spiration from the Almighty, and sought to establish himself by the sword; ime proved him to be wrong; it is with all others; they are all supersti-tion. You have no right to disobey the llaw because your religious belief in-cindes an unlawful practice. You say you have not seduced your wife's sis-tief. There is no law against adultery there; there was one, but the Church to which you belong repealed it. Legally there; there was one, but the Church to which you belong repealed it. Legally and morally, as the American people believe, when you married your wife's sisteryou seduced her; you committed adultery. You must learn to obey the law. There is no reason for any exercise of lenieucy in your case; you say your obeyed the Edmunds law, but your plural wife has an infant a few weeks old; you cannot love her as your wife. If you choose to follow a superstition, an error, you must suffer for it. I state it to be a superstition, because I state it to be a superstition, because I believe it is, and the great majority of reasonable men believe so. You will be sentenced to six months in the pentientiary, and to pay a fine of \$300 and costs, and stand committed until the fine and costs are paid. I should be pleased to extend lenlency to such as you if you would promise to obey the law, but you cannot defy the government of the United States.

Mr. Smith was taken to the peniten-

Mr. Smith was taken to the penitentiary this afternoon.

The trial of the second indictment

for 1884, against

ISAAC LANGTON

was next taken up. The following ju-rors were sworn, all the even numbers being omitted:

J. J Greenwald, Robert Binaic, Thos. R. Jones, Geo. Cullens, A. E. Clarke, A. H. Kelly,

James Owen, M. M. Beaver, S. H. Coniey, Rudolph Aiff, A. Hanauer, James T. Kescel,

Eliza N. Newham, the first witness, Eliza N. Newham, the first witness, was sent for from the Marshal's office, where she had been kept, probably so that she would not forget what she was wanted to testify to. She lived next door to the defendant's house a year and a half ago; moved there in the summer, and went away shortly before Chrismas, having lived there with her father for a couple of mouths; had been in defendant's house twice; there were two having lived there with her father for a couple of mouths; had been in defendant's house twice; there were two rooms and two beds in one room; knew Mrs. Laugton; she had six children; saw another lady there with one child, about four or five mouths old; did not know her name, or hear her called by any name; there was no other man than Mr. Laugton living in the house; Mrs. Langton had a child about a year old; heard quarreling in the house when defendant was there, because defendant would not take both women to meeting; did not know his reasons for refusal; the lady referred to was Mrs. Langton's sister.

Cross-examined—The quarreling was done in the house; witness was in the yard, and did not see them; witness was listening against the fence, close to the honse; had listened there a number of times; that was her business; had not been a witness in any other case.

M. G. Thomas was next called. He lived in the 21st Ward; did not know where he lived in 1884; he lived in the 21st Ward; did not know where he lived in 1884; he lived in the 21st Ward; did not know where he lived in 1884; he lived in the 21st Ward; did not know where he lived in 1884; he lived in the 21st Ward; did not know where he lived in 1884; he lived in the 21st Ward; did not know Phœbe Lindsay; was never in defendant's house.

Smales Jackson lived in 20th Ward; knew defendant; had known him nearly two years; lived about 10 rods dis tant; first met him when he was building; knew Mrs. Langton had several colldren; never saw any other lady there; did not know Phœbe Lindsay;

children; never saw any other lady there; did not know Phœbe Lindsay; had not been in defendant's house for

there; did not know Phoebe Lindsay; had not been in defendant's house for about eighteen months.

Mr. Dickson—I would like to find somebody who does know Laugton.

Mr. Sheeks—No doubt of it; wait until the trial is over.

