

DAVID O. CALDER,
EDITOR AND PUBLISHER.

Wednesday, April 26, 1876.

NEWS OF THE DAY.

A deplorable occurrence at Iowa City—two children, son and daughter of the Rev. L. F. Parker, drowned, and the father rendered crazy by the loss of his children.

Seventy thousand dollars loss by fire at Long Branch.

War propaganda between Nevada and California.

Norfolk at Brooklyn.

A successful operative festival was held at New York harbor.

The funeral of Madame Blane was attended by two hundred thousand people.

It is said that Mukhtar Pasha, the Turkish commander, has slain ten hundred men from the 13th to the 15th of the present month.

Two ex-lawyers have been arrested at St. Paul, Minn., charged with swindling the government to a large extent.

Dom Pedro and party reached San Francisco last night.

The Barbados riots are quieted.

Damage by moving ice yesterday in the Lower Ottawa River.

Extensive fire and considerable loss of life at Rouen, France.

It is now asserted that the insurrection in Algeria is not yet over.

McKee, one of the St. Louis crooked whiskey convicts, has been sentenced to two years in prison and to pay \$10,000.

Denmark is excited over an election to the lower house of parliament, from the fact that a large opposition majority to the measure for which the last house was dissolved has been returned.

The French Atlantic cable is broken.

EDITORIAL NOTES.

Bold, bright, and brassy, Blane's political opponents allow him to be. But the New York World suggests to him that, when he engages in controversy with trained lawyers, a little knowledge is necessary as well as cheek.

A jury in Carroll County, Ga., brought in the following verdict: "We, the jury, agree to disagree." The judge thereupon agreed to inform them that their verdict would cost them just five dollars each.

A Canadian paper makes it out that Thompson, the dynamite fiend, was a Scotchman, named Keith, from Dunkeld, Perthshire.

Dr. Pusey says that it was the doctrine of the doctrine of the immaculate conception—which, he thinks, led to the enunciation of Papal infallibility—that caused him to abandon the dream of his life, Eirene, or the restoration of what he conceived to be the theology which the Anglo-Catholic school had learned from the fathers.

The Washington special to the St. Louis Republican talks of waste in the Treasury in this way: "By the report of contingent expenses of the Treasury Department for the last fiscal year it appears that 119 daily papers were taken and paid for by the department for the several high officials; that 20,800 street-car tickets were bought for the use of the clerks, and that during nine months of the year there was paid \$9,914.57 to maintain the treasury laundry, and between \$1,300 and \$1,400 each month to meet the pay roll of the cabinet shop."

The Pittsburgh Post takes a cheerful view of the situation as to the amount of honesty in the republic—"Honest men are not the rule and the honest exception in this country. It is true that for the past few years honest men have been the exception in the various offices under the Federal Administration, but that fact does not justify the assumption that there are no honest men in the country. The people must see that thieves in office are made a very rare exception."

The San Francisco Chronicle speaks of the charges against President Grant about that money spent in New York election matters as "the crowning scandal." In head lines, and begins to comment in this strain: "Can it be that all virtue has departed from the land? Is there no integrity remaining among those filling official stations in this great Republic? Must we admit that our entire civil service, from the Chief Executive down to the lowest tide waiter, is tainted with corruption? They indeed, will our first Centennial Celebration be our last, and we commemorate the rise and the fall of the Republic at one time."

A NOBLE PURSUE.

PATRICK DONOHUE, of the Boston Pilot, lately became bankrupt. In addition to carrying on the newspaper, he did a private banking business, in which he received on deposit about \$75,000, from hard-working men and women in sums of \$5 and upwards. The confidence these poor people reposed in his integrity was ill placed. Patrick deceived them, and put their savings in his own name, which resulted in a large amount of sorrow and suffering to the defrauded depositors.

The pitiful stories of these poor, wronged people were detailed in the ears of Archbishop Williams and the priests of Boston, and he determined to apply himself to their relief. He bought the Pilot, put \$50,000 into the business, and designed to turn more money in his behalf. Though in no way responsible for the debt of the former owner, the archbishop proposed to pay every dollar due to the poor people who deposited money with Donohue.

SOME OF CHRISTIANITY'S OBNOXIOUS PROVISIONS.

Without discussing in detail the various provisions in Christianity's bill to "regulate" elections and the franchise in Utah, we may briefly notice a few of the most obnoxious.

1. It does not appear to us to be a judicious provision to place the printing of all ballots in the hands of the Secretary or the Governor.

