GOVERNOR MURRAY'S REPORT.

TO THE SECRETARY OF THE IN-

TERIOR. TERBITORY OF UTAH,

Executive Office, Balt Late City, Beptember 16, 1883.

To the Secretary of the Interior:

Bir: In compliance with your request I have the honor to submit

the following report. The questions of government arising under the unusual condition of society here are those which are at once different from other communities and other governments either State or Territorial, in the United States. These questions are of absorbing interest to the people of the Territory of Utah, and of primary nterest to the Government. If it be a fact that conditions here are different from other Territories, then it follows as a sequence that exceptional legislation must be enacted

While other matters might be presented to you in a formal report, I feel it my duty, which it would be more pleasant to avoid, to confine myself to the question of absorbing interest and primary importance.

That there are wrongs in Utab I regret. That the Government and those charged with enforcing the laws cannot and ought not to compromise with wrong, I am sure will not be questioned.

Will not be questioned. Air ong other duties imposed upon the Governor by an act of Congress organizing the Territory is one that "He shall take care that the laws be faithfully executed."

Under the oath of office charging me with this duty, I have endeavor-ed to execute the laws of Congress and of the Territory with fidelity and mercy, and with whatever abil-ity I passes ity I possess.

A combination to nullify laws of Congress has long continued in Diah. This conspiracy led to open revellion at one time, and continues to evade and defeat the plain will of

Congress and the President, and the adjudication of the Supreme Court. The theory, adroitly advocated by many interested by business rela-tions, and emanating from those entions, and emanating from those en-gaged in this long-continued combi-nation to defent the execution of the laws of the United States, to the effect that time and railroads would prove the remedy is wrong. While I am estisfied that many inteligent and thinking people at a distance have accepted this theory, I am euro its accepted this theory.

I am sure its acceptance by Congress and the country means mischief in the future. Therefore I am impelled to warn you, Mr. Secretary, and through you the country, of dangers that beset the government in this another "irrepressible conflict," and to make in this report an earnest plea for the adjustment of all wrongs and the establishment of good gov-ernment in Utah by Congress,

ernment in Utab by Congress, which undoubtedly possess the pow-er, and which I may be pardoned in saying, I believe to be its duty to do quickly. The power to promptly enforce the laws and to preserve the peace in so doing cannot rightly be de-nied to those charged with their excention execution.

The history of all States and Territories demonstrates that times come when military ald is necessary to support the civil authority. I know of no reason that takes Utah out of the rule. Under the law and the proper proclamations of my predecessors the militia of Utah, purposely organized to be indepen-dent of Federal authority, is net and cannot be made available.

It therefore only remains for this Territory that the military force of the United States should be made available, and I present with the necessity the recommendation that it may be made lawful for soldiers of the United States to be used for the execution of process out of the courts of the United States in the hands of the United States Marshal of Utah, and that their services. under proper restrictions, in case of rict, of insurrection, domestic violence and for the preservation of the peace may more readily be made effective than under the present provision of law.

I trust, however, such security to person and property as is right and which every citizen may reasonably expect will be granted to all alike here.

By an act of Congress, in 1850 the Territory of Utah was formed. I find that since 1852 there has a no time been a lawful 'Territorial government.

Bection 7 of this organic act provides:

That all township, district, and county offi-oera not herein otherwise provided for shall be appointed or elected, as the case may be, in such a manner as shall be provided by the Governor and Legislative Assembly of the Territory of Utah. The Governor shall nom-inate and, with the advice of the Legislative Council, appoint all officers not herein other-wise pravided for, etc.

Under this act of Congress the counties of Utah were properly or-ganized. But the Legislature joined with Brigham Young, the governor of the Territory, by pretext of dif-ferent Territorial statutes, and in or-der to place the Territory ont of st der to place the Territory out of a future executive control and beyond Federal influence, made provision for their appointment or election in ways not authorized by but directly in opposition to the expressed will of Congress. While other Territories with like provisions have carried on with like provisions have carried on their governments in accordance with law, Utah has persisted in maintaining its unlawful govern-ment, in spite of the act of Con-gress, the adjudication of the Ba-preme Court of the Territory of Utah, and the later oplaion of the honorable the Commissioners an-der the law known as the Edmands der the law known as the Edmunds act.