Wm. S. Knapton had known defendant about a year and a half; did not know just where he first met him; afterwards became partners; had been in defendant's house several times; knew Phoebe Lindsay; defendant introduced Mrs. Laugton; there were several children there; in the house there were two rooms; did not go again until-1885; did not remember whether he went in both rooms or not; did not notice how many beds were in the house; thought there were more than one; did not recollect whether here was a bed in the kitchen; had seen Phoebe Lindsay there in 1886; saw her take up one of Mrs. Langton's children; she might have taken up more than one—did not know; the child sue her take up one of Mrs. Langton's children; she might have taken up more than one—did not know; the child sue took up was running about; could not give its age; it was perhaps a year and a half or two years old; the child called "Mamma," and Mrs. Langton answered; had also heard business talked of in the house; Mrs Langton had six children; the eldest was about 10 years; had only seen Thube Lindsay once, at defendant's, and once at witnesses' house, with Mrs. Langton; Miss Lindsay did not have a child with her; had never seen but one baby in

her; had never seen but one baby in defendant's house.

Zadec Altenell had known defendant four or five years; did not know where he lived; did not know Panebe Lindsay; had been introduced to Mrs. Langton

Mamie Carney knew defendant; lived opposite his house; was in defendant; house once, about a month ago; Mrs. Langton had been at witness' house once; did not know Phobe Lindsny; never saw her; there were five or six children in defendant's house; one was once; did not know Phone Lindshy; to the defendant's house once; they never saw her; there were five or six children in defendant's house; one was about two years, and one teu or eleven months old; Mr. and Mrs. Langton were there.

Wm. Langton was defendant's quainted with Mrs. Langton; had seen defendant at their father's; was ac-

brother; in 1884 defendant lived in 21st
Ward; witness had been at his house
twice; once in 1884, and once a few
days ago; witness lived in the
Sixteentu Ward; defendant had formerly lived there; had sten him
frequently; knew Phoebe Lindsay; had
never seen her in defendant's rooms;
got acquainted with her in Sanpete
County; had seen her once at the
depot when she came on a visit her
sister, Mrs. Langton; last saw her
about two years ago; in Sanpete County; never saw her with a child.

Daniel Kennedy lived in the Twentyfirst Ward; knew defendant; fived 12
or 14 blocks from him; had been at his
house once, about two years ago;
never saw Phoebe Lindsay.

Mr. Dickson—Do you know anybody
who does know the defendant?
Witness—Oh, yes, sir.
Win. Carney lived in the Twentieth
Ward; had known defendant a
year or so; had been at his
house; had known defendant a
year or so; had been at his
house; had hover been there in 1884;
was acquainted with Mrs. Langton;
never saw Phoebe Lindsay.

Contad Newham was brought as a
witness from his post of observation
in the Marshal's office; knew Isaac

witness from his post of observation in the Marshal's office; knew Isaac Langton; had lived next door from August, 1884, to November, 1884; had two conversations with him.

Mr. Dickson—Have you ever conversed to him about his belief?

Objected to by the defense.

Mr. Dickson thought it was proper to show that the defendant believed plural marriage right, and advocated the practice of a crime. It the defendant believed it to be his religious duty to practice plural marriage, it was to practice plural marriage, it was probable he would follow the practice. Mr. Sheeks argued that such a one-sided manuer of admitting testimony

sided manuer of admitting testimony was improper.

Objection overruled.

Witness—The defendant said Jesus,
Abraham, Jacob and others had more than two wives, and it was right; witness had seen two women at defendane's house—Mrs. Langton and her sister; did not hear the latter's name; she had one child. [This witness, Newham, of gossipping proclivities, now dives in the 15th Ward, where, if any rumors such as those he testified to are obtainable, he will doubtless hasten with them to the District Attorney.]

such as those he testified to are obtainable, he will doubtless hasten with them to the District Attorney.]

Anna Noyce, the next witness, was 12 years old; went to Sunday School; knew it was wrong to tell what was not true; the punishment for telling a lalsehood was luprisonment in the penitentiary; had seen Phoebe Lindsay on the street.

Eliza Newham recalled. In the quarrels at defendant's house, had heard Mr. Laugton say "Mrs. Laugton;" there were the first and second; the neighbors called them that; the quarrels were on Sunday as to which should go to meeting.

quarrels were on Sauday as to which should go to meeting.

