PEOPLE'S TICKET.

FOR DELEGATE TO CONGRESS JOHN T. CAINE.

AN OFFICIAL REFUTATION.

A press dispatch contradicting the statement that the "Mormon'! Church had appropriated money in aid of the Democratic Presidential ticket having been published, the creatures who fabricated the false report about the "Mormon" Church, became enraged. It was claimed in a certain sheet in this city that President Taylor and President Cannon had never denled the story first published, and that the dispatch stating their denial was a lie. We have punctured the bubble thus turned out from the Tribune soap pipe, but to make assurance doubly sure, and that the public may know how utterly groundless was the report first sent over the wires to prejudice the Democratic cause, we here present the full text of a dispatch which has been forwarded to the parties con-cerned; and now let ... the scribes who pretend to know what the "Mormon" authorities have said and have not said, and impuden tly assume to speak for gentlemen who have nothing to do with them in anyway, deny the anthenticity of the contradiction again, if they think anybody lives who is foolish enough to believe anything the said scribes may say or

> COPY OF ORIGINAL TELEGRAM. SALT LAKE CITY, October 13, 1884

To the Hon, W. H. Barnum, Chairman National Democratic Committee, Headquarters, New York:

The following is a copy of a telegram sent to the Chairman of the Republi-can National Committee this day: "An Associated Press telegram of the eleventh alleged that your committee had received information from a source it considered trustworthy, that the "Mormon" Church was taking a hand in the pending political canvass, in behalf of the National Democratic ticket, that at a Council of the "Mormon" Church in Salt Lake City, it was yoted to give the National Democratic voted to give the National Democratic Committee one-tenth of the Church tithing, to be used in aiding the election of Cleveland and, if possible, a majority in both Houses of Congress; in return for this contribution the "Mormon" Council secured a promise from the Democratic Committee that in the event of success, Utah shall be admitted as a State. It is also alleged that as an additional inducement for Utah's admission, the Council promised that she will as a Democratic State, send two Democrats to the

State, send two Democrats to the United States Senate.

We are the only persons who can authoritatively speak or act in a matter like that referred to above. We emphatically deny all the allegations in seld telegraps and assert that the said telegram, and assert that the "Mormon" Church has taken no part "Mormon" Church has taken no part in the pending political campaign, and has neither appropriated nor given a dollar to either party. Neither the Church nor sny member of it authorized to act in its behalf has been approached by the National Democratic Committee or agent or person acting for it asking for funds for campaign purposes. Nor has there been any communication of any nature whatever between the Democratic Committee and the "Mormon" Church, or any of its officials. We desire to make the denial of the above-named slanders denial of the above-named slanders most emphatic and sweeping. John Taylon, GEORGE Q. CANNON, First Presidency of the

JOHN TAYLOR, Trustee-in-Trust. [Above dispatch also sent on same date to Hou, B. F. Jones, Chairman, National Republican Committee, New

ASSIGNATION NOTICE

EXCESSIVE OFFICIAL ZEAL THE desperate efforts of the prosecution in the Rudger Clawson case (to particulars of which we surrender a large portion of our space) to elicit something on which they may draw inferences sufficiently strong to influence the jury against the defendant, display the weakness of their cause and the strong animus which prompts the officials who have the work in hand. Questions have been asked and allowed by the Judge that have no more bearing upon the case than queries about the phases of the moon. And these seem to be sanctified in the eyes of the Court, and to be thought perfectly admissible, so long as they promise in the asking whatever they may do in the ropil to satisfy the impertment curiosity of private religious ceremonies of the Latter-day Saints.

The history of past persecutions un-der the guise of prosecutions of mem-bers of the "Mormon" Church, has demonstrated that over zeal which carries officials outside of the bounds of legitimate procedure, only serves to confound the persecutors and liberate the persecuted. If there is any justice left in the land and any regard for established rules of law, the present case is destined to share the same fate as that of many of its predecessors. Blunders enough have been committed already in this prosecution to damn it in any fair and competent court of appeal. There is another matter connected

with this to which we draw the asten-tion of the officers concerned. An old English legal maxim is that "Every man's house is his castle." That is supposed to hold good in the United States No officer has any right to intrude into or foreibly enter the slowieiled a citizen without due process of law, and he who does so is in danger of forcible resistance. An officer who unlawfully forces entrance into a private dwelling may be inwfully resisted even unto death. Now, let officers who are too zealous take warning.

