Gladiator T. & M. Co.; C. W. Bennett, Tilford & Hagan.
52. Abner Crain vs. W. B. Carver et al.; Tilford & Hagan; Rosborough & Merritt.
53. Wells, Fargo & Co. vs. Erwin Davis et al.; Marshall & Royle; Baskin & DeWolfe.
54. Lawrence D. Strong vs. M. T. Gishorn; S. H. Lewis; Rosborough & Merritt.
55. Winnamuck S. & M. Co. vs. M. P. Powell et al.; Marshall & Royle; Tilford & Hagan.
56. John S. Barnes vs. Charles F. Smith; Baskin & DeWolfe; Williams & Young.
57. Charles G. Boren vs. Hans G. Clawson et al.; Marshall & Royle; Z. Snow.
58. R. C. Chambers et al.; vs. Jas. Harrington et al.; Hempstead & Gamble; J. G. Sutherland.
59. R. C. Chambers et al.; vs. Jas. Harrington et al.; Hempstead & Gamble; J. G. Sutherland.
60. McHenry M. Co. vs. R. C. Chambers; Marshall & Royle; Hempstead & Gamble.
61. B. A. M. Froiseth vs. C. L. Ericson; J. G. Sutherland; Hoge & Jonasson.
62. W. Williamson vs. James W. Snyder et al.; C. K. Gilchrist; Bennett & Harkness.
63. Geo. W. Norton, vs. James W. Snyder et al.; C. K. Gilchrist; Bennett & Harkness.
64. C. C. Cunningham vs. Frederick Reich et al.; Rosborough & Merritt; Bennett & Harkness.
65. R. C. Chambers vs. Frederick Reich et al.; Baskin & DeWolfe; Bennett & Harkness.
66. Lathrop Dunn et al. vs. Fred-

erick Reich et al.; Baskin & DeWolfe; Bennett & Harkness.

67. Ezra T. Sprague vs. S. L. Hanlak et al.; E. T. Sprague; Lewis Burnes.
68. W. R. Carver vs. R. C. Chambers et al.; C. K. Gilchrist; Hempstead & Gamble.
69. Harvey Pickard et al. vs. C. C. Cunningham et al.; Bennett & Harkness; C. K. Gilchrist.
70. James F. Woodman vs. Wells Fargo & Co.; Bennett & Harkness; Marshall & Royle.
71. James F. Woodman vs. Wells, Fargo & Co.; Bennett & Harkness; Marshall & Royle.
72. James E. Matthews vs. Claud Hamilton et al.; Whitney & Kimball; Rosborough & Merritt.
73. Isaac Woolf vs. Lord Claud Hamilton et al.; Jas. B. McKean & Son; Rosborough & Merritt.
76. Emma Jenkins vs. John W. Jenkins; J. G. Sutherland; Hoge & Jonasson.
77. James Harrington et al. vs. R. C. Chambers et al.; J. G. Sutherland; Hempstead & Gamble.
78. Frederick Reich et al. vs. J. M. Williams et al.; Bennett & Harkness; C. K. Gilchrist.
79. Herman Kaiser vs. A. Kuhl & Bro; Margary & Williams; E. P. Johnson.
80. Samuel Kahn vs. Claud Hamilton et al.; Robertson & McBride; Rosborough & Merritt.
81. Joseph Biddlecome vs. F. T. Lundt; Bates & Kimball; Robertson & McBride.
82. Frederick Reich et al. vs. C. C. Cunningham et al.; Bennett & Harkness; C. K. Gilchrist.
83. David P. Brunton et al. vs. A. S. Patrick; Rosborough & Merritt; Baskin & DeWolfe.
84. I. C. Bateman et al. vs. Weber Coal & S. Co. et al.; Whitney & Kimball; Williams & Young.
85. Jas. H. Spaulding et al. vs. Enos A. Wall; L. B. Gibson; Gilchrist, Hempstead & Gamble.
86. Amos K. Smith vs. Deseret M. & S. Co.; E. T. Sprague; J. G. Sutherland.
87. James G. Brown vs. John P. Lawson; Baskin & DeWolfe; no attorneys.
88. Daniel H. Wells vs. Oliver A. Patton et al.; J. G. Sutherland; C. H. Morgan.
89. Lucien Livingston vs. Louis Benites et al.; Jas. B. McKean & Son; no attorneys.
90. Daniel H. Wells vs. Oliver A. Patton et al. Woods & Gee; C. H. Morgan.
91. Russell & Jewell vs. Baker & Nelson; Dilley & Burmaster; H. A. Reed, Woods & Gee.
92. James W. Burnham et al. vs. Anson Call et al.; Hoge & Jonasson; George C. Bates.
93. Munro Kling et al. vs. Francis P. Longstreet et al.; Frank Hoffman; no attorneys.
94. Edward Anthony vs. C. R. Savage; Marshall & Royle; Hoge & Jonasson.
95. Peter Clays vs. Mason M. Hill; Frank Hoffman; Baskin & DeWolfe.
96. John W. Gildersleeve vs. Flagstaff S. M. Co.; Woods & Gee; Baskin & DeWolfe; Robertson & McBride.
97. Erwin Davis vs. Tecoma S. & M. Co.; Baskin & DeWolfe; Robertson & McBride.
98. Brigham Young vs. Simon Bamberger; Williams & Young; Bennett & Harkness.
99. Brigham Young vs. J. McMillen; Williams & Young and Sheeks; Strickland and Hoffman.
100. Brigham Young vs. Geo. K. Carman; Williams & Young and Sheeks; Robertson & McBride.