Mr. Dickson called this witness aside and asked which of the neighbors spoke of the first and second Mrs. Langton, and was answered that they were all here. These same no abors, however, knew nothing of such a taling.

thing.
Mrs. Noyce lived half a block from defendant; knew him; did not know Pnosbe Lindsay; had seen a lady there but did not know who she was; had never been in Mr. Langton's house; did not know any lady reputed to be

did not know any lady reputed to be Mrs. Langton.
Mr. Dickson argued that the question was not the fact of marriage, but the repute of marriage—the reputation among the neighbors.
Witness had heard the neighbors speak of defendant's second wife.
The defense argued that there would be no end of prosecutions under such rumors: it was only a hard case that

rumors; it was only a hard case that drove the prosecution to this. The cours, of course, held the evi-dence to be competent, as the District

dence to be connectent, as the District Attorney had insisted.
Witness did not know of the general repute of defendant's relationship.
Eliza Newham recalled.
Mr. Dickson—Do you know what the general reputation was of the relationship, if any, which existed between Pheebe Lindsay and the defendant?
Objected to, objection overruled.
Witness—Do not know what reputation means. The neighbors said she was his second wife. Heard his little daughter say so.
Cross-examined—Had lived near

Cross-examined-Had lived Langton a couple of months; heard a Mrs. Glover say Miss Lindsay was de-fendant's second wife; did not rememher where, when, or how it came about; never heard any other neighbor say so except Mamie Carney, four or tive months ago; Mrs. Glover spoke of it in 1885, not before; these two were

Re-direct-Heard Mrs. Noyce say the ladies were first and second; heard two Carney boys say so; was not acquainted with any others, until after. The testimony of Eliza Newham as

to the general repute was stricken out, because the witness had shown herself

to be incompetent. The court then took recess until ?

This afternoon Mr. Wm. T. Knapton was recalled. He was a married man; never lived near the defendant; Mrs. Langton hadgvisited his house a number of times; Phœbe Lindsay had been there once.

Frederick Langton was the defend-ant's brother; lived in the 19th Ward; had been there three years; had been to the defendant's house once; they

Phæbe Lindsay in Teasdel's store; had seen her in the old country; never say the defendant with her; had been at his brother's six or cight months ago; Miss Lindsay was not there.

Isaac Langton, sen., father of the defendant, testified that Isaac, fr.. left his honse about five years ago; knew Phæbe Lindsay; last saw her over two years ago, down south; visited the defendant's house occasionally, but had not been there for over two years; defendant's mother was dead; witness never saw Phæbe Lindsay at defendant's; she was not reputed to be his wife; never heard she had a child.

Mrs. Therman thad in the Mrs. chiid.

child.

Mrs. Thomas lived in the 21st Ward;
knew defendant, and his wife: did not
know Phobe Lindsay; had been at defendant's bouse three times in 1885;
never saw Phobe Lindsay, or heard of

her. Mr. Dickson-I submit the case. That's all the cyldence I can procure.

Mr. Sheeks—I ask the instruction of
the Court for a verillet of acquittal.

Mr. Dickson—By consent of the Court, I call Mrs. Langton.
Mr. Sheeks—We object to her being sworn, it being admitted by the prosecutor that she is the defendant's wife.
Mr. Dickson—I think she is a competent witness.

percent witness.

Mr. Sheeks—It must be with the consent of the defendant. That is not

This outrageous action on the part of the District Attorney, in calling the legal wife of the defendant as a witness against him without the consent of either, showed the desperate strait to which he was driven, and the utter absence of evidence against the defendant

fendant.
The District Attorney claimed that if a man committed the offense of unlaw-ful cohabitation, it was a crime against

a man committed the offense of uniawful cohabitation, it was a crime against
the lawful wife, and she could be compelled to testify. This was the greatest offense which could be committed
against the wife.