We and all good citizens will strive to protect an officer in the proper disquestion. to protect an officer in the proper dis-charge of his duty, however disagree-able. But don't go too far. There are people here who know their rights and dare maintain them to the utmost legal extremity. Hands off, now, unless you hold proper authority. This is not a threat, it is a fair and solemn warning? hard to the west to crome

THE POLYGAMY TRIAL PRIDAY AFTERNOON'S PROCEEDINGS-PRESIDENT JOHN TAYLOR, JUDGE

CANNON ON THE WITNESS STAND. Last evening's News contained a report of proceedings in the Chwson polygamy trial up to President Taylor's taking the witness stand. As the laster part of it only stated facts in general, owing to this rosper's going to press before the details could reach us, we will now go back a little and give the particulars.

op Clawson answered that cept one or two points, what I testified pelore the Grand Jury, and those points I have stated

A.-Yes. Q.-What is the place, or are the Q.—Now, then, I will ask you, to get at it, when the Temple at Logan City was completed for the celebration of the rites of the Church? A .- I cannot say precisely.

marriages?
A. -No, sir; I do not know. Q .- I do not ask you to say within week, or two weeks, or a month.

A.—i do not keep those things in my MQ.—Was it not in June last? You were there when the temple was dedicated, and you took part in the dedica-

A .- I took part in the ceremonies. Q .- As President of the Church? A.—Yes, sir. Q.—Cau vou tell when that was? A.—The records will show. I do not earry them in my head. Q.-It was this year?

A .- I can furnish you the time if you get the records.
(Here President Taylor said that if
Mr. Nuttail was in Court perhaps he Mr Nutiall by permission of the court, here spoke up and said: In May last. Q.—Now, prior to that time, President Taylor, what Endowment Houses were there in the Territory?

Question objected to as immaterial.)
Mr. Dickson—I expect to show that
his was the only place where this marthis was the only place where this mar-riage in question, if performed at all, that it must have been at, the Endow-ment House in this city or the temple in this city.

Judge Zane—You must answer the whether a marriage is celebrated?

A.—I have nothing to do with details. [Exception taken.]

Q.—It is a matter of no concern to the Church whether these marriages are celebrated by persons authorized A .- There is a temple down at St. Q.—Was there any other? A.—There were no others in this Ter-

Logan Temple was dedicated in May last, I understand you to say that there was no place set apart for the celebra-tion of the rites of matrimony except the Endowment House and the Temple at St. George?
A.—I think you misunderstand me, Q.—Well, be kind enough to correct me if I do. A.—I do not wish it to be understood that any place was set apart for the purpose of matrimony, not for that ex-

Q.—But I understand you to say that there are places set apart for the performance of matrimony and other rites, and that these places were the temple and the Endowment House. In what county is St.George, where this temple

Q.—Now, are there no other places than those that you have mentioned where the church authorizes the rite of plural marriage to be per-A .- The rite of plural marriage can no place set apart specifically for

that are appointed for a great many ceremonies, among which is the cere-mony of marriage. The ceremony of marriage can be performed outside of any of these places. Q .- I am speaking of plural imarri-A .- Yes, sir.

Q.—Does the Church allow members of its faith to enter into plural mar-riage, according to circumstances? A.—Yes. Q.—Where? Any place, indoor or out of doors? [Question objected to.] -Under what circumstances do es

the Church authorize the performance of the ceremony of plural marriage out-side of the Endowment House or temles of the Church? [Question objected to as immaterial Judge Zane-Answer the question.

in this city, within convenient reach of the Endowment House, desired to enter into plural marriage, would not the law of the Church require them to have the ceremony performed in the Endow-

celebration elsewhere?

ou ask this question?
Mr. Dickson—For the same purpose,
il tending to show that this marriage must have been performed in the En-dowment House in this city. Mr. F. S. Richards-It has alread been shown that marriages could be performed elsewhere than the Endow

tances necessary to the obtaining of a A .- It would be very difficult to say There might be twenty or thirty diferent circumstances.
Q.—Well, do you know of some cir-umstances that would authorize such dispensation?

A.—Yes air.
Q.—If parties are living here in Salt
Lake City, members of the Mormon
faith, a man and a woman who are desirous to enter into plural marriage,
would they not be required in the absence of a dispensation, to have the
ceremony performed in the Endowment House?
President Taylor—In the absence of
a dispensation?
Q.—In the absence of a dispensaauthorizing the marriage else-

A.—Generally in all cases.
Q.—Is there any other person authorized to grant the dispensation?
A.—There are persons I might ap-Q.—Have you conferred upon an person that authority within the past

Q.—Do you remember any others upon whom you conferred that authority within that time?

A.—I do not remember any at presity within that time?

A.—I do not remember any at pres-Q.—When this authority is conferred upon any one by you, is it an authority limited to some particular case, or a general authority.

A.—It would be a general authority until rescinded.

until rescinded.

Q.—Give me the names, if you can, of the priests or ministers, or whatever name they are known by in the Church, in this city, who were authorized to perform plural marriages within the past three years?

[Question objected to as immaterial.]

wer the question. Exception taken.] [uestion repeated. could not give you these name an you give me any of them? to, sir.

person in the Church who was author-ned to celebrate plural marriages with-n this period of time? will state in relation to these, that I have nothing to do with

Q.—But you are the person who conthing about it?

A.—No. sir.
Q.—Do you know whether he has taken a plural wife or not?