Discharging the daty imposed ap-on me by Congress, and which had theretofore been upheld by the Supreme Coort of the Ferritory, I nominated to the Council persons for the different Territorial officers. Among other things, I said in nominating them that-

"Such officers must necessarily be named in the matter designated by Congress. Their election or appoint. ment in any other way under an act of the legislative power of the Terri-tory, which derives its power from the provisions of the self same law, is nullification." This power was exercised by Brigham Young, the first governor

of the Territory. For many years with few exceptions, this part of the provisions of this organic act has been avoided and disregarded, and such officers have been elected and appointed in other different ways than that prescribed by act of Congress.

The counsil declined to confirm or reject them, asserting that the pow-er given by Congress had passed out of the hands of the Governor by dif-ferent Territorial statutes cited by them, and resolved— That the complaint of his excel-

lensy the Governor is groundless and his nominations unnecessary, and that no action thereon is required.

And the unlawful Territoral government, which for over thirty years

has existed in the face of Congress and the country, exists to-day. The failure to hold the August election in 1882 under the Edmunds law, as only under its provisions it could be held, was provided for by an act of Congress known as the Hoar amendment, In obedience to ite provisions I appointed a number of persons to fill the vacancies oc-casioned by the failure to elect. Many of those so appointed qualified over vexatious obstacles thrown in their way from one and of the The their way from one end of the Territory to the other, and made legal demand for the offices to which they had been appointed.

The unanimity and universality of the refusal that followed throughout the entire Territory could only on this earth perhaps be seen in Utah.

Many laws of Congress have been nullified in Utab, and this law was defeated with the usual "oneness," and I must say with an apparent familiarity with the business in hand. The lawfully appointed of-ficers instead of appealing to force appealed to the courts. The Supreme Court of the Territory sustain-ed the act of Congress and the ac-tion of the Governor, but the techsequent upon appeals and the stay of moceedings, beals and the stay of proceedings resulted as usual in the Territory in a failure, and poly-gamists and worse held on and exercised the functions of office, and to-day many so hold who are not entitled under the law to cast a vote.

The officers who universally join in this combination to defeat the law of Congress held and hold their authority from the Oongress they defied and whose law they made a

nullity. It is not reasonable to suppose that the laws can be faithfully ex-ecuted when the Governor under the law can only rely upon those who combine to defeat it. Therefore, Congress must provide other and different agencies to enable a Governor to "take care that the laws are faithfally executed,"

OHURCH AND STATE. The avsolute reparation of church and State was by the founders of our Government and the writers of our Constitution, made a principal factor in its foundation. Beveral of factor in its foundation. Several of the original States went so far as to forbid an ecclesiastic from holding any public office. The history, so well understood from the debates and traditions and the surroundings of our national pirth, made unques-tionable their purpose then, as it is my desire now, to protect the gov-ernment as against the encroach-ments of the church.

The first article of amendment to the Constitution declares "that Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or pro hibiting the free exercise thereof,"

etc. The church then, as the church now, and here in Utah, needs no protection against the Government of the United States, for the reason that the Government was then and now is the fortress of civil and re-ligious liberty. That man or that set of men, be they what they may, who assert and teach a doctrine so infamous deserve the condemnation of all men, and must and will reof all men, and must and will re-ceive the condemnation of a Gov-ernment that protects all men fn the right "to worship God according to the dictates of conscience."

This guarantee, sacred to all, is right. It should be maintained always, everywhere, and by all, but never be abused.