In this country civil officials are almost always politicians, with political partialities and prejudices, and they will favor their particular party where it is possible. It seems to us it would be far better for the style of the ballot and envelope, if secret ballot is to be the law, and leave the printing of them to each county or precinct for its own use.

2. Requiring voters to produce their naturalization certificate if challenged, and also those naturalized in this Territory to produce manuscripts of the relevant court records, can hardly be approved.

If a man carries his certificate with him to the polls, and there is any question of its genuineness, it is a character going on there, and often the case, he will be liable to lose his certificate. As to a transcript of the court record, it seems to be a needless expense and trouble to put every voter to. For no voter will be certain that he will not be challenged, and if he presumes that he will not be, he should have his certificate with him.

3. It is impossible for him to go straightway to the court clerk and obtain a transcript of the record in time to take it to the polls. It is said that these votes would be thrown away, lost, through the obstructive operation of red tape.

4. Public counting of the ballots in the polling rooms. Everybody knows that toward the close of an election day, when whiskey begins to circulate and inflame the bad passions of men, proceedings of a boisterous and even riotous character are not infrequent, and this enforced public counting of the ballots, after the polling has closed, in the room absolutely open to the public, will be likely to encourage riotous demonstrations and possibly stimulate to violent mobocratic attempts upon the ballot box.

5. In regard to the qualifications of voters. The provision that any male citizen of the United States, twenty-one years old, six months resident in the Territory, and ten days resident in the precinct, can vote, is not good. In case of an apprehended close election, numbers of unpunished voters would not scruple to pay other unpunished voters sufficient to board and lodge them ten days in any precinct, immediately previous to any election, and they might have an enduring interest in the precinct, or even in the Territory. A regular head a day, or less even, would do it, making ten dollars a voter, not a great sum for some people to raise for such a purpose.

6. Another obnoxious provision is that a man who never was a soldier in the war, or who was a soldier in the war, but who was not in the war, shall be entitled to vote. This is a provision which is a head a day, or less even, would do it, making ten dollars a voter, not a great sum for some people to raise for such a purpose.

7. Citizens living on cohabiting with one who is excluded from the polls, and who is a civil officer. This is a provision which is a head a day, or less even, would do it, making ten dollars a voter, not a great sum for some people to raise for such a purpose.

8. Another obnoxious provision is that a man who never was a soldier in the war, or who was a soldier in the war, but who was not in the war, shall be entitled to vote. This is a provision which is a head a day, or less even, would do it, making ten dollars a voter, not a great sum for some people to raise for such a purpose.

9. Another obnoxious provision is that a man who never was a soldier in the war, or who was a soldier in the war, but who was not in the war, shall be entitled to vote. This is a provision which is a head a day, or less even, would do it, making ten dollars a voter, not a great sum for some people to raise for such a purpose.

10. Another obnoxious provision is that a man who never was a soldier in the war, or who was a soldier in the war, but who was not in the war, shall be entitled to vote. This is a provision which is a head a day, or less even, would do it, making ten dollars a voter, not a great sum for some people to raise for such a purpose.

11. Another obnoxious provision is that a man who never was a soldier in the war, or who was a soldier in the war, but who was not in the war, shall be entitled to vote. This is a provision which is a head a day, or less even, would do it, making ten dollars a voter, not a great sum for some people to raise for such a purpose.

12. Another obnoxious provision is that a man who never was a soldier in the war, or who was a soldier in the war, but who was not in the war, shall be entitled to vote. This is a provision which is a head a day, or less even, would do it, making ten dollars a voter, not a great sum for some people to raise for such a purpose.

13. Another obnoxious provision is that a man who never was a soldier in the war, or who was a soldier in the war, but who was not in the war, shall be entitled to vote. This is a provision which is a head a day, or less even, would do it, making ten dollars a voter, not a great sum for some people to raise for such a purpose.

14. Another obnoxious provision is that a man who never was a soldier in the war, or who was a soldier in the war, but who was not in the war, shall be entitled to vote. This is a provision which is a head a day, or less even, would do it, making ten dollars a voter, not a great sum for some people to raise for such a purpose.

15. Another obnoxious provision is that a man who never was a soldier in the war, or who was a soldier in the war, but who was not in the war, shall be entitled to vote. This is a provision which is a head a day, or less even, would do it, making ten dollars a voter, not a great sum for some people to raise for such a purpose.

