A RECEIVER GRANTED.

Sophistical Argument of the Territorial Supreme Court.

WHOLESALE CONFISCATION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE LATTER-DAY SAINTS ENDORSED.

The session of the Territorial Su-preme Court on Saturday evening, was iargely attended, there being present being the self of the members of the Salt Lake bar, Col. Broadhead, Mrs. M. M. Ricker, Judge Dusen-berry, Mayor Dusenberry, Mayor Armstrong, Bishop J. R. Winder, Judge E. A. Smith, Alderman Robert Patrick and many others. The three pated decision on the question of ap-pointing a receiver in the suit of the great by Chief Justice Zane as follows: THE OPINION. THE OPINION.

In the Supreme Court of the Territory of Utah, June term, 1887.

United States of America, Plaintiff,

vs. The Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints et al., Defendants.

Zane C. J.

Zane C. J. The complainant filed in this Court its bill in chancery under an act of Congress in force March 3d, 1837. The bill prayed that a decree be made by this Court forfeiting the charter and dissolving the corporation known as the Church ol Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints, as well as for the appoint-ment of a receiver of the assets of the corporation, until disposition could be made thereof according to law, and for other relief. The motion for the appointment of a receiver is now sub-mitted for our decision, on the bill aud the facts as stated in a stipulation eu-tered into by the parties and filed in the case. the case.

the case. On the Sth day of Eebruary, 1851, the Assembly of the so-called State of Deseret, afterwards organized as the Territory of Utah, passed an ordinance incorporating the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saluts. After the organization of the Territory of Utah, this ordinance was re-enacted January 18th, 1855, by the Legislature and ap-proved by the Governot of the Terri-tory. This is

THE CHARTER

in question; its terms are as follows: An ordinance incorporating the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints.

An ordinance incorporating the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints. SEC 1. Be it ordained by the General Association of the inhabitants of said State of Deseret: That all that portion of the inhabitants of said State of ordered the control of the inhabitants of said State of ordered the control of the inhabitants of said State of Deseret: That all that portion of the inhabitants of said State of Deseret: That all that portion of the inhabitants of said State of Deseret: The Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints." are hereby in corporate, with perpetant studied, constituted, made and deside the original name and deside of the original name and the desered of the original name and succession, under the original name and the desered of the second or special or the second or special or special or special or special or the second or special or special or special the second or special or special or special or special or the second state or the second or special or special or special or the second or special for the second or special for the second or special the second of their several during the personal property state or the second shift for the second or special for the second oresistant trustees when approved secue and assistant trustees when

morality, and are not inconsistent with, or repugnant to the Constitution of the United States, or of this State, and are founded in the revelations of the Lord. SEC. 4. And be it further ordained: That and Church shall keep at every fully organ-ized branch or stake, a registry of mar-ringes, births and deaths, free for the in-spection of all members and for their bene-ft. SEC 5. And he it further, ordained: That

fit. SEC. 5. And be is further ordained: That, the presidency of said Church shall fill all vacuacies of the assistant trastees, neces-sary to be filled, until superseded by the conference of said Church. SEC. 6. He is further ordained: That no as-sistant trustee or trustees shall transact business in relation to buying, selling, or otherwise disposing of Church property, without the consect or approval of the trustee in-trust of said Church.

The purposes of the corporation as indicated by the powers conferred up-on it by this charter, are numerous and varied. Some of them, it is true, are expressed in vague terms, but the capacity is granted to act in varions ways, and to make laws and regula-tions with respect to very many sub-jects. The corporation is coningd to no particular purpose. No precedent can be found for conferring upon a private corporation such a variety of capacities; some of them, it is believed, are above the reach of are above the reach of

HUMAN LAWS.

are above the reach of HUMAN LAWS.| The lawmaking power of the State, for the purpose of oetter government and for the public igood enacts char-ters conferring a portion of the powers entrusted to it, upon the people of a city or village; others anthorizing charitable, educational, or religious fastitutions; and others provided in order that the people may more con-veniently and successfully co-operate for the goodlof all and for the advance-ment of human happiness. The charter of a corporation should always specify the purpose for which the corporation is organized, and powers adapted to that purpose should be granted. If the corporation is to be a public one powers adapted to the regulations of conduct and to public purposes should be given, with such incidental capacity to do business as may be esential to such an organiza-Jon, and no more. It should never be allowed to engage in general business. If a charter authorizes the organiza-tion of a company to acquire and oper-ate a railread, the power to engage in agriculture should not be granted also. So, if a worshiping congregation should desire to purchasse a lot of ground and to So, if a worshiping congregation should desire to purchase a lot of ground and to