BY TELEGRAPH.

AMERICAN.

OMAHA, 18.—The Sitting Bull commission, consisting of General Terry, Gen. Lawrence, of Rhode Island, and Gen. Corbin, of Ohio, Secretary of the commission, went west, yesterday. They go to Ogden, from thence to Fort Shaw, and from Fort Shaw across the country, some 150 or 200 miles to Sitting Bull's rendezvous. Probably nothing will be heard from them for twenty or twenty-five days after their departure from Fort Shaw.

JACKSONVILLE, 16.—There were three deaths at Fernandina, last night. The fever is spreading to the Old Town, about a mile distant. There were some cases among colored people. Physicians and nurses arrived, to-day, from Savannah. Two resident physicians are sick. The hospital is completed. A call for aid in money, medicines, and nourishments has been made. Jacksonville is enforcing the strictest quarantine against Fernandina.

The city is guarded by volunteers night and day to prevent refugees from Fernandina entering the city by land or water.

NEW YORK, 16.—The Harlem steamboat *Sylvia Dell*, this evening, ran down a row boat in the East river, containing William Rodegan, John Welch, Mrs. Welch, and Frank Oliver and his daughter. The three latter were drowned.

The steamer *D. E. Martin*, tonight, ran down a row boat in the North river, containing Matthew Dorrigan, Wm. Hemilton, Mary McCarthy, Johanna and Katie Wing, and Ellen Mack. Ellen Mack and Mary McCarthy were drowned. Wm. Hamilton had both his legs broken by the steamers paddle wheels.

SAN FRANCISCO, 16.—Yesterday afternoon, people passing near Sargent's ranch, about two miles from Rocklin, Placer County, heard several pistol shots. As they approached the house two men ran away, scaling the fence. On entering the building the body of Mrs. Oder, who with her husband and Sargent, occupied the premises, was found on the floor. She had been shot twice, and her head split with an axe. An alarm was given and constables and citizens began a search. About fifty yards from the house the body of Mr. Oder was found. He had received three pistol shots. The party then followed the trail of the men who were seen to jump the fence. The tracks showed that they were Chinese shoes. After crossing a ravine three quarters of a mile from the house, in a clump of willows, they found Sargent, bleeding from wounds in his head and body. He was conscious, and stated that two Chinamen had called at the house shortly after noon and desired to purchase a certain mining claim of him. He accompanied them to the claim, and while returning they shot him five times in the body and head, and left him for dead. He said the assassins were from Penryn, a neighboring village, one of them being a cook in Grant's boarding house, near Penryn quarries. He had received \$120 from the other Chinaman a few days before for a mining claim, which was in a trunk in the house, and presumed the murders were committed to obtain that money. The party followed the tracks of the murderers to a Chinese cabin nearby, where they arrested three Chinamen, one of whom was in bed, but perspiring freely as though he had been running. Several other Chinamen living in the vicinity were arrested, and one who was much frightened volunteered information that Ah Sam and Ah Jem had murdered Sargent, but then stopped, and would say no more. One of the prisoners had \$120 in his possession. It was discovered that the trunk in which Sargent had deposited the money had been cut open and the money taken.

Sargent died this morning. Hundreds of citizens viewed the bodies, this morning. Great excitement prevailed during the night, and had evidence against the prisoners been deemed conclusive they would doubtless have been lynched at once. The cook of Grant's boarding house could not be found, though the country and towns for miles around, were scoured, in pursuit.

