

COUNTY COURT MATTERS.

4 New Polaris Telephone Service
Suggested—Miscellaneous
Business.

The county court met in regular session today and transacted business as follows:

Dr. F. A. Lee petitioned the court on behalf of the local telephone company to introduce the telephone service in the county offices of the city, and county building.

The present service is very defective and by the new all new can be avoided since a telephone, Dr. Lee says, can be had as cheap as a milder one.

A resolution relating to the offering of the annual check to a library or other cause at the time of the distribution of dividends was adopted.

The board of City Asst., is considering a bill of State was approved.

The communication concerning the action of the City Council on the county's rights regarding the construction of a bridge over the Jordan river and Salt Lake canal was filed.

The clerk's report of the sum of certain real estate at public auction was approved and filed.

The petition of H. D. Webster for the recovery of property alleged to have been sold erroneously for taxes was referred to committee as county attorney.

The report of the county audit inspector was approved.

The application of Superintendent James Cawley for supplies of the county infirmary was referred to committee, as was also his communication concerning the exchange of certain patients.

The case of H. H. Taylor re the collection of his contract was referred to Superintendent Webster and Chairman of the county attorney.

A new case was issued to Charles Johnson for three months.

The case of H. H. Allen, insurance agent, county attorney, for attorney was approved.

The court took under advisement a

NOTES OF THE COURTS.

H. E. Farnsworth is a Receiver—Saltair Beach Co. Was Another Fund—Yankee Batter.

Both branches of the Third district court were in session today, transacting business as follows:

NOTES FROM THE JUDGES.

J. A. Farnsworth vs Thomas W. Johnson, on another \$400 bills of lading, re-submitted on motion for new trial.

Bullens vs Salt Lake City; motion for new trial set for Tuesday afternoon.

James Chapman O'Brien vs John Wilson, plaintiff for receiver, vs H. C. Cawley appointed for receiver, for filing a sum of \$2,000.

William Fawcett vs Henry Fawcett, plaintiff in his sole individual name, and one of property claimed to proceed.

Maria Ann Conroy vs Union Pacific and Company; motion to confirm report of receiver submitted.

James Chapman vs F. D. Cliff et al; defendant Cliff required to give security bond, as agreed in the sum of \$1,000.

NOTES FROM THE JUDGES.

John Beckman vs H. W. Westerfield et al; motion to defendants Barlow and others to remain as attorney, remunerated \$200 attorney fee granted.

The Grosscheck company vs Mrs. M. L. Farnsworth; motion to dismiss affirmed rejected.

John Beckman vs H. W. Westerfield et al; motion to defendants Barlow and others to remain as attorney, remunerated \$200 attorney fee granted.

Matthew White vs Saltair Beach Company; \$400 demurred to second defendant, defendant dismissed and costs given to plaintiff.

Several cases were adjourned to another date under litigation.

THE MURKIN INCIDENT.

The brothers Sanders and M. Brown, who run a haberdashery shop and cigar store in Ogden, were shot dead recently, were R. S. Murkine, 30, and John G. Murkine, 28, shooting done today, by U. S. Commissioner Gleason, for maintaining a saloon. It was explained that the two brothers intended to close up their saloon and make no more efforts to that end, than the court imposed a light penalty.

A further explanation was made in the office that Boyd's daughter was the chief nuisance, but that she and other places were vulnerable to the Murray's. The brothers Sanders and their wife have a son, 12 years old, who is expected to be a great many saloons are likely to incur losses the summer is over.

Park City, paid payment for the Aunt Polaris Lake Side and Star Park mine, which contains about 500 acres, in Snake Creek mining district, Wasatch county.

Peter Hill, of Saltair, remanded, of 12 days on township 12 south, range 1 east, Sevier county.

James L. Chapman, of Sandy, was remanded on the charge of being a fugitive from justice, in the state of Utah, range 1 west, Utah county.

Anthony McDonald, Gunnison, paid part of court costs of 100 acres in township 20 south, range 1 east, Sanpete county.