It is true, however, that law-break-ers and law-defiers and nullifiers of the law in Utah, who enjoy it in common with all citizens of this country and every denomination and faith, have abused it and do abuse it. Continually and defly it is used by those who abuse it, and the man engaged in other aflairs is misled in considering what is misled in considering what is wrongfully termed the "Utah piblem." It the question of religion and religious benefs enters at all into an intelligent discussion of the question in a govern-mental sense, which I doubt; it then and certainly must be under the first prohibicion, "that Congress shall make no law respecting the establishment of religion," rather than under the second, "or prohibit-ing the free exercise thereof,"

Ing the free exercise thereof." I beg, on this important point, in considering the question, to present some facts of history, such as I may properly do in the report I have the honor to make, a question with which we are now grappling, and which, when calmly considered under the light of the Constitution, can leave no justifiable grounds of difference of opinion in reason or in

The question, then, is not whether Congress has or may prohibit the free exercise of religion, for that has heen decided under the law of 1862 by the Supreme Court in the test case of Reynolds, but whether the Territorial legislative assembly in Utah, an agency of the General Government, created by Congress and paid as such out of the Treas-ury of the United States, has not made law upon law respecting the establishment of religion. The Con-stitution does not say Congress shall not establish religion. The provision is more comprehensive. It forbids any law respecting the establish-ment of religion. What are the ment of religion. What are the facts? A band of men, many of them good, but undoubtedly misguided, professing in common a re-ligious belief, ejected from Ohio and going to Missouri, ejected from Mis-souri and going to Illinois (incorporating in their system of to-day features and practices more practices more known abhorrent thau abborrent that any known then,) ejected from Illinois, turned their backs on the United States, with the avowed purpose of going to the shore of the Pacific Ocean, then a foreign country. Ocean, then a foreign country. These people were possessed of a fanatical dream of establishing a polyganic empire that was to sup-plast this and all other govern-ments. Helped in their helplees-ness and poverty and distress across the plains by the government, guided in their soluces mail keep at every full organized the plains by the government, guided in their soluces and the foreign of the full traversed and surveyed the reads and mountains and valleys, they settled in this valley will in size of the and lake: The willows that marked the mount is stream gave evidence that the soli needed but a touch of cultivation to yield a ready response. A valley in which numbers of the early pioneers who had passed far-ther to the west had looked upon with admiration and left for the for-tunate pioneers that were to follow. The purpose of going to the Pacific (Ceast having been abandoned, the

young men who as a favor had bean mustered into the service by the government and paid in advance, and known as the Mormon Battallion, having gone on to California by the southern route, returned from their battleless march but creditable service, and three days after the arrival of the pioneers, joined them where Sait Lake City is now situated. With their pay and horses and arms, material aid was given to this rettlement, which under the flag of the United States, by both pioneers and battalion, made on a territory which under our treaty with Mexico afterwards, became the property of the United States. Steps were at once taken looking

the establishment of a State to government. A vast territory was mayped out from the northern boundary of Mexico to the Colum-bia River in the north, and from the Sierra Nevada mountains in the west to the mountains whose wa-ters flow into the Gulf of Mexico; and a constitution adopted to gov-ern "until the Congress of the United States shall otherwise provide for the government of the Territory hereinafter described by ad-mitting us into the Union." That we, the people, grateful to the Sup-reme Being, etc., do ordain and es-tablish a free and independent government, by the name of the State of Daseret.

Among others the following act was passed:

An ordinance incorporating the Churchof Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints, Approved February 8, 1851.

Sectron 1,--Be fordained, That all that portran of the inhabitants of said State which now are or hereafter may become tesidents therein, and which are known as the "church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints," are hereby incorporated, made, and deciared a body corporate, with perpetual succession un-der the original mane and skyle of the Church of Je us Christ of Latter-day Saints, with full power to sue and be sued, defend had be de-fended, in all courts of law and equits in this state; to establish order and tegulate wor-ship, and hold and occupy roal and personal estate, and have and use a seal, which they may alter at pleasure. Bec. 2. And be it further ordained. That, said body or church as a tellghous society may at a general os pecial conference eject one "trustee-in-trast," and not to esceed twelve assistant trustees, to receive, hold, buy, soil, manage use and control the real and per-sonal property of said church, which said property shall bo fitse from fatation; which trustees and assistant trustees when elected or appointed, shall give bonds with approved security in whitever sum the said conference may desain cultilent for the faithfull perform-ance of their reveral duties, which said bonds when approved shall be filed in the general oburch treorder's office at the seat of general oburch recorder's office at the seat of general oburch are of said church and here and assistant trustees shall continue in office and assistant trustees shall continue in office during the pleasure of said church, and there shall also be made by the clerk of the con-ference of said church and trustees and assistant trustees, which shall be re-corded in the general oburch recorder's office at the seat of general church business. And when sail bonds are filed and assistant trustees may receive property, real or personal, by gift donation, bequest, or in any manner not incompatible with the principles of righteousness or rules of justice, insamuch as the same shall be lued, instraged, or dia-posed for the bonds th