A.—I don't.
Q.—He is a member of your church, vell, there are a great many in

Q .- You knew there was an indict-Q .- Do you keep any record of the out against him?

A.—I have heard of it.

Q.—Did you ever ask him whether he had entered into plural marriage or not after you had ascertained that he had been incicted? .—No, sir. .—You do not know at any time is jauthorized to celebrate plural Q.-Is there no means by which you

A.—No, sir.
Q.—You have met him since his indictment was found?
A,—I do not remember.
Q.—Have you any means of knowing whether or not he has entered into inform yourself?
.—There may be.
.—Do you think you could find out?
.—I might by asking the parties.
.—But if you don't know who to plural marriage?

A.—I do not know that I have.

Q.—Do you know that you have no such means? A .- Then I would not know who to Q .- Then I understand you to say you

Q.—Then I understand you to say you do not know who they all are?

A.—I do most emphatically.

Q.—There are persons; but with your unaided recollection you are unable to say who is or who is not authorized to administer that rite?

A.—I do not understand you.

Q.—If I understand you aright, by your unaided recollection to-day you are unable to say who is or who is not authorized to celebrate the rites of marriage in the Church?

A.—My recollection would tell of hundreds if I could remember their names that would be authorized, but my recollection would not tell me who is or who is not. A.—Yes.

Examined by Mr. F. S. Richards:
President Taylor, in your direct examination you spoke of having appointed or authorized persons to celebrate plural marriages. State whether brate plural marriages. State whether or not such authorization or appointments extended only to plural marriages, or whether the appointees had the authority to celebrate first marriages also. In other words, was the authorization general as to marriage, or confined to plural marriage only?

A.—It was general in all these matters, and things performed in the bouse. Q.—And as to all classes of marriage, Mr. Taylor? s or who is not. Q.—Your recollection would not give

all who are? Is there any means by which your recollection could be re-A.—Yes, sir. Q.—Whether plural or first marriages? A.—Yes, sir.
Q.—You also made some reference to authorization or recommendation of parties to the buildings at which these

ceremonies are performed. State also whether the regulations and recommend you refer to applies equally to parties who go to celebrate marriages, first marriages, or plural marriages, or whether there is any distinction?

A.—There is no distinction.

Q.—Mr. Taylor, I will also ask you whether such authorization or reccom-

Question objected to and sustained.
Q.—During the year 1881 give me the names of persons who were authorized to celebrate plural marriage in the Endowment House of this city? A .- No, sir,

and ordinances performed in these nouses aside from marriages? cords.
Q.—Do you know whether a record of marriages is kept?
A.—It is very probable there is.
Q.—Can you say, whether there is or

they go.

Re-direct by Mr. Dickson—You stated in answer to Richards' question as to the scope of the authority?

A.—My answer was that it pertained to all matters performed in the house. I refer to the Endowment House or to the Temple. President Taylor, having exchanged a few words with Judge Zane, left the room, and with him the Interest for a

present, to the best of my knowledge, hen defendant was married C. J. THOMAS. the following witness, stated that his office as Elder and his position as Jamitor at the Temple Block, did not include any service in relation to mar-riages in the Eudowment House; he knew the defendant, but not Lydia

ANGUS M. CANNON, SR.,

Mr. Varian,—How is the record of and calls for order.) Q.—Do you mean to be understood as saying that there is no means by A .- Oh, no; I do not.

A .- I only say that I don't know of Q .- Is there any person not seen who takes down the record of those marriages?

be learned? A.—I am only supposed to tell you what I know. I know of none.
Q.—You derive your knowledge from your senses, don't you? (hotly.)

Q.—Never heard of it?

Q.-When you officiated did you never write down any names? A.—Yes, on a slip of paper merely! Q.—And what then?

Q.—And what then?
A.—I burnt it up.
In response to further inquiry, Mr.
Cannon said: I can only say that i
don't know of any record; was never
enjoined to make or not to make a record; I always received the names
from the parties themselves.
Mr. Varian—Then burned them up
and forgot the matter as quickly as
possible.

strike this out as irrelevant was over-ruled, and the court was then ad-journed till Saturday at 10 s.m. For this morning's proceeding's see

BAPTIST-Dr . H.G. DeWitt, paster.

DR. FOOT, Jr., (of New York.)

A.—Oh yes, all the time. Q.—Have you ever had any converse-

tion with him about his marriage rela-JOHN MAGUIRE,.....MANAGER.

ONE WEEK ONLY MONDAY, OCTOBER 13th. AT SATURDAY MATINEE. Special Engagement of the Greatest Actres in America,

EYTINGE The following repertoire will be presented during Miss Eylinge's Engagement:

WEDNESDAY, LEAH THURSDAY,

LED ASTRAY FRIDAY, ROSE MICHEL SATURDAY NIGHT, OLIVER TWIST supported by a Celebrated Cast.