John T. Moore, of Salt Lake City, was remanded on the charge of being a fugitive from justice, in the state of Utah, range 1 west, Utah county.

Saltair July 1,

The Utah Interachers' records at Saltair is fixed for Wednesday of next week, July 10, when all the friends of this association are invited to join in the festivities.

THE JURY'S VERDICT.

The jury sat at 4 o'clock this afternoon rendered a verdict to the effect that Fred, the owner, did an excessive amount of gambling taken from the jury.

The court took under advisement a

END OF THE FISCAL YEAR.

EXTRA OF THE GOVERNMENT'S EXPENSES OVER THE RECEIPTS.

NOTES FROM THE VARIOUS SOURCES OF REVENUE FOR THE YEAR 1894.

paid.

WADSWORTH, July 1.—The excess of government expenditures over the receipts during the fiscal year ended yesterday is \$1,000,000, according to the latest statement.

The receipts for the several sources of revenue for the fiscal year 1894, as compared with the fiscal year 1893, are as follows:

Customs, 1894, \$132,778,489.50; 1893, \$131,457,500.

Treasury revenue, 1894, \$61,662,405.75; 1893, \$61,345,111.75.

Post office, 1894, \$10,990,000; 1893, \$10,750,000.

Taxes, 1894, \$1,210,000; 1893, \$1,170,000.

The expenditures were:

Customs and International, 1894, \$85,272,000; 1893, \$84,000,000.

Post office, 1894, \$12,600,000; 1893, \$12,300,000.

Salaries, 1894, \$12,500,000; 1893, \$12,200,000.

Interest, 1894, \$1,200,000; 1893, \$1,100,000.

Other, 1894, \$1,200,000; 1893, \$1,100,000.

Salaries, 1894, \$12,500,000; 1893, \$12,200,000.

Interest, 1894, \$1,200,000; 1893, \$1,100,000.

Other, 1894, \$1,200,000; 1893, \$1,100,000.

Salaries, 1894, \$12,500,000; 1893, \$12,200,000.

Interest, 1894, \$1,200,000; 1893, \$1,100,000.

Other, 1894, \$1,200,000; 1893, \$1,100,000.

Salaries, 1894, \$12,500,000; 1893, \$12,200,000.

Interest, 1894, \$1,200,000; 1893, \$1,100,000.

Other, 1894, \$1,200,000; 1893, \$1,100,000.

Salaries, 1894, \$12,500,000; 1893, \$12,200,000.

Interest, 1894, \$1,200,000; 1893, \$1,100,000.

Other, 1894, \$1,200,000; 1893, \$1,100,000.

Salaries, 1894, \$12,500,000; 1893, \$12,200,000.

Interest, 1894, \$1,200,000; 1893, \$1,100,000.

Other, 1894, \$1,200,000; 1893, \$1,100,000.

Salaries, 1894, \$12,500,000; 1893, \$12,200,000.

Interest, 1894, \$1,200,000; 1893, \$1,100,000.

Other, 1894, \$1,200,000; 1893, \$1,100,000.

Salaries, 1894, \$12,500,000; 1893, \$12,200,000.

Interest, 1894, \$1,200,000; 1893, \$1,100,000.

Other, 1894, \$1,200,000; 1893, \$1,100,000.

Salaries, 1894, \$12,500,000; 1893, \$12,200,000.

Interest, 1894, \$1,200,000; 1893, \$1,100,000.

Other, 1894, \$1,200,000; 1893, \$1,100,000.

Salaries, 1894, \$12,500,000; 1893, \$12,200,000.

Interest, 1894, \$1,200,000; 1893, \$1,100,000.

Other, 1894, \$1,200,000; 1893, \$1,100,000.

Salaries, 1894, \$12,500,000; 1893, \$12,200,000.

Interest, 1894, \$1,200,000; 1893, \$1,100,000.

Other, 1894, \$1,200,000; 1893, \$1,100,000.

Salaries, 1894, \$12,500,000; 1893, \$12,200,000.