Mr. Sheeks argued that the offense
charged was not a crime against the
wife. The law related to direct crimes
by one against the other. The authority cited by the District Attorney did not
hold that the wife could be compelled,
but only permitted to testify. In view
oith e fact that the wife had always
been excluded, and that serions attempts were being made to make the
wife a competent witness against her
hasband, in this special class of cases,
it would be absurd to hold, that she
was already competent.

Mr. Young also contended that in the
light of recent attempts in Congress,

Mr. Young also contended that in the light of recent attempts in Congress, the construction claimed by Mr. Dickson was utterly improper.

The District Attorney said he had had the question under consideration a long time, and the Court was probably loaded and primed, as a prompt decision was rendered declaring the legal wife a competent witness against her husband, without the consent of either, thus adding another to the long list of judicial outrages in Utah.

Mrs. Langton was sworn, and testified that Phosbe Lindsay, her sister, was at defendant's house simply as a visitor, and the jury rendered a verdict of not guilty.

FROM TUESDAY'S DAILY, FEB. 23

Primary Meeting.—The officers of the Primary Associations of this Stake are requested to meet at the residence of Mrs. L. C. Clawson, 75 First Street, Eighteenth Ward, on Thursday, the 25th, at 2 p.m. A full attendance is desired.

Arrested for Polygamy .- Solomon Edwards, of Americau Fork, who was arrested last week at Eagle Rock, Idaho, on an indictment charging him with polygamy, was brought to this city on Saturday evening, and taken to the Penitcutiary.

Sudden Death. — Yesterday afternoon Willard Harper, about sixteen years of age, who has been residing with his parents in the Fifth Ward, dropped dead from heart disease. For some time past the young man has been suffering from diabetes, and shortly after I p. m. yesterday went out to the well for a bucket of water, when he was stricken with death, expiring almost immediately.

Ogden Cases .- W. G. Childs, of Og den, was this morning arraigned be-fore Judge Powers to answer to an in-dictment charging him with unlawful cohabitation with his wives. He read a statement which he had previously a statement which he had previously prepared, but made no promises as to future conduct and the Judge let him off with a fine of \$300 and costs. Amos Maycock, ar-Judge let him off with a fine of \$300 and costs. Amos Maycock, ar-raigned on a similar charge, was sen-tenced to flye months' imprisonment

and to pay a fine of \$800 and costs.
C. II. Greenwell and H. H. Tracy, ou being arraigned on the same charge, went upon the witness stand themselves and gave such testimony as led to their immediate conviction, and next Thursday was set for sentence in their

From the South .- A company of eleven returning missionaries from the Southern States, accompanied by a small company of immigrants from Southern States, accompanied by a small company of immigrants from that region—all under the direction of Elder George J. Woodbury—arrived in the city by last evening's D. & R. G. passenger train, and have been about town to-day. The immigrants will mostly continue their journey to-morrow morning to their various destinations in different parts of the Territory, and the Elders will separate and betake themselves to their homes, where they will all receive a

Narrow Escape of a Large Number of Men.

Only six weeks since a terrible explosion occurred in mine No. 4, at Almy, resulting in the death of eleven persons, and on Sunday, about 11:55, mine No. 3 at the same place was the seene of another catastrophe of a scene of another catastrophe of a similar nature but less serious in its consequences. It scens the mine had been reported free from gas on Saturday evening, but at the time of the occurrence it had accumulated on the 6th level and was iguited by a man named James Moon, who was not amed James Moon, who was not aware of the danger, thoughtlessly lighting his lamp. The explosion caused the wildest excitement both in the mine and among the friends of the miners on the surface, and efforts were immediately made to rescue the layer number of inhers emrescue the large number of inliners em-ployed below, who it was feared were killed or seriously burned. Investiga-tion soon disclosed the fact that only four persons were burned very badly, though quite a large number had their hair slayed, and within two and a half hair singed, and within two and a half hours after the explosional were safely landed on the surface. James Moon was burned considerably about the face; Archibald Buchanan received severe injuries about the face and hands, and Bishop Bowns and James Martin offsted appropriate the injuries to and Bisnop Bowns and James Marton suffered somewhat. The injuries to the others were slight and consisted of being slightly burned and almost suf-focated with the fonl gas, from which they readily recovered

SENTENCED.