4th, 1851, re-enacted this and all laws passed by the State of Descret. Besides usual powers granted to church corporations for legitimate basiness purposes, but only for the purpose of worship, here is granted "the unusual power to establish, order and regulate worship." It created the heretofore unknown general office of "trustees to hold property for poses of worship only, in an unqualified sense it established them as trustees to hold, buy, sell, manage, dispose, etc., the real and personal property of the church, in other words, to pesses, hold and sail other words, to posses, hold and sell larms, stores and railways, banks, telegraphs, theatres, cattle, sheep, etc., such as is now held by the church, all of "which said property shall be free from taxation," etc. Bonds of these trustees were to be executed and filed where? In the office of the County Recorder or office of the County Recorder or Becretary of the Territory, as with other corporations? No, but in the Church Recorder's office. At the county seat? No, but at the "seat of general church businees." These trustees to continue in of-

fice at the "pleasure of said church," 2nd to receive real and personal property "in any manner" "not in-compatible with the principles of righteousness," and to be free from taxation; and all this enacted by a Legislature created by Congress as an agency, and paid for their lacor respecting an establishment of re-ligion out of the Treasury of the United States.

The third session defines the right to worship God according to the aiotates of conscience, which is right; but, further, it granted power to this organization for the punishment or forgiveness of all offenses relative to fellowship, and solemnly declared that the pursuit of blies and enjoy-ment of life in every capacity of public association and domestic happiness "may not legally be question-ed."

That is, that which is already established may not in so far as law is concerned be inquired into or questioned. Under prescribed condi-tions we find a legislative enact-ment and guarantee that these particular docurines are founded in the revelations of the Lord. The word "inasmuch" is used where "in so far" would have been used had there Deen no purpose to establish a re-ligion and confer power upon eccie-sizatical courts to visit pains and penalties, even to that of death, in all matters "relative to fellowship according to church covanants.<sup>12</sup> Bection four of this act required a

registry of marriages, not for public inspection, but for the inspection of all members and for their benefit. The courts of Utah sofar have failed to have these registries produced to them or to find any man who would testify more than that such registry records were kept somewhere and

by somebody. This act to day stands in the com-piled laws of Utah published by au-thority in 1876. In 1862 Congress passed the fol-

lowing law:

ORAPTER CXXXI.—AN AOT to punish and prevent the practice of polygamy in the "territories of the United states and other places, and disapproving and annulling cer-tain acts of the Legislative Assembly of the Territory of Utah.

Territory of Utah. Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of Ameri-ca in Congress Assembled. That overy person having a busband or, wife living, who shall marty any other person, whether martled or single, in a territary of the United States, or other place over which the United States are exclusive jurisdiction, shall, except in the cases specified in the proviso to this section, be adjudged guilty of bigansy, and upon convo-tion thereof shall be pumbled by a fine not exceeding five hundred dollars and by im-prisonment for a term not exceeding five years; Provided, nevertheless, that this sec-tion shall not extend to any person by reason of any former martiage whose husband or wife by such marriage shall have been ab-sont for five consecutive years without being known to such person within that time to be living, uor to any perknown to such person with time to be living, nor to an son by reason of former marriag shall have been dissolved by the de competence current person any person n within to any marriage ADY