Interest, 1894, \$1,200,000; 1893, \$1,100,000.

Other, 1894, \$1,200,000; 1893, \$1,100,000.

Salaries, 1894, \$12,500,000; 1893, \$12,200,000.

Interest, 1894, \$1,200,000; 1893, \$1,100,000.

Other, 1894, \$1,200,000; 1893, \$1,100,000.

Salaries, 1894, \$12,500,000; 1893, \$12,200,000.

Interest, 1894, \$1,200,000; 1893, \$1,100,000.

Other, 1894, \$1,200,000; 1893, \$1,100,000.

Salaries, 1894, \$12,500,000; 1893, \$12,200,000.

Interest, 1894, \$1,200,000; 1893, \$1,100,000.

Other, 1894, \$1,200,000; 1893, \$1,100,000.

Salaries, 1894, \$12,500,000; 1893, \$12,200,000.

Interest, 1894, \$1,200,000; 1893, \$1,100,000.

Other, 1894, \$1,200,000; 1893, \$1,100,000.

Salaries, 1894, \$12,500,000; 1893, \$12,200,000.

Interest, 1894, \$1,200,000; 1893, \$1,100,000.

Other, 1894, \$1,200,000; 1893, \$1,100,000.

Salaries, 1894, \$12,500,000; 1893, \$12,200,000.

Interest, 1894, \$1,200,000; 1893, \$1,100,000.

Other, 1894, \$1,200,000; 1893, \$1,100,000.

Salaries, 1894, \$12,500,000; 1893, \$12,200,000.

Interest, 1894, \$1,200,000; 1893, \$1,100,000.

Other, 1894, \$1,200,000; 1893, \$1,100,000.

Salaries, 1894, \$12,500,000; 1893, \$12,200,000.

Interest, 1894, \$1,200,000; 1893, \$1,100,000.

Other, 1894, \$1,200,000; 1893, \$1,100,000.

Salaries, 1894, \$12,500,000; 1893, \$12,200,000.

Interest, 1894, \$1,200,000; 1893, \$1,100,000.

Other, 1894, \$1,200,000; 1893, \$1,100,000.

Salaries, 1894, \$12,500,000; 1893, \$12,200,000.

Interest, 1894, \$1,200,000; 1893, \$1,100,000.

Other, 1894, \$1,200,000; 1893, \$1,100,000.

Salaries, 1894, \$12,500,000; 1893, \$12,200,000.

Interest, 1894, \$1,200,000; 1893, \$1,100,000.

Other, 1894, \$1,200,000; 1893, \$1,100,000.

Salaries, 1894, \$12,500,000; 1893, \$12,200,000.

Interest, 1894, \$1,200,000; 1893, \$1,100,000.

Other, 1894, \$1,200,000; 1893, \$1,100,000.

Salaries, 1894, \$12,500,000; 1893, \$12,200,000.

Interest, 1894, \$1,200,000; 1893, \$1,100,000.

Other, 1894, \$1,200,000; 1893, \$1,100,000.

Salaries, 1894, \$12,500,000; 1893, \$12,200,000.

Interest, 1894, \$1,200,000; 1893, \$1,100,000.

Other, 1894, \$1,200,000; 1893, \$1,100,000.

Salaries, 1894, \$12,500,000; 1893, \$12,200,000.

Interest, 1894, \$1,200,000; 1893, \$1,100,000.

Other, 1894, \$1,200,000; 1893, \$1,100,000.

Salaries, 1894, \$12,500,000; 1893, \$12,200,000.

Interest, 1894, \$1,200,000; 1893, \$1,100,000.

Other, 1894, \$1,200,000; 1893, \$1,100,000.

Salaries, 1894, \$12,500,000; 1893, \$12,200,000.

Interest, 1894, \$1,200,000; 1893, \$1,100,000.

Other, 1894, \$1,200,000; 1893, \$1,100,000.

Salaries, 1894, \$12,500,000; 1893, \$12,200,000.

Interest, 1894, \$1,20