

DESERET EVENING NEWS.

ESTABLISHED 1861. PUBLISHED BY THE DESERET NEWS COMPANY. CHAS. W. FENNELL, EDITOR.

Monday, March 10, 1890.

JOSEPH COOK ON "MORMONISM."

BY THE EDITOR.

A LECTURE was recently delivered in Tremont Temple, Boston, on "The Decline of Mormonism."

That concentration of American egotism, Joseph Cook, was the individual who aired his ignorance and stupidity upon the thousands of people who were present.

It has been popularly supposed and commonly predicted for sixty years, more or less, that "Mormonism" was gradually sliding down to the region of irretrievable oblivion, while all the time it has been manifesting a surprising vigor. Now the complaint alleged to have seized the vital of the system is nothing short of a galling consumption. The predictors of its approaching extinction have not, however, properly diagnosed the case, as the pulse and respiration of the patient are normal.

This notorious encounter of the truth, in the earlier portion of his lecture, in the overbearing anxiety to put himself in the role of the dashing and courageous hero, a position directly opposed to his nature and instincts—proclaims himself an unmitigated coward, as will be seen by the extract:

It is more than ten years since I began the study of Mormonism at Salt Lake City, as I returned from a lecture on the topic of polygamy, my wife holding one way, my right hand holding a revolver. In those days it was necessary to be a little cautious; perhaps I exaggerated the necessity of this. A group of friends were around me. Years later, speaking again to Salt Lake City on Mormonism, I took a little pains to go out of the crowded lecture hall with a United States officer. No one attempted to handle or just the anti-Mormon procession that poured out of the hall. I had no weapon; I suppose I needed one. But I should have needed both weapons and friends if Camp Douglas had not been in the same room in the same place on the same day.

There are only eight persons of the first person in the foregoing paragraph, relating to the man who professed himself against his imagination and a disturbed conscience, by having his wife on one side and a revolver on the other, on one occasion, and by the presence of United States officers who must have laughed in his sleeve at the childish timidity of the great sectarian bigot at another time.

The lecturer launched on to the notorious investigation before Judge Anderson, and gave this version of the falsehoods testified to by the preacher Wardell, when he pretended to be describing the murder of William Green, near Green River:

Ques. When? Ans. In 1882.

Q. Where? Ans. Near Green River.

Q. On what occasion? Ans. When I was with an emigrant train, bringing recruits to Salt Lake City and the Priesthood.

Q. On whom were these penalties exacted? Ans. On such and such a man who was the owner of two of the wagons, and was driving these vehicles loaded with merchandise.

Q. What was done? Ans. By command of the officials he was taken a little aside from the road, and disarmed. His arms were taken from him, his hands were bound behind his back, and he was thrown from the wagon.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

DESERET EVENING NEWS.

ESTABLISHED 1861. PUBLISHED BY THE DESERET NEWS COMPANY. CHAS. W. FENNELL, EDITOR.

Monday, March 10, 1890.

JOSEPH COOK ON "MORMONISM."

BY THE EDITOR.

A LECTURE was recently delivered in Tremont Temple, Boston, on "The Decline of Mormonism."

That concentration of American egotism, Joseph Cook, was the individual who aired his ignorance and stupidity upon the thousands of people who were present.

It has been popularly supposed and commonly predicted for sixty years, more or less, that "Mormonism" was gradually sliding down to the region of irretrievable oblivion, while all the time it has been manifesting a surprising vigor. Now the complaint alleged to have seized the vital of the system is nothing short of a galling consumption. The predictors of its approaching extinction have not, however, properly diagnosed the case, as the pulse and respiration of the patient are normal.

This notorious encounter of the truth, in the earlier portion of his lecture, in the overbearing anxiety to put himself in the role of the dashing and courageous hero, a position directly opposed to his nature and instincts—proclaims himself an unmitigated coward, as will be seen by the extract:

It is more than ten years since I began the study of Mormonism at Salt Lake City, as I returned from a lecture on the topic of polygamy, my wife holding one way, my right hand holding a revolver. In those days it was necessary to be a little cautious; perhaps I exaggerated the necessity of this. A group of friends were around me. Years later, speaking again to Salt Lake City on Mormonism, I took a little pains to go out of the crowded lecture hall with a United States officer. No one attempted to handle or just the anti-Mormon procession that poured out of the hall. I had no weapon; I suppose I needed one. But I should have needed both weapons and friends if Camp Douglas had not been in the same room in the same place on the same day.

