

particular Hill whose song we should like to hear first break forth is the Reverend J. Wesley of Ogden, to whom the Rev. Sam Small has recently been paying some distinguished courtesies.

From his fortified watch tower in Oxford, Idaho, Mr. Jones hurls forth eagle glances over the "temporary defeats of the past," and "the grave difficulties of the present." "A passing glance will not reveal the progress of the work done here," he thinks; but a comparison will best show what that work has accomplished for "this deluded people," brought here "by deception," and made to go to bed hungry, many of them, in order that temples might be built in expectation of the coming of the "King of Glory." For this comparison he diverts attention from "the vast amount that has not been accomplished," and invites it "for a moment to what has been done." He imagines that a less elaborate prelude would scarcely have done justice to the great disclosures he is about to make; and we think he is right. There is nothing like leading the susceptible hearer up to a giddy climax by means of a masterly introduction. So, having prepared the audience for the worst or best that he has in reserve, he launches it as follows:

"Go back to those days of darkness and suffering when no man dared raise his finger against the will of the so-called prophets of God; when, according to Mrs. Paddock, some who dared murmur against the cruelties of the 'Pontiff' were baptized in the Jordan and left there, while others were put under lock and key, where they pined away like a withering leaf and died; when one who taught the children the way of the Lord was assassinated, and another defender of the cross was compelled to leave the city for safety. Then look at those homes, which to wives and mothers were worse than prison cells; where hearts bore burdens almost unbearable, and yet could not pour out their sorrows into the ears of their dearest friend; when these mountains to many rose before them like great prison walls which shut out all hope for ever."

Then he invites an examination of several church spires—all non-"Mormon"—presumably—introduces a shrewd little advertisement of Methodist activity, and again describes some songs that are arising "like sweet incense," coming from the hursting hearts of those who have been permitted to see the dawn of redemption for "this deluded people." After which he signs himself etc., etc.

The fact that Mr. Jones takes Mrs. Paddock as an authority makes it a work of supererogation to pick up his falsehoods one by one and refute them. That curious woman has written a larger amount of stuff with a smaller proportion of truth than perhaps any citizen of Utah has given her credit for. The heights and depths and breadths

and thickness of the tissue she has prepared for the enjoyment of ignorant readers surpasseth description, if not comprehension. So much is she esteemed for her achievements in this line that no writer with any regard for his own reputation presumes to quote her. Hence we assume that Mr. Jones is a novice at missionary writing, and still more reckless as to the impression he is likely to make. In the hope that he has been led into error unwittingly, and that the man really may have some commendable desires within him concerning "this deluded people," we dismiss him this once with the injunction to take heed of the penalty incurred by him who loves and makes, as well as to him who willingly repeats a lie.

THE HUNT FOR THE CHILIAN REBEL SHIP.

It is said that the United States Navy department had definite information on the 18th inst., that the "Esmeralda," the Chilian insurgent steamer desired to coal up at Acapulco, but was prevented by Mexico. Navy officials in Washington say that the rebel ships are being driven to desperation. Should these vessels endeavour to prevent the capture of the "Etata," it is said that the United States warships would open fire on them. There are four U. S. vessels now in Chilian waters. They are the "Baltimore," "San Francisco," "Pensacola," and "Charleston." Coal is very expensive where they are. It costs \$22 a ton. It cost the "Baltimore" \$25,000 for one shipment of coal a few days ago. The four steamers will cost \$200,000 for each coaling.

A good deal has been said about the "Charleston" and "Esmeralda" during the past two weeks. Both were designed by an English engineer. The "Esmeralda" was built for Chili seven years ago by an English firm at Newcastle-on-Tyne, from designs furnished by W. H. White now the chief constructor of the British navy. It was he also who furnished the designs of the "Charleston" to Secretary Whitney of the U. S. navy. The vessel was built at the Union Iron Works in San Francisco. In Mr. White's opinion the "Charleston" was an improvement on the Esmeralda, but he admits that nothing short of actual conflict could demonstrate the superiority of either over the other.

RECKLESSNESS AND DESPERATION.

ONE of the most humorous assertions that the organ of hate, published in this city, has made for some time is to the effect that the News appeared on

May 19 as a Republican organ. Here are its words: "The News appeared last night for the first time in its role as a Republican organ." After making this extraordinary statement, however, that journal's heart—admitting, for argument's sake, that it figuratively possesses one—seemed to sink at the very absurdity of its remark, and it hedged by following up with this qualification: "It was not very successful to be sure, but what would you of a novice?"

Now that is the old illogical way of presenting a proposition and following it by an opposing argument. We admit the force of the qualifying statement. The News of last evening, or any other evening, indicates an entire absence of success in a role it has never assumed. As indisputable evidence of this we have only to refer to the columns of this journal which give proof positive of what we now state.

To show the desperation as well as utter untruthfulness of the journal referred to, which speaks as if it were in the throes of dissolution, we refer to another position taken in the same article as the one in which the News is accused of want of success in the role of Republican organ. Yesterday this infamous paper accused certain Republicans of having sold out their party, because they had simply taken a position in favor of a popular political division in Utah on national party lines, in place of maintaining the old local organizations. It says this:

"The News as organ of that movement distinctly declines to deny that the conferences have occurred. On the contrary, it admits them when it says that certain gentlemen have been using 'their own minds' in the matter."

We here reproduce our own language which appeared in yesterday's issue.

"The slanderous imputation thrown out by the organ of defamation upon gentlemen who have had sufficient independence to use their own minds in place of electing to be subject to the most galling dictatorship ever witnessed in this Republic, is most despicable. It remains to be seen whether or not there shall be abject submission to such tyranny. One of the questions connected with the present situation is—shall intelligent citizens slavishly follow the dictation of an unscrupulous clique which insists in sitting upon the tail of progress shouting 'whoa?'"

It will be observed that we characterized the charge of our unesteemed cotemporary against the gentlemen referred to as a "slanderous imputation," maliciously made because those against whom it was hurled had shown "sufficient independence to use their own minds," in place of electing to be subject to the galling tyranny of an unscrupulous clique. The News showed that in thus making use of