Henry Dinwoodey and Joseph Mc-Murrin Sent to the Pen.

The cases in which judgment was to The cases in which judgment was to be pronounced in the Taird District Court this morning were those of Brothers Joseph McMurrin, Win. H. Lee and Henry Dinwoodey. Mr. Dickson, under whose left eye there was a slight discoloration, from a blow received from a 16-year old boy last evening, moved for judgment, and the first called was

HENRY DINWOODEY.

whose sentence had formerly been suspended, because Mr. Dickson had "reason to believe" that he would obey the law.

On Mr. Dinwoodey's coming ferward, the Court said—You are aware, Mr. Dinwoodey, that you have plead guilty to the crime of unlawful cohabitation, and that this morning was set for sentence. What is your intention as to obeying the law in the future?

Mr. Dinwoodey—I have nothing to say, your honor, farther than I have already stated.

Court—You are not prepared, then, to say whether you will or will not obey the law in the future relating to unlawful cohabitation?

Mr. Dinwoodey—Nothing more than only to continue as I have been doing.

Court-You'will, then, be sentenced to imprisonment in the penitentiary for the term of six months, and to pay a fine of \$200 and costs, and stand united.

the term of six months, and to pay a fine of \$300 and costs, and stand im-prisoned until paid.

Mr. Rawlins, attoracy for

WM. H. LEE,

asked the Court to defer sentence for three days to enable Brother Lee, as guardian for his orphan grandchildren, to make provision for them during the term of his coadnement. Sentence was fixed for Friday, Feb. 26th.

JOSEPH M'MURRIN

was next in order. The Court put the usual question to the defendant, as to his intention to obey the law in the future

Brother McMurrin replied-I do no: Brother McMurrin replied—I do not know, your honor, that I have anything particular to say. I embraced the principle of plural marriage in connection with my religious faith, and I still adhere to my faith. For me to dispense with any one principle would he virtually a relinquishment of the whole, and whole, and-

Court (interrupting) - Well, sometimes get wrong principles into your head. You will be sentenced to serve a term of six months in the penitentiary, and to pay a fine of \$300 and costs of prosecution, and stand confined in prison till the fine and costs are paid.

The prisoners were placed in the Marshal's custody, and were taken to the penitentiary to-day.

A new star in the firmament, Red Star Cough Cure. It banishes coughs and throat troubles, contains no morphia or opium and is safe and sure. Price, twenty-five cents.

"SAFE, reliable and pleasant to take," that excellent preparation, Dr. Henley's Gelery, Beef and Iron.
For sale by Z. C. M. I. Drug Store, and all Druggists.

What do the Bruggigts Say?

They know what the people call for, and they bear what their patrons say as to whether the medicines they buy work well or not. Martell & Johnson, Rush City, Minn., say, "Brown's as to whether the medicines they buy work well or not. Martell & Johnson, Rush City. Minn., say, "Brown's Iron Bitters gives eutire satisfaction to our customers." Klinkbammer & Co., Jordan, Minn., say, "We sell more Brown's Iron Bitters than all other bitters combined." L. E. Hackley & Son, Winona, Minn., say, "All our eustomers speak highly of Brown's Iron Bitters." A. C. Whitman, Jackson, Minn., says, "Brown's Iron Bitters is giving good satisfaction to purchasers." These are only a few. We have hundreds more just as good.

