

the Territorial Convention:

Thursday,

dock.

neday's issue:

. Carroll.

a lay or two.

Hon. A. O. Smoot, delegate, with A. H. Dusenberry as alternate; John E. Booth, with Geo. M. Brown as alternate; Wm. M. Bromley, with Wm. Bramall as alternate; L. E. Harrington, with S. R. Thurman as alternate; John Brown, with David West as alternate; Jos. S. Tanner, with J. S. Page as alternate; T. J. McCullough, with Wm. Paxman as alternate; Geo. D. Snell, with John with Geo. Gurley as alternate.

The following, offered by Hon. L 1. Harrington, was unanimously adopted

1. A Resolved, That this Convention cordially endorse the labors of Hon, George Q. Cannon as Delegate in Congress from this Territory."

this caption last Monday evening, we pull she haportion of the decis-

the ferrit ay, in the case of Lyman vs. Martin, that is, the Tooele maneamus case. Below is the remainder of that part of the decision which relates to the woman suffrage question. It will be read with interest as appropriate to the case now pending the Supreme Court, the result of which will be known to-morrow evening.

While the exercise of the elective franchise is a privilege rather than a right, yet all regulations on that subject must be reasonable, uniform and impartial .-- Cooly Const. Lim.,

Auy provision which should impose upon a particular class of citinot required of all others is void .--American Law of Elections, Sec. 8.) This, the provision in question, does, and is in violation of the above mentioned and well settled policy of the law, although not in conflict with any statute.

Is the whole act therefore void' We think not. It is well ettled, that one portion of a law may be valid and another portion invalid. And if one portion is invalul, the provisions of that part may be disregarded, while full arce and effect may be given to such as may not be void.—(Banks > Owens, 2 Peters 526. People ex od. vs. Ball, 46 N. Y., 69-)

The above provision requiring that males should be "taxpayers" is the maxious portion. Striking out that as youd and the balance of the not is in no wise affected. There is thing connected with this or de-

But it is now claimed there a further objection to the all, which is covered by the point uade. And that is, that the provision requiring a female to swear "hat she is the "wife" or "daughter" of a native born or naturalized citi "" might permit persons not cititens to vote. As the "wife" or "widow" of a native-born or naturalized inust refer solely to such as are shughters of naturalized citizens. If understand the reason for the ob-wrong at an election that had not



LOST.

Cuffs, Silk Handkerchiefs, Corsets, Hosiery, Brocaded Ribbons, Ties, Etc.

