

Ayes—Beardsley, Evans, Folland, Horn, Kelly, Loofbouro, Moran, Wantland—8.  
Noes—Hardy, Karrick, Rich, Simondi—4.

At the meeting of the City Council last night Horn offered a resolution providing that the street supervisor be authorized to offer a reward of \$25 for information that would lead to the conviction of any one who maliciously mutilates or destroys street signs.

Horn stated in support of the resolution that the new signs had cost the city about \$3500, and that they were being destroyed in all parts of the city by hoodlums and vandals. He wanted an example made of some one. Whom he did not care as long as he was guilty. He thought a reward would materially assist in bringing the culprits to justice.

Moran said the offering of a reward would simply have the effect of encouraging the boys to break the signs down. If they could get \$25 for squealing on a pal they would do it and in that way they would become pretty expensive to the city.

Rich said he had been a boy himself and he knew boys liked fun. He thought, however, that they should be dealt with when caught, but he was opposed to the resolution.

Kelly took the same view of the matter as Rich.

Simondi and Beardsley both thought the boys of Salt Lake were very bad and should be taught a lesson that they would not soon forget. The former declared that the signs were monuments of enterprise on the part of the Liberal administration and should be cared for and protected.

Folland said such remarks were entirely uncalled for from Mr. Simondi who was a new comer here. He was contracted in his views and warped in his judgment. Salt Lake City had street signs before Mr. Simondi set foot in Salt Lake and they were not placed in position by or at the expense of Liberals either. He thought the committee on improvements who perpetrated the monstrosity of erecting the new signs should be prosecuted instead of the boys whom they were seeking so hard to punish.

On motion of Hardy the resolution was amended so as to make the reward \$10 instead of \$25.

#### TO PROTECT THE ASPHALT.

A resolution by Horn prohibiting the building of bonfires upon any asphalt streets of the city was passed and the attorney was instructed to draw an ordinance to that effect.

#### BUILDING REPORT.

Lawson offered the following which was adopted:

Resolved, That the building inspector of Salt Lake city at once proceed to list and compile with his annual report for the year ending December 31, 1892, all those buildings erected or made during the present year in all those additions and subdivisions adjoining said city on the south and lying between tenth or Boper and Twelfth South streets. That for such services he be paid not to exceed \$30 for the time necessarily employed therein.

#### FOR NEW SIDEWALKS.

Ordinances levying special taxes for the construction of sidewalks were passed as follows:

On both sides of First South street between Eighth and Twelfth East streets. Tax \$1.20 per linear front foot.

On both sides of First South street between East and West Temple streets. Tax \$5.00 per linear front foot.

On both sides of First South street between East Temple and State streets. Tax \$5.00 per linear front foot.

On both sides of Second South street between East Temple and State streets. Tax \$5.00 per linear front foot.

#### THE CITY SHOULD FOOT THE BILL.

Hardy called attention to the claim of Dr. John Park for the breaking down of his fence and dumping gravel on his lot when the contractors were grading State street. It was stated that the matter was in the hands of the engineer. A report on the matter was called for to be presented at the next meeting.

#### HEISS AFTER WANTLAND.

Heiss moved that the committee on streets be reorganized as to place one councillorman from each precinct on the committee. Referred to the president.

#### THE PARK COMMISSION ORDINANCE

came up and was read the first time and after a long and tiresome discussion was laid on the table until the next meeting.

#### TO REMOVE COBBLESTONES.

Hardy introduced the following, which was adopted:

Resolved, That the street supervisor shall at once be authorized to have all cobblestones and other obstructions on Main street from South Temple to First South street and First South street from West Temple to First East street be removed at once as it is interfering with the business of the merchants on the above streets and that a bill for the expense of same be presented to the contractors for payment.

An adjourned session of the City Council was held last night. President Loofbouro being absent Horn was called to the chair. The members present were Rich, Folland, Hardy, Karrick, Evans, Beardsley, Heiss, Simondi, Kelly, Moran, Lawson—11.  
Absent—Loofbouro, Wantland, Bell—3.

The minutes of the three previous meetings were read, amended and approved, after which President Loofbouro entered and his chair was vacated for him by Mr. Horn.

Councillman Folland called attention to the fact that the communication from Ex-Deputy Recorder, which was rejected on Thursday night, appeared in the minutes and that Deputy Recorder Pro Tem Vandenberg had failed to read it.

President Loofbouro declared that such omissions made no difference, as there had really been no meeting of the City Council since Tuesday night. The session or alleged session held on Thursday night was informal and illegal. The charter provided that special meetings could be held only when a proper notice or call was issued.

Simondi said there certainly had been a meeting and he believed it was a legal one.

Beardsley said that the committee on sewers had not met on Thursday

and during their deliberations the councilmen had agreed to meet on Thursday night.

Folland moved that the vote whereby the minutes of Thursday night's meeting was approved be reconsidered in order that the Ellsworth communication might be expunged.

The question was discussed at length and was about to be passed when Mr. Folland asked the chair if it was proper, courteous or legal to read a portion of the minutes and omit the remainder.

The Chair—The minutes ought to be read in full. The entire record of the proceedings of the Council should come before it at its subsequent meeting for approval or disapproval.

Again there was an effort to pass the omitted part of the minutes when Rich sprung the point that only those portions of the minutes which were made were approved. It was, however, passed amidst a good deal of dissatisfaction.

#### A RECORDER PRO TEM.

Folland and President Loofbouro held a brief whispered consultation after which the announcement that a deputy recorder pro tem should be chosen in order to legalize the proceedings.

On motion of Heiss Clerk Vandenberg was so chosen.

Simondi stated that if the meeting of Tuesday night was illegal that Mr. Ellsworth was still deputy recorder and that the appointment of Mr. Vandenberg was superfluous, but the chair held otherwise.

#### THE PROCEEDINGS.

The regular business of the meeting was then taken up.

#### MATTERS REFERRED.

W. S. McCornick objected to the location of two electric light poles within the sidewalk curb in front of his building, and suggested that one pole located outside the curb at the corner would answer the purpose. Committee on streets with power to act.

W. S. McCornick presented a bill of \$117.06 for the sidewalk intersection at the McCornick block. Referred to board of public works.

F. M. Pinneo and others asked that Goltz street be graded and put in good condition. Committee on streets.

Harris asked that \$24.26 special sprinkling tax be refunded, on the ground that there had at no time during the sprinkling season been any streetsprinkling in the vicinity of his property. Committee on sprinkling.

#### MAYOR'S VETO MESSAGE.

The following was read:

To the President and City Council:

Gentlemen—The report of the committee on sewerage recommending the acceptance of the bid of Jones & Jacobs to furnish Worthington sewer pump and plant, adopted by the Council on the 11th inst., authorizes me to close a contract with Jones & Jacobs in accordance with this bid. I respectfully decline to execute this authority for the following reasons, to wit: The city does not own a foot of land at or near the point of the discharge of the sewer pipes connected with the present sewer plant, nor has it ever acquired any easement or right to discharge the sewerage where it has always been done and where it is contemplated that it shall continue to be so dis-