16. Another obnoxious provision is that a man who never was a soldier in the war, or who was a soldier in the war, but who was not in the war, shall be entitled to vote. This is a provision which is a head a day, or less even, would do it, making ten dollars a voter, not a great sum for some people to raise for such a purpose.

17. Another obnoxious provision is that a man who never was a soldier in the war, or who was a soldier in the war, but who was not in the war, shall be entitled to vote. This is a provision which is a head a day, or less even, would do it, making ten dollars a voter, not a great sum for some people to raise for such a purpose.

18. Another obnoxious provision is that a man who never was a soldier in the war, or who was a soldier in the war, but who was not in the war, shall be entitled to vote. This is a provision which is a head a day, or less even, would do it, making ten dollars a voter, not a great sum for some people to raise for such a purpose.

19. Another obnoxious provision is that a man who never was a soldier in the war, or who was a soldier in the war, but who was not in the war, shall be entitled to vote. This is a provision which is a head a day, or less even, would do it, making ten dollars a voter, not a great sum for some people to raise for such a purpose.

20. Another obnoxious provision is that a man who never was a soldier in the war, or who was a soldier in the war, but who was not in the war, shall be entitled to vote. This is a provision which is a head a day, or less even, would do it, making ten dollars a voter, not a great sum for some people to raise for such a purpose.

21. Another obnoxious provision is that a man who never was a soldier in the war, or who was a soldier in the war, but who was not in the war, shall be entitled to vote. This is a provision which is a head a day, or less even, would do it, making ten dollars a voter, not a great sum for some people to raise for such a purpose.

22. Another obnoxious provision is that a man who never was a soldier in the war, or who was a soldier in the war, but who was not in the war, shall be entitled to vote. This is a provision which is a head a day, or less even, would do it, making ten dollars a voter, not a great sum for some people to raise for such a purpose.

23. Another obnoxious provision is that a man who never was a soldier in the war, or who was a soldier in the war, but who was not in the war, shall be entitled to vote. This is a provision which is a head a day, or less even, would do it, making ten dollars a voter, not a great sum for some people to raise for such a purpose.

24. Another obnoxious provision is that a man who never was a soldier in the war, or who was a soldier in the war, but who was not in the war, shall be entitled to vote. This is a provision which is a head a day, or less even, would do it, making ten dollars a voter, not a great sum for some people to raise for such a purpose.

25. Another obnoxious provision is that a man who never was a soldier in the war, or who was a soldier in the war, but who was not in the war, shall be entitled to vote. This is a provision which is a head a day, or less even, would do it, making ten dollars a voter, not a great sum for some people to raise for such a purpose.

26. Another obnoxious provision is that a man who never was a soldier in the war, or who was a soldier in the war, but who was not in the war, shall be entitled to vote. This is a provision which is a head a day, or less even, would do it, making ten dollars a voter, not a great sum for some people to raise for such a purpose.

27. Another obnoxious provision is that a man who never was a soldier in the war, or who was a soldier in the war, but who was not in the war, shall be entitled to vote. This is a provision which is a head a day, or less even, would do it, making ten dollars a voter, not a great sum for some people to raise for such a purpose.

28. Another obnoxious provision is that a man who never was a soldier in the war, or who was a soldier in the war, but who was not in the war, shall be entitled to vote. This is a provision which is a head a day, or less even, would do it, making ten dollars a voter, not a great sum for some people to raise for such a purpose.

29. Another obnoxious provision is that a man who never was a soldier in the war, or who was a soldier in the war, but who was not in the war, shall be entitled to vote. This is a provision which is a head a day, or less even, would do it, making ten dollars a voter, not a great sum for some people to raise for such a purpose.

30. Another obnoxious provision is that a man who never was a soldier in the war, or who was a soldier in the war, but who was not in the war, shall be entitled to vote. This is a provision which is a head a day, or less even, would do it, making ten dollars a voter, not a great sum for some people to raise for such a purpose.

31. Another obnoxious provision is that a man who never was a soldier in the war, or who was a soldier in the war, but who was not in the war, shall be entitled to vote. This is a provision which is a head a day, or less even, would do it, making ten dollars a voter, not a great sum for some people to raise for such a purpose.

32. Another obnoxious provision is that a man who never was a soldier in the war, or who was a soldier in the war, but who was not in the war, shall be entitled to vote. This is a provision which is a head a day, or less even, would do it, making ten dollars a voter, not a great sum for some people to raise for such a purpose.