Miss Eytinge begs to assure the public that her plays have been carefully cast and reheared, and that they will be produced in Salt Lake as well as in New York.

See Popular Prices. Seats now on sale.

FOR SALE. SIX ACRES OF No. 1 LAND, NEAR Wood's Cross Station, also near school house, with good Brick house with six rooms, good concrete cellar and granary, orchard and a running stream from a spring For further particulars apply to
R. E. EGAN,
Wood's Cross, Devis Co., Utah

RUNNING. THE MILLS KNOWN AS THE MILLER'S Mills have been through a thorough repair and are now prepared to do all kinds of Grinding. Custom Grinding made a specialty. Chopping done at all times. D. H. Allen, son of the late well known Miller, D. R. Alleu, is running the mills. He gaur antees satisfaction.

MILLER BROS.,
Proprietors.

Mill Creek Ward, S. L. County, Utah, Otober 10th, 1884.

NOTICE.

THE STOCKHOLDERS OF THE SOUTH THE STOCKHOLDERS OF THE SOUTH
Jordau Canal Company will take notice that the annual meeting of the Stockholders will be held at the Ward House of
West Jordan, Salt Lake County, on Monday
October 27th, 1884, at 1 p. m., for the election of seven Trustees, a Secretary and
Treasurer for the ensuing two years and
for the transaction of such other husiness
as may be brought before the meeting. as may be brought before the meeting. ds&wid JESSE W. FOX, Secretary

MOTICE. THE ANNUAL MEETING OF THE Stockholders of the Utah Eastern Railroad will be held at the Company's Office, Salt Lake City, Utah Tarastory, on Friday, November 17th, 1881, at 2 o'clock p. m., for the purpose of electing directors for the ensuing year.

T. S. McMurray, Secretary.

Salt Lake City, Oct. 15th, 1884.

PEMBROKE PRINTERS' SUPPLIES. STATIONERY Blank Books, Pancy Good

TWENTY-THIRD SEMI-ANNUAL DIVIDEND.

Z. C. M. I., SALT LAKE CITY, Oct. 6th, 1884. SATURDAY, THE 4th CURRENT, the Board of Directors declared Semi-Annual Dividend of 5 per cent, upon the Capital Stock of the Institution, payable November 5th next to all Stockholders of record on the 15th September, 1884, upon presentation of their Stock Certificates at the Office of the Institution, to T. G. WEBBER,

NOTICE TO CREDITORS. In the Probate Court in and for the County of Salt Lake, Territory of Utah. In the matter of the Estate of John Swenson, Deceased.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN BY THE Notice is hereby given by the undersigned, Administrator of the Estate of John Swenson, deceased, to the creditors of, and all persons having claims against the said deceased, to exhibit them with the necessary vouchers, within ten months from the first publication of this notice, to the said administrator at the office of Bockholt & Cummings, "Herald" Block, Sait Lake City, County of Salt Lake, Utah Perritory.

Salt Lake City, October 18th, 1884. EDWARD A. SWENSON,

strator of the Estate of John Swen WEBER COAL

Home Coal Company

- DEALERS IN -COAL from the Wasatch and Crismon Mines.

Coalville, Utah,

At yard 5.50 LEAVE ORDERS WITH HENRY DINWOODEY

Nos. 37 to 41 W First South Street, SART LAKE CITY. 884 FRUIT CROP 1884

TEASDEL'S!

Highest Market Price paid for all kinds of DRIED FRUIT. CITY PATRONS eave address and our Delivery Wagons will call.

SAIPMENTS FROM THE COUNTRY By Rail received at the depot Our own wagons visit daily for the benefit of shippers.

C D TEACHE O. I. ILAOUEL SHEEP FOR SALE

250 THOROUGHBRED RAMS Coiswold's, Lincoln's and Shropshire's.

> Apply at EIGHTH WARD SQUARE. F. J. MAY,

Wishes to inform this friends and the publishes that he has OFENED the UNION MARKET. 50 MAIN STREET, posite the Horsper & Elderedge Block Beet, Pork, Veal and OF THE VICEY BEST OUALITY.

AUERBACH & BRO.

NOVELTIES

For every one of our numerous Departments.

Our Silk, Satin, Velvet and French Goods Department contains the choicest products of the European loom and is pronounced by tourists as the Largest and Best between Chicago and San Francisco, and as reasonable in its prices as any in Eastern cities.

WE CARRY A. FULL STOCK OF LYONS VELVETS & PARIS BROCADES As high as Twenty Dollars per Yard

-THE CELEBRATED MARGUERITE BLACK CACHMERE The very best in the world, every second yard having its name woven

into the salvage to prevent counterfeitin

FULL ASSORTMENT OF THE

GENUINE NONPAREIL VELVETEENS The best substitute for Lyons Velvets ever made, positively superior to them in durability and stability of color. Inspection invited, for no lady should buy Velvets, Silks or Dress Goods without first examining and pricing our superior stock.