There are only eight persons of the first person in the foregoing paragraph, relating to the man who professed himself against his imagination and a disturbed conscience, by having his wife on one side and a revolver on the other, on one occasion, and by the presence of United States officers who must have laughed in his sleeve at the childish timidity of the great sectarian bigot at another time.

The lecturer launched on to the notorious investigation before Judge Anderson, and gave this version of the falsehoods testified to by the preacher Wardell, when he pretended to be describing the murder of William Green, near Green River:

Ques. When? Ans. In 1882.

Q. Where? Ans. Near Green River.

Q. On what occasion? Ans. When I was with an emigrant train, bringing recruits to Salt Lake City and the Priesthood.

Q. On whom were these penalties exacted? Ans. On such and such a man who was the owner of two of the wagons, and was driving these vehicles loaded with merchandise.

Q. What was done? Ans. By command of the officials he was taken a little aside from the road, and disarmed. His arms were taken from him, his hands were bound behind his back, and he was thrown from the wagon.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

DESERET EVENING NEWS.

ESTABLISHED 1861. PUBLISHED BY THE DESERET NEWS COMPANY. CHAS. W. FENNELL, EDITOR.

Monday, March 10, 1890.

JOSEPH COOK ON "MORMONISM."

BY THE EDITOR.

A LECTURE was recently delivered in Tremont Temple, Boston, on "The Decline of Mormonism."

That concentration of American egotism, Joseph Cook, was the individual who aired his ignorance and stupidity upon the thousands of people who were present.

It has been popularly supposed and commonly predicted for sixty years, more or less, that "Mormonism" was gradually sliding down to the region of irretrievable oblivion, while all the time it has been manifesting a surprising vigor. Now the complaint alleged to have seized the vital of the system is nothing short of a galling consumption. The predictors of its approaching extinction have not, however, properly diagnosed the case, as the pulse and respiration of the patient are normal.

This notorious encounter of the truth, in the earlier portion of his lecture, in the overbearing anxiety to put himself in the role of the dashing and courageous hero, a position directly opposed to his nature and instincts—proclaims himself an unmitigated coward, as will be seen by the extract:

It is more than ten years since I began the study of Mormonism at Salt Lake City, as I returned from a lecture on the topic of polygamy, my wife holding one way, my right hand holding a revolver. In those days it was necessary to be a little cautious; perhaps I exaggerated the necessity of this. A group of friends were around me. Years later, speaking again to Salt Lake City on Mormonism, I took a little pains to go out of the crowded lecture hall with a United States officer. No one attempted to handle or just the anti-Mormon procession that poured out of the hall. I had no weapon; I suppose I needed one. But I should have needed both weapons and friends if Camp Douglas had not been in the same room in the same place on the same day.

There are only eight persons of the first person in the foregoing paragraph, relating to the man who professed himself against his imagination and a disturbed conscience, by having his wife on one side and a revolver on the other, on one occasion, and by the presence of United States officers who must have laughed in his sleeve at the childish timidity of the great sectarian bigot at another time.

The lecturer launched on to the notorious investigation before Judge Anderson, and gave this version of the falsehoods testified to by the preacher Wardell, when he pretended to be describing the murder of William Green, near Green River:

Ques. When? Ans. In 1882.

Q. Where? Ans. Near Green River.

Q. On what occasion? Ans. When I was with an emigrant train, bringing recruits to Salt Lake City and the Priesthood.

Q. On whom were these penalties exacted? Ans. On such and such a man who was the owner of two of the wagons, and was driving these vehicles loaded with merchandise.

Q. What was done? Ans. By command of the officials he was taken a little aside from the road, and disarmed. His arms were taken from him, his hands were bound behind his back, and he was thrown from the wagon.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.

Q. What was the result? Ans. He was killed.