BUILD & CHURCH

BUILD A CHURCH and a parsonage, and to employ a min-ister, the charter should authorize the corporation to do so, but should not confor upon such an organization powers adapted to municipal govern-ment, or to the purchase and sale of real and personal property without limit, nor should such corporations engage in general business. It is an accepted doctrine that the common weal demands that private corporations should be limited each to a particular and specified purpose; even when so limited, they often acquire great infinence. If the Legislature, by the instrumentality of the same char-ter may authorize the organization of a company. for all purposes, if the company may enter upon every field of enterprise and engage in every pur-suit and may also control human con-duct by means of the powers of a mei-nicipal government, and at the same time may possess those of a relig-ious corporation, such comporte in-fluence will be manifested as never be-fore. The charter of the Church of Jesus

fluence will be manifested as never be-fore. The charter of the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints is most extraordinary in the extent of the au-thority it assumes to confer upon, and n ithe number, the variety and the scope of the power it places in the hands of a religious body. It declares, in effect, that all the Mormon people, who at the time of its enactment were, or who might afterwards become res-idents of the Territory, are a body cor-porate, with

PERPETUAL SUCCESSION.

PERPETUAL SUCCESSION. This corporation at the time of its organization embraced nine-tenths of the inhabiliants of the Territory-many thousands of people. At the present it includes probably more than 120,000, and if, is the future, people should continue to be gathered in from all quarters of the globe as they have in the past, their number at no distant day will reach a quarter of a million. The corporation extends over the

taxation; the authority to solemnize taxation; the authority to solemnize marriage according to revelation; the continuous and inherent authority to make laws and "criterions" for the good order, safety, government, con-venience, comfort and control of the Church, which is equivalent to saving the Mormon people; also for the pun-ishment or furgiveness of all offenses

RELATIVE TO MEMBERSHIP

RELATIVE TO MEMBERSHIP sccording to Church covenants; that is to say, the church may impose or in-flict any punishment, if according to its covenants; what those covenants may be the puolic may not know. Further, it is declared that the en-foyment of life in every capacity of public association, domestic happiness, and temporal expansion upon the earth, may not legally be questioned. Here is a wide field of human conduct for a government to agree not to ques-tion. Human beings have the capacity to associate publicly for very many purposes and such association may be-come disorderly and, require legal con-trol. In "domestic happiness" this Church professes to believe, is includ-ed polygamy; in the estimation of others domestic happiness might in-clude some other practice injurious to society. In the same manaer "tem-poral expansion" might take a direc-ture. The traver was followed by

poral expansion" might take a direc-tion requiring control. This grant of power was followed by a proviso that the laws and customs established should "relate to solemni-tles, sacraments, ceremonies, consecra-tions, endowments, tithing, marriages, fellowship or the religious duties of man to his Maker; and that the same support virtue and increase morality and are not inconsistent with or re-pugnaut to the Constitution of the United States or of this State, and are founded in the

REVELATIONS OF THE LORD."

REVELATIONS OF THE LORD." The above terms, solemnities, sac-raments, ceremonies, consecrations and endowments may be polygamy and unlawful cohabitation in disguise; in fact marriage is included in terms in the charter without specification of the kind of marriage. This is probably the first time that any Legislature committed the regulation of marriage and tithing to a private corporation. It is safe to assume that the right to regulate such matters was never before attempted to be contracted away to a church or any other body of men. Nor are we aware that the right to regulate man's duty to his Maker was ever in-cluded in a contract. And finally this charter provides that such laws and customs shall be founded in the reve-lations of the Lord. This too, prob-ably, is the first time that a legislature expressly limited the rules and laws that a corporation might make by the revelations of the Lord, and made a grant thereof to any person, natural or artificial. In this charter the respondent insists the Church gained

In this charter the respondent insists

the Church gained

A VESTED RIGHT

A VESTED RIGHT upon its acceptance, and that Congress has no right to disapprove or to samuli it. We know of no precedent lor holding that a corporation could ob-tain a vested right in a charter like this. Had the Territorial Legislature the power to grants vested right to such a charter? The case of Dart-worth Cellege vs. Woodward (4 Whea-ton, 518,) has been regarded as settillar the question that the charter of a pri-vate corporation constitutes a con-tract between a State and a corpora-tion. By this contract the corpora-tion in consideration of presumed benefits to the public obtains a vested right in the charter, unless the Con-situation or a general law of the State or the charter itself, reserves the right to amend or reppeat. But we find ho case holding that a charter granted by the quested right. — Main, it will be found, we think, that the powers granted by a State is such charters were dight. — Main, it will be found, we think, that the powers granted by a State is such charters were of government, to be exercised by that body alone, or conferred upon some municipal gov-ernment for the same purpose. Such powers are never granted by the peo-powers are never granted by the peo-proment will of the people must ever keep such authority within reach of THE PEOPLE'S WILL.

<text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text>

TO DISTANT AGES.