-:0:---

Our Ladies' and Misses' Cloak Department Shows a most Beautiful Assortment of Latest Styles in all desirable fabrics The close-fitting Newmarkets, and graceful Russian Circulars are the leading styles of the season, and our large sales of Ladies' and Children's Garments so early in the fall give us the assarance that our styles are correct and our prices most reasonable.

OUR SHOE DEPARTMENT

Still maintains its lead as the fest in the Rocky Mountains. Orders coming to us from Denver and as far west as Reno, Nevada We wamant every pair we sell

-:01----

Our Ladies' Cloth and Flannels Dept., Our Dress Button and Trimmis Our Table Linen and House Furnish-Department. Our Hoslery and Glove Bepartm' ing Department, Our Cloth and Cassimere Beparim't. Our Lace and Embroidery Beparim't, Our Minif Woolens and Corset D Our Merino and Musita Underwear Our Curtain and Drapery Bepart-

Are constantly replenished with the Latest Novelties from first hands and sold on the lowest possible margins compatible with fair dealing.

MILLINERY in all its Branches, at Wholesale and Retail We corry the Largest Stock in Utah.

Our Gent's, Boys' and Children's Clothing, Hat and Cap, Boot, Shoe and Rubber and Furnishing Goods Departments are complete. Our styles are the newest, materials the most durable and prices the lowest in the city. We make GENT'S SUITS TO ORDER at a saving of 25 per percent, and warrant fit and material. Call and convince yourselves.

Blankets, Buggy and Sleigh Robes in Great Variety E

Wholesale buyers need not be told that our stock in all the above lines is the largest in the Rocky Mountains and that our prices compete with an market East or West.

Mall Orders Solicited and Honorable Treatment Quaranteed.

WE ARE NEVER UNDERSOLD. ESTABLISHED 1864.

F. AUERBACH & BRO.

CLOSING OUT.

A Full Line of Misses', Children's and Infants'

Of Williams & Hoyt's make, in Goat, American and French Kid, Sandals, Ties, etc., also an endless variety of Ladies' Grain, Goat, American and French Kid, High and Low Out

Shoes, Kid and Satin Slippers, at Sacrificing Prices, as I am Closing out this entire line of Ladies', Missis' and Children's Shoes.

NEW STOCK OF

THING AT LESS PRICES THAN EVER

WHICH WILL ASTONISH THE NATIVES LATEST STYLES IN HATS

NEW STOCK OF FURNISHING GOODS

MEN'S AND BOYS' BOOTS AND SHOES

THOS. W. JENNINGS FALL MILLINERY

No. 56 Main Street, GREGORY MRS. Has RE GPENED at the old setablishment of Miss Neifle Colebrook, with

COMPLETE STOCK OF MILLINERY Just received from New York, Also, SOMETHING NEW IN HATR GOODS And respectfully invites her friends and the public generally to call.

MRS. J. B. GREGORY, No. 86 MAIN ST.

MSTABLISHED 1876 1 日本 LARGEST COMPLETE STOCK FOR FALL AND WINTER IN THE CITY. FINEST IMPORTED

AND DOMESTIC OVERCOATINGS SUITINGS

- AND -

WORKMANSHIP GUARANTEED. HAYNES PRICES THE REST TABLES CHEETERS AND

1876. STYLE, FIT

-AND

Shop, Ist Blocks Cart PANTINGS. BUCKLE & SON. DEFY COMPETITION on Plates and Rules for Self-Measurement vorwarded on application

EUCETTO COMEON TAILORS AND WOOLEN DRAPERS,

SALT LAER CITY.

Last evening's News contained a report of proceedings in the Chwson polygamy trial up to President Taylor's taking the witness stand. As the fatter are a great many things performed in those houses.

A—II mean by that answer that there are a great many things performed in those houses.

Q—Can you not give the name of Q—Can you not give the

had no recollection of anything of the kind; nor did he remember testifying heathing of the kind before the Grand Lary; had heard the defendant preach, but did not necollect ever hearing him allude to plural marriage; the defendant never told me of his intention to nter the polygamous, state, with Lydia Spencer or anyone else; have never cautioned him not to, nor heard him say that to do so was a religious duty, as I remember; don't remember, ex-

ints I have stated. Mr. Bennett-"We object to all this we don't know what is in those Grand Jury minutes; they may have been made up on the street, and I apprehend they were. (Laughter.)
Mr. Dickson—"Why?"
Mr. Bennett. "Everybody denies

Mr. Dickson, (slightly staggered) "If necessary we will call the clerk who took them and have them corro-borated." The witness was here shown what purported to be his evidence be fore the grand jury, and after reading it emphatically relterated that he did not remember saying anything of the