Many persons feel unwell, not absolutely sick, but in a state of discomfort. The liver is ont of order. Take Simmon's Liver Regulator. This unfailing specific for liver disease has restored more people to health and happiness than any other agency known on earth. No one can take the Regulator and remain long unwell. Rev. R. G. Wilder, Princeton, N. J., says: "I find nothing helps so much to keep me in working condition as Simmon's Liver Regulator.

Dr. Henley's Ropular Remody, Celery. Beel and Iron,

flas the largest sale, and has relieved and cured more persons afflicted with nervous troubles than any one known

remedy.

For sale by Z. C. M. I. Drug Store, and all Druggists.

Angostura Bitters is a household word all over the world. For over 50 years it has advertised itself by its merits. It is now advertised to warn the public against counterfeits. The genune article is manufactured by Dr. J. G. B. Siegert & Sons.

Syrup of Prunes

will check all those premonitary symptoms of chronic diseases such as habitual constipation, sour stomach, dizzy head, palpitation of the heart, sick headache, heavy load at the pit of the stomach, etc. Price, 75 cents per bottle. Sold by Z. C. M. I. Drug Store Store.

Wasting Diseases.

How many hundreds and thonsands of people in the world drag out a miserable existence through suffering from the many ills flesh is heir to, simply because they have not been able to find the right remedies. First comes loss of appetite; then begins a diminishing of nerve power, the blood becomes disordered and the whole system is soon disorganized. Dr. Henley's Celery, Beef and Iron would restore the sufferer in a short time, as celery strengthens nerves, beef gives celery strengthens nerves, beel gives nourishment, and iron tones up the stomach.

face, the sunken eyes, the pallid com-plexion, indicates that there is some-thing wrong going on within. Expet the lurking foe to health. Ayer's Sar-saparilla was devised for that purpose, and does it.

AN END TO BONE SCRAPING.

Edward Shepherd, of Harrisburg, ills., says: "Having received so much senefit from Electric Bitters, I feel it by dinty to let suffering humanity anow it. Have had a running sore ou my leg for eight years; my doctors told ne I would have to have the bone eraped or leg amputated. I used, ostead, three bottles of Electric Bitters and seven boxes Bucklen's Arnica saive, and my leg is now sound and well."

Electric Bitters are sold at fifty cents a bottle, and Bucklen's Arnica Salve at 25c. per box at Z. C. M. 1. Drug Store.

DEATHS.

SCHEIR.—In the Twelfth Ward, of this eity, after an illness of a few days. John P. Scheib, aged 83 years.

DERRIOK.—In the 12th Ward of this city, Feb 17, 1885, of spinal affection, Mary, wife of Z. W. Derrick; born Aug. 12, 1812, in Langthrop, Yorkshire, Eng. 8the lived and ded a faithful Latter day Saint.

The funeral service will be held in the 12th Ward meeting house to-morrow, (Friday) at 12 m. Friends of the family invited to attend.

YEATES.—In the 20th Ward of this city (at YEATES.—In the 20th Ward of this city (at the residence of her son-in-law, Robert J. Ruight! Fobruary 17, 1885, of old age and dropsy. Mrs. Jane Yeates, relict of the late George Yeates. She was a native of Hereford-hire, England, where she embraced the Gospel in 1852, was for some time a member of the Buckley Branch, Birming-ham Conference, and migrated to Utah in 1885, being a faithful Latter-day Saint up to the last.

Funeral service to-morrow (Friday) at 2 p. m., at the 20th Ward meeting house.

ESTRAY NOTICE.

THAVE IN MY POSSESSION:

One dark red Cow and Calf. The Cow, ave years old, crop off lefteur, under but off right ear, branded it, inside circle, left hip, white star in forehead.

white star in forchead.

If not claimed in ten days will be sold at publicancion to the highest responsible bldder, at Woodruff Estray Pound, Rich Co., Utah Territors, on Tuesday, February 23d, 1885, at 10 o'clock a. m.

MAROUS C. VORSE, Estray Pound-keeper. Woodruff, Feb. 18, 1886.