33. Another obnoxious provision is that a man who never was a soldier in the war, or who was a soldier in the war, but who was not in the war, shall be entitled to vote. This is a provision which is a head a day, or less even, would do it, making ten dollars a voter, not a great sum for some people to raise for such a purpose.

34. Another obnoxious provision is that a man who never was a soldier in the war, or who was a soldier in the war, but who was not in the war, shall be entitled to vote. This is a provision which is a head a day, or less even, would do it, making ten dollars a voter, not a great sum for some people to raise for such a purpose.

35. Another obnoxious provision is that a man who never was a soldier in the war, or who was a soldier in the war, but who was not in the war, shall be entitled to vote. This is a provision which is a head a day, or less even, would do it, making ten dollars a voter, not a great sum for some people to raise for such a purpose.

36. Another obnoxious provision is that a man who never was a soldier in the war, or who was a soldier in the war, but who was not in the war, shall be entitled to vote. This is a provision which is a head a day, or less even, would do it, making ten dollars a voter, not a great sum for some people to raise for such a purpose.

37. Another obnoxious provision is that a man who never was a soldier in the war, or who was a soldier in the war, but who was not in the war, shall be entitled to vote. This is a provision which is a head a day, or less even, would do it, making ten dollars a voter, not a great sum for some people to raise for such a purpose.

DIVERSION OF THE UTAH TERRITORY.

Proceedings of the U. S. Senate, the Pacific Association, April 18, 1876.

The bill to amend the act of March 3, 1875, relating to the division of the Territory of Utah, was introduced by Mr. Edmunds.

The bill was read twice and passed by a vote of 21 yeas and 12 nays.

The bill was then read a third time and passed by a vote of 21 yeas and 12 nays.

The bill was then read a fourth time and passed by a vote of 21 yeas and 12 nays.

The bill was then read a fifth time and passed by a vote of 21 yeas and 12 nays.

The bill was then read a sixth time and passed by a vote of 21 yeas and 12 nays.

The bill was then read a seventh time and passed by a vote of 21 yeas and 12 nays.

The bill was then read an eighth time and passed by a vote of 21 yeas and 12 nays.

The bill was then read a ninth time and passed by a vote of 21 yeas and 12 nays.

The bill was then read a tenth time and passed by a vote of 21 yeas and 12 nays.

The bill was then read an eleventh time and passed by a vote of 21 yeas and 12 nays.

The bill was then read a twelfth time and passed by a vote of 21 yeas and 12 nays.

The bill was then read a thirteenth time and passed by a vote of 21 yeas and 12 nays.

The bill was then read a fourteenth time and passed by a vote of 21 yeas and 12 nays.

The bill was then read a fifteenth time and passed by a vote of 21 yeas and 12 nays.

The bill was then read a sixteenth time and passed by a vote of 21 yeas and 12 nays.

The bill was then read a seventeenth time and passed by a vote of 21 yeas and 12 nays.

The bill was then read an eighteenth time and passed by a vote of 21 yeas and 12 nays.

The bill was then read a nineteenth time and passed by a vote of 21 yeas and 12 nays.

The bill was then read a twentieth time and passed by a vote of 21 yeas and 12 nays.

The bill was then read a twenty-first time and passed by a vote of 21 yeas and 12 nays.

The bill was then read a twenty-second time and passed by a vote of 21 yeas and 12 nays.

The bill was then read a twenty-third time and passed by a vote of 21 yeas and 12 nays.

The bill was then read a twenty-fourth time and passed by a vote of 21 yeas and 12 nays.

The bill was then read a twenty-fifth time and passed by a vote of 21 yeas and 12 nays.

The bill was then read a twenty-sixth time and passed by a vote of 21 yeas and 12 nays.

The bill was then read a twenty-seventh time and passed by a vote of 21 yeas and 12 nays.

The bill was then read a twenty-eighth time and passed by a vote of 21 yeas and 12 nays.

The bill was then read a twenty-ninth time and passed by a vote of 21 yeas and 12 nays.

The bill was then read a thirtieth time and passed by a vote of 21 yeas and 12 nays.

The bill was then read a thirty-first time and passed by a vote of 21 yeas and 12 nays.

The bill was then read a thirty-second time and passed by a vote of 21 yeas and 12 nays.

The bill was then read a thirty-third time and passed by a vote of 21 yeas and 12 nays.

The bill was then read a thirty-fourth time and passed by a vote of 21 yeas and 12 nays.

The bill was then read a thirty-fifth time and passed by a vote of 21 yeas and 12 nays.