MARY JANE SPENCER AUER was next called. This witness, the mother of Lydia, led the prosecution a a wild goose chase whichended in their catching nobody, unless it was themselves. The gist of her testimony could be boiled down to this: Rudger Clawsor came to my house first in ger Clawson came to my house first in the summer of 1888, but has never stopped over night there; Lydia was with him on a second visit in the summer of 1884; she had rooms at his house in the 18th Ward, and took in sewing; saw her last going west-ward. I den't know in what part of the city; I went about my business and she about hers; she last lived at Mrs. Smith's near the Temple block, and before that in the Tenth ward; I was never asked by defendant for consent

to marry her; she called him "Gousin Rud." In answer to Mr. Bennett, witness repeated that Lydis called him "Cousin Rud." because there was a relationship between the families. PRESIDENT JOHN TAYLOR

was then called, and, being sworn, was asked to take the stand. The court room was now crowded, many comin in with and after the President, an the most intense interest was mani--Is your hearing good, Mr. Taylor?

A.—Yes, sur. Q.—You are the President of the hurch of Jesus Christ of Latter-day A.—Yes, sir.
Q.—How long have you occupied the office of President?
A.—Well, I cannot say precisely. The

ecords will show.
Q.—Quite a number of years, is it not?
A.—Yes, sir. Q.—You are familiar with the laws and revelations that have been given to the church? A .- Not as familiar as I might perhaps; but I know a number of them.

Q.—Do you know of the sacraments
that are observed by the church, or the
observance of which is taught by the

A.—I do not know what you refer to. Q.—Is there a sacrament of marriage raceremony, as taught by the church? A.—Yes, sir.
Q.—The law of the church 's opposed to and forbids intercourse between the sexes—that is outside of the marriage [Question objected to as immaterial:

A.—Yes, sir.
Q.—Do you know, Mr. Taylor, who the officiating priests or ministers in the Endowment House in this city were during the year 1883?
Mr. Bennett—We object to that, if your honor please, There is no proof before the Court that there is any Endowment House. owment House. Mr. Dickson—Well, I may ask him— s there an Endowment House in this

on overruled as usu

Question objected to as immaterial.
Mr. Dickson—Where are marriages
in the Mormon Church—that is, by
members of the Mormon faith—celebrated, in the Endowment House or lsewhere? A.—Somethres they are, and someimes elsewhere. Q.—Where else, if rot in the Endow-nent House? A.—I do not know that I can say. There is no specific place appointed in which marriages occur. Q.—There is a doctrine of the Church, s there not, Mr. Taylor, of plural mar-

Mr. Bennett-We object to that, if Mr. Bennett—We object to that, if your honor please.

Mr. Dickson—We expect to follow this up, if the question is answered in the affimative, by showing that plural marriages are celebrated in the Endowment House only. If I am correct about this, Mr. Taylor can state so; if I am not, he can correct me. But I expect to follow up this and show that plural marriages are required by the laws of the Church to be celebrated in the Endowment House.

Mr. Bennett (to President Taylor, who seemed about to answer)—Be kind enough to answer slowly.

who seemed about to answer —Be kind enough to answer slowly.

Mr. Dickson—If I can succeed in showing that plural marriages are required to be celebrated in the Endowment House, or some Endowment House, this testimony will be made very material by subsequent proof which we have.

Judge Zane—Well, state your question. Mr. Bennett-Read the question, Mr. The Reporter—The question is— There is a doctrine of the Church, is there not, Mr. Taylor, of plural mar-riage?

Judge Zane (to witness)—You may Judge Zane (to witness)—You may answer that.

A.—Certainly
[Exception taken.]
Q.—What is your answer to that?
A.—Why, certainly there is.
Q.—Well, now, does not the Church require that when members of its faith are about to enter into a plural marriage, that such marriage shall be performed in some one of the Endowment Houses?

Houses?

A = No, sir.

Q.—Are not the plural marriages entered into by the members of the Church, so far as you know, performed in the Endowment House?

[Question objected to as immaterial and irrelevant.]

Mr. Dickson—It will show what the Mr. Dickson-It will show what the Judge Zane—You may answer the

question.

[Exception taken.]

Mr. Dickson (to the reporter)—Just read the question.

The Reporter—Are not the plural marriages entered into by the members of the Church, so far as you know, performed in the Endowment House? A -No, sir.
Q -Where are they performed?
Mr. Bennett-Same objection. I do
not like to object to every question,
but this whole line is irrelevant. This
man (the defendant) is not indicted for
being a member of the Mormon

ption taken.] A.—I can not say.
Q.—Do you know of any plural mar-riage ever having been entered into by members of the Church outside of the Endowment House?

A.—Yes.
Q.—Who? When?
A.—Could not say.
Q.—Do you know of any plural margon entered into by any members of Q.—Do you remember any others.
Q.—Do you remember any others.

[Exception taken.]
Question repeated.
A.—I have recollection of many such.
Q.—Have you any recollection of any such within the past three years?
A.—I equid not answer at present.
Q.—Well, it is your present recollection we have to take.
A.—Very well, I have so recollection at present.

at present.