This morning a meeting of citizens was held at Rocklin, and all the Chinese notified to leave the town before 6 p. m. By 4 o'clock the last squad, burdened with their baggage, filed out of town, including those employed by the railway company, only the prisoners remaining. They were in no way molested.

At 6 p. m. the citizens marched to the Chinese quarters and demolished all the buildings, twenty-five in number, including one store, the stock of which had been removed by the owner. A fire broke out during the demolition from a stove remaining in one house, but was extinguished by the railway employees, with an engine.

At an inquest held, to-day, the facts developed led the sheriff to believe that four of the Chinamen arrested were implicated in the murder, and it was decided to remove them to the county jail at Auburn. A crowd collected when they boarded the train and soon the murmurs of the throng broke out into exclamations of "Have them out! Hang them!" A rush was made for the door of the car, the sheriff and his deputies seized, and only for the free show of pistols by the officers and conductor of the train their purpose would have been

accomplished. The crowd was finally forced back and the train pulled out from the station, followed by the yells of the throng.

The moderation displayed by the citizens, with the exception of the above incident, was partly attributable to the fact that throughout the day, by common consent, all the saloon keepers closed up their places, otherwise serious consequences would undoubtedly have ensued.

The coroner's jury found that the murder of Sargent was committed by Ah Sam, Ah Jim, and another Chinaman unknown. Sargent having subsequently mentioned a third assailant. In the cases of Oder and wife the jury failed to fix the responsibility. The remaining prisoners were allowed to leave, there being no evidence against them.

About 8 o'clock this evening the buildings of the Chinese garden, about a mile from Rocklin, were burned. It is positively denied that the whites set them on fire, and possibly the Chinese abandoned and burned them. Sargent was an old and respected resident. Oder was a Bavarian; his wife has relatives in Trenton, New Jersey.

NEW YORK, 17.—The *Times* says, between the hours of 6 and 8 o'clock last night, ten fires broke out in this city, in places occupied by persons supposed to be Jews. This fact occasions considerable remark. Owing to the celerity of the fire department none of the fires were allowed to get much headway.

The following is furnished by the Turkish minister to the United States: A telegram from the governor general of Adrianople, under date of August 21st, reports that fourteen Israelites of the Kezaulik were massacred by Russians and Bulgarians, and have been buried in their synagogue, with the exception of one, who was devoured by dogs. Among these victims were a woman and a girl, the latter aged 15, named Thodi Braha, and of great beauty. She was slaughtered after having been violated by from eight to ten Russians and Bulgarians. Forty of the Israelites of Kezaulik, men and women, the greater part wounded and sick, have been saved, with Mussulmans, by the imperial troops. Others, to the number of 300 have been carried out by the enemy into the Schipka pass.

CHICAGO, 17.—The *Tribune's* New York special says, Stanley's letters are dated Embetou, Congo River, August 10th. After a terrible journey through the heart of the African continent, Stanley and his followers pushed across the country to the Sualaba; thence along the left bank of the river. In passing through northwest Uskusa he was opposed at every step by hostile cannibals who filed the woods and poured flights of poisoned arrows on his party, killing and fatally wounding many of his men. From every tree and rock the deadly missiles winged their course, and the heavily laden bearers fell dead under their loads. There was no cessation of fighting day or night. All efforts to appease the savages were unavailing. They would listen to no overtures, disregarded signals of friendship, and refused gifts. There was only one way to escape, unless Stanley accepted the alternative of returning to Niyangwo and abandoning the work he had undertaken. This was to use canoes. With the *Lady Alice* as a last reliance, and good canoes for the party, Stanley concluded he would advance with a better prospect of success. He found each day's advance a repetition of the previous day's struggle. It was desperate fighting throughout. While pushing down the river he was interrupted by a series of cataracts just north and south of the equator, and in order to pass these he had to cut his way through thirteen miles of dense forest, and drag his eighteen canoes and the *Lady Alice* overland. Three days later he came to the country of a powerful tribe, whose warriors were armed with muskets. No sooner did the natives discover Stanley's approach than they manned fifty-four large canoes, and put off the river bank to attack him. He offered peace gifts, but the savages refused to be reconciled, and the fight went on for twelve miles down the river. It was maintained by Stanley's followers with great courage, and was the last, save one, of thirty-two battles, since the expedition had left Niyangwo. The explorer writes, "I make the expedition from Boma by steamer to