The bill was then read a thirty-sixth time and passed by a vote of 21 yeas and 12 nays.

The bill was then read a thirty-seventh time and passed by a vote of 21 yeas and 12 nays.

The bill was then read a thirty-eighth time and passed by a vote of 21 yeas and 12 nays.

The bill was then read a thirty-ninth time and passed by a vote of 21 yeas and 12 nays.

THE ALGERIAN QUESTION.

Speaking at Lyons in the Chamber of Deputies, M. Gambetta, Minister of War, declared that the Algerian question was a matter of life and death for France.

M. Gambetta declared that the Algerian question was a matter of life and death for France.

M. Gambetta declared that the Algerian question was a matter of life and death for France.

M. Gambetta declared that the Algerian question was a matter of life and death for France.

M. Gambetta declared that the Algerian question was a matter of life and death for France.

M. Gambetta declared that the Algerian question was a matter of life and death for France.

M. Gambetta declared that the Algerian question was a matter of life and death for France.

M. Gambetta declared that the Algerian question was a matter of life and death for France.

M. Gambetta declared that the Algerian question was a matter of life and death for France.

M. Gambetta declared that the Algerian question was a matter of life and death for France.

M. Gambetta declared that the Algerian question was a matter of life and death for France.

M. Gambetta declared that the Algerian question was a matter of life and death for France.

M. Gambetta declared that the Algerian question was a matter of life and death for France.

M. Gambetta declared that the Algerian question was a matter of life and death for France.

M. Gambetta declared that the Algerian question was a matter of life and death for France.

M. Gambetta declared that the Algerian question was a matter of life and death for France.

M. Gambetta declared that the Algerian question was a matter of life and death for France.

M. Gambetta declared that the Algerian question was a matter of life and death for France.

M. Gambetta declared that the Algerian question was a matter of life and death for France.

M. Gambetta declared that the Algerian question was a matter of life and death for France.

M. Gambetta declared that the Algerian question was a matter of life and death for France.

M. Gambetta declared that the Algerian question was a matter of life and death for France.

M. Gambetta declared that the Algerian question was a matter of life and death for France.

M. Gambetta declared that the Algerian question was a matter of life and death for France.

M. Gambetta declared that the Algerian question was a matter of life and death for France.

M. Gambetta declared that the Algerian question was a matter of life and death for France.

M. Gambetta declared that the Algerian question was a matter of life and death for France.

M. Gambetta declared that the Algerian question was a matter of life and death for France.

M. Gambetta declared that the Algerian question was a matter of life and death for France.

M. Gambetta declared that the Algerian question was a matter of life and death for France.

M. Gambetta declared that the Algerian question was a matter of life and death for France.

M. Gambetta declared that the Algerian question was a matter of life and death for France.

M. Gambetta declared that the Algerian question was a matter of life and death for France.

M. Gambetta declared that the Algerian question was a matter of life and death for France.

M. Gambetta declared that the Algerian question was a matter of life and death for France.

M. Gambetta declared that the Algerian question was a matter of life and death for France.

M. Gambetta declared that the Algerian question was a matter of life and death for France.

M. Gambetta declared that the Algerian question was a matter of life and death for France.

M. Gambetta declared that the Algerian question was a matter of life and death for France.

M. Gambetta declared that the Algerian question was a matter of life and death for France.

RETAIL DEPARTMENT.

Z. C. M.

We take great pleasure in announcing to our Patrons and the Public that our Stock of Dry Goods is complete in Every Department, with specialities in all classes of goods, consisting in part of

Fancy Dress Goods, Silk Plaid Pongee, Crepe de Chine Indow, French Carmelite, Brilliantine Franoise, Pure Mohair Mattlasse.

Together with every conceivable style, color and quality.

MOURNING DRESS GOODS A SPECIALTY.

And GRADADINES in every quality.

Spring and Summer Shawls in E.L.M., Printed and Woven, Plaids and Stripes, Single and Double Paisley, (new designs), Lace and Grenadine, a full line of modest and new French styles.

LADIES' UNDER SKIRTS

In variety, including the latest Desca, Ceres, Dido, Elfreida and Achille, at \$4 50.

WHITE GOODS

Pique in endless assortment, Striped, Check, Plaid and Figured; Marseilles, Victoria Lawns, plain and figured, Bishop Lawns, French Organdies, Taffetas, &c., plain and figured Swiss Muslin.

PRINTS in great quantities.

Of French, English & American manufacture, at the lowest prices.