Q.—Is there any place called an Endowment House a temple, or known by any other name, which is set apart as a place for the celebration of plural marriages?

Question objected to.

Judge Zane—Well, he may answer the anestion. ly Boors & Homestan Property Q.-What do you mean by that an-

d You mean by that, do you not, that there is a place in which other rites of the Church are performed? A.—Yes. Q.—Is there not a place set apart for the performance of the rite of mar-

A .- Well, one place is the Endownent House, other places are our Tem-

How many?

-I could not say.

A .- I do not know. I could investi-

Q.—There is no records kept?
A.—Don't have any such records.
Q.—Then if you don't know the

names of the persons who celebrate the rites, and there is no record of it

A .- I could not tell you, sir.

I presume I could ascertain.
 In what way?
 By asking, as I said before.

answered that question before.
Q.—Is there any record of mar

A .- I am not acquainted with the re

-Can you ascertain?

A .- I think likely there is.

-Did you ever see it?

A .- I do dot know that I have.

Q.-If you wanted to see it is there

-I could find out by inquiry.

Q.-Will you be good enough to do

A .- Well, I am not good enough to

Q.—I understand, then, that unless you are compelled to do so, you would

or not he could ascertain where the ecords are, or whether he refuses to

A .- I do not know anything about

the records. They are not in my cus-

Q.—I understand you to say you could inquire as to their whereabouts.

Will you be good enough to do so and give us the information?

enough.
Q.—Who is the custodian of the

A .- I do not think I am good

A.—I cannot tell you.
Q.—Did you ever know who the custodian of the records was?
A.—I do not know that I ever did.

Q.—Do you know you don't?
A.—Yes, I know that I don't.
Q.—You know that you have never known who the custodian was? Did

understand you to say that you have

never seen the record?

A.—I do not think I ever have to the

best of my knowledge.

Q.—Have you ever given any directions as to the custody of the record?

A.—No, sir.
Q.—At no time?
A.—No, sir.
Q.—Have you ever enquired of any

A .- I could not say positivelywheth-

Q.—What is your best recollection?

have enquired as to the record? Have

you ever inquired as to the custodian of the record?

A.—I do not think I have.
Q.—Have you ever been told who the custodian of the record was?

Q.—Do you know whether Angus Cannon, sen., was? A.—I do not. Q.—Do you know whether there is

ed by anyone in authority, with re-

authority has been conferred by you?

Q.-Who in this city besides your

Q.—Who in this city is authorized to celebrate plural marriages?
A.—A great many have been appointed—hundreds.

Q.—Can you give me the names of those in this city who are now author-ized to perform plural marriages?

Q.—Do you mean there are so many?
A.—There are a great many that
would be authorized under certain

Q — Is it not a fact, Mr. Taylor, that plural marriage is a secret rite, a secret ceremony?

Q.What do you mean by that an-

A.—No, sir; those who were proper-ly recommended could be there. Q.—Those in whom the church had confidence?

udge Zane-You may answer the

Question repeated.

A.—No, sir.

Q.—Is secrecy enjoined upon such?

The same objection made.]

A.—Not that I am aware of.

Q.—What is the ceremony of plural

A .- It is a secret to some and not

that a secret ceremony? [Question objected to.]

A,-No sir, not by me. Q.-Who confers the authority?

spect to the records?

A .- I could not.

-You do not know whether you

where the record was?

A.-I doingt know.

means of ascertaining where i

or not?

riages

cords?

A.—Yes.
Q.—Was it not in the summer season?
Don't you remember whether the trees were in foliage or not?

A.—July one. Q.—Where was that?

Q.—There was only one Endowment House. Where was that?
A.—In this city.
Q:—What temples were there prior to the time the Logan Temple was completed?

Q.—Then, prior to the time when the

A .- Washington County, I think.

Q.—Is there any other proper place for the performance of these rites? A.—As I have said, there are places

[Exception taken.]
A.—I do not know anything special about the matter.
Q.—Mr. Taylor, if members of the faith, a man and woman, members of the Church, were living, for instance,

[Question objected to.]
A.—Not necessarily so. Q.—Would they not require a dispen-ation from the Church to authorize its A.—Yes, sir. Q.—Under what circumstances would the dispensation be granted?
[Question objected to].
Judge Zane—For what purpose do

A.—Not to my recollection.
Q.—Do you know whether Elias
Smith, sen, during the year 1883, was
authorized to celebrate plural marriages in the Endowment House of
this city?
A.—I don't, sir. any person or number of persons, body of persons, in the church, who are anthorized to direct the custody of the records?

A.—I do not.

Q.—Do you know whether there is any regulation in the church, or adopt-

Judge Zane—Answer the question.
[Exception taken].
Mr. Dickson—What are the circum-

dispensation?

A.—I do not know particularly.

Q.—Did you say it would require a Q.—For the performance of the act, of not for a specific place?

A.—It would be the authority to get Where it was.

Q.—Who gives the authority?

A.—I give that authority.

Q.—In all cases?

ihree years?
[Question objected to as immaterial and irrelevant.]
A.—Yes, sir.
[Exception taken.]
Q.—Who?
A.—Sometimes Joseph F. Smith,

Mr. Dickson—It may be that he is one, and I may follow it up by showing that he was present at that marriage.

Mr. Bennett—Oh, anything may be. The question is whether it is lawful.

Judge Zane—I do not see that it is not material if followed up. He may answer the question.

...I do not propose to state it. Q...Do you decline to answer? Mr. Bennett—We object, your honor Question withdrawn. -Are you acquainted with the de ow long have you known him .—You have been on speaking terms

tion repeated.

mendation that you might give to in-

for which they go to the house?

A.—No, sir, it would not.

Q.—Would it even show that they went there for the purpose of marriage at all? Q.-Are there not various other rites

A.—Yes, sir.
Q.—Then in giving an authorization to go there, you would not signify or indicate for what purpose?
A.—I do not know for what purpose

being sworn, testified as follows: I am a member of the Mormon church; I did not officiate in any plu-ral marriages in 1883 that I know of; November in 1882; nor last Thursday. It is some months since I was at the Endowment House; I don't know of any record of marriages; I never saw one or any part of one; never had one in my house, and don't know of the existence of one.

marriages in your church perpetuated!
Mr. Cannon.—I suppose every one
keeps his own; I do nine. (Laughter

A.—Not that I know of.
Q.—Is there any kind, shape or manner of record written, cut, carved, movable or immovable or anything else from which these marriages can

A.—I presume so, (quietly.)
Q.—Then you never saw the record?
A.—Never.

Q.—Is there such a thing as church divorces, and if so, how can separa-tion be made when no record of the marriage is kept? You say there is no

Author, Lecturer, and America's Greatest Specialist Located permanently at Salt Lake City, Utah. Office hours, 10 to 4 and 7 to 8 p. m. 10 UNION BLOCK.

> AT CHEAPEST BATES. All Kinds of SAUSAGE a Special

dividuals would designate the purpose

large number went also, for they passed out in swarms after him. ELIAS SMITH, SR., the next witness, said he had seen the defendant; don't think I officiated in 1883 in any plural marriages in the En-dowment House; I was sick or absent (Question objected to.)

Mr. Dickson.—I have the right, I and July; I never was authorized to

periorm marria

Spencer, and never saw defendant at the Endowment House.

Heber Dinwoodey, was called, but the prosecution in his case admitted they had been misinformed as to information in his possession, and he was quickly excused. was quickly excused.

Mr. Dickson was evidently growing weary and Mr. Varian came to the res-

which these marriages are perpetua-Q .- What then?

A.—I am not acquainted with anything pertaining to the records.

Q.—Has anyone not authorized the right to celebrate the rites of matri-Q.—Then it would be impossible to obtain from the archives of the church any record of sich maritiges?

A.—I don't know. I never had occasion to find out. (Laughter and calls for order.) A.—No, sir. Q.—It must be some one upon whom —Sometimes others.

—Well, who else besides yourself?

—Well there are others besides

marriage is kept? You say there is no record.

A.—Oh, no; I don't say so.

Q.—How about church divorces?

Mr. Bennett.—We object. This is not a divorce suit; we are on the other branch of the business. (Laughter.)

Mr. Cannon was excused.

Waldemar Lund, clerk at Spencer Clawson's store, and John D. and Henry Spencer, half brothers to Lydia, were each in turn examined, with no results that the prosecution had any reason to feel gratified over, and Arthur Pratt was then called to testify to the stage routes between here and St. George. He said a person could make the round trip in about a week, the quickest time; by leaving Sait Lake Monday morning he could reach St. George, Wednesday about 4 or 5 p.m., and leaving there next morning coulding arrive here Saturday morning.

A motion made by the delense to strike this out as irrelevant was oververe present?
[Question objected to; overruled,]
Q.—With the exception of those preent—parties to the contract—is not There might be a great many others, acquainted with the circumstance and who could be present if

A.—Yes.

Q—are not the parties who enter into the contract of plural marriage and those who are present in officiating sworn to secrecy?

[Question objected to as immaterial.]

Mr. Dickson—I think we are entitled to know how these marriages are celebrated, what ceremony has to be gone through and observed from the time parties enter upon it until it is concluded. Union Temperance meeting at the M. E. Chuurch 7.30 p. m. THE Exponent is out for the 15th of October. A good number. Get it. Sermon by Rev. Dnight Spencer, at 11 a. m. Sunday School 12.15. 9

CONSULTATION PREE on all Nervous, tood, Chronic and Pennale Diseases and Deforation, etc.

22 Methods of Treatment Homopathy, calectic, Electricity, Magnetism and Mediated Baths, etc.

Curves Guaranteed, Write for Int of mestions, testimonials, circulars, etc.

Visits made. Phrenological Charte given