But we are of the opinion that a vested right could not be acquired in such a charter.

right could not be acquired in such a charter. Further, Congress possesses the power to enact laws for the govern-ment of the Territories. It may make provision for Territorial governments and extend the authority of Territorial legislatures to all rightful subjects of legislation. Such Territorial govern-ments occupy toward Congress some-thing of the same relation as munici-palities-such as city governments-nil toward the State Legislatures. A State Legislature can repeal the char-ter of a municipal government and the ordinances passed under it; so Con-gress can repeal the organic act of a Territory and all territorial enact-ments, in pursuance of the OrganicAct. Congress is the sovereign power to legislate for the Territorial Legislatures must be held to have been accepted with the knowledge that Congress pos-sessed the authority to CHANGE OR REPEAL

CHANGE OR REPEAL

the law creating them. In the case of the National Bank vs. Yankton, 101 U. S., 129, the Court says:

S., 129, the Court says: The Territorics are but political subdi-visions of the outlying dominion of the United States, • • • and Congress may legislate for them as a State does for its municipal organizations. • • In the Yrganic Act of Dakota there was not an express reservation of power in Con-gress to amend the acts of the Territorial Legislature, nor was it necessary. Such a power is an incident of sovreignty, and continues, until granted away. Congress may not only abrogate haws of the Territo-rial Legislature, busit may itself legislate directly for the local government. It may make a void act of the Territorial Legisla-ture valid and a valid set void. In other words, it has full and complete legislature authority over the people of the Territories rind all the departments of the Territories what the people, junder the Constitution of the United States, may do for the States. This we conceive to be the law upon

This we conceive to be the law upon

This we concerve to be the law upper the subject. In the case under discussion- the Territory was organized under the Or-ganic Act approved September 9th, 1850. Among other provisions is the following:

That the legislative power of said Terri-tory shall extend to all rightful subjects of legislation consistent with the Constitution of the United States and the provisions of this act.

this act. All the laws passed by the Legislative As-sembly and Governor shall be submitted to the Congress of the United States, and if disapproved shall be nall and to no effect.

disapproved shall be nall and to no effect. The charter in question was a law passed by the Legislative Assembly, and the right to disapprove it was ex-pressly reserved, and the Church must be held to have accepted it with the knowledge of the reserved right of disapproval. That being so, the Church will not be heard to say that it was

ACCEPTED WITHOUT CONDITIONS.

ACCRPTED WITHOUT CONDITIONS. In order to maintain that the charter when accepted became a contract binding on Congress it is necessary to assume that the acceptance was un conditional; otherwise it is simply an act of the Legislature giving to the corporation the use of the authority contained in it during the pleasure of Congress. The acceptance of the charter subject to disapproval could make the charter no more than a license to the corperation to use the authority granted during the pleasure of Congress. We are of the opinion therefore, from a view of the whole subject, both from the nature of the powers granted by the charter itself, and from the form of the grant and of the accept-ance that the acceptance did not give the corporation a vested right in it. But, assuming that the acceptance of the charter did not give a vested right in it, the claim is made that the sec-ond section of an act of Congress ap-proved July ist, 1862, made the char-ter as thereby limited a: LAW OF THE UNITED STATES,

LAW OF THE UNITED STATES

and not subject thereafter to disap-proval or appeal. The section is this:

Nov. 9

that it shall not affect the right a property legally acquired under the charter; second, so as not to interfe-with the right to worship God accord-ing to the dictates of conscience; third so as to annul only all acts and law which establish, maintain, protect o contenance the practice of polygamy, evasively called spiritual marriage however disguised by legal or eacles, astical solemnitile, sacraments, cere-mones, consecrations or other con-trivances. The enacting clause was no limited to the proviso in the two re spects first mentioned, because the clause simply repealed the charter with out interfering with the rights of prop-erty which had been acquired under in nor did it interfere with the right of worship God according to the dictates of conscience. And it is not clear the hist hourd limitation mentioned lef standing any portion of the charter it is expressly stated that the in-tention of the law was to annul a laws contenancing polygamy or soli-tual marriage, though appearing in t-haw disguised by the name of a solem, nity, a sacrament, a ceremony, a co-secration, or under any other contri-ance: and under just such marks as these, we think, <u>POYGGMY</u> these, we think,

POLYGAMY

does appear in the charter of the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints. With all such disguises and contrivances stripped from this char-ter little commentically specified and ter, little, comparatively speaking, is left of it. But assuming that the expressed in

left of it. But assuming that the expressed in-tent to annul all acts countenacule polygamy left other provisions in forces was the remainder of the charter mad-an act of Congress? Such an intention is not expressed. It must have been so made by implication. From the pron-slous of the act of 1862 it is clear that Congress did not regard the charter as a contract, otherwise it would nothave changed its provisions. We may as-sume that Congress changed the char-ter according to its conceptions of duty at the time, with the understand-ing that it might be changed or disap-proval. We do not think that the ac-of Congress of 1862 affords the later ence that somuch of the charter as re-mained in force was in effect a law of build by interview of Wisconsin chartered the Numer of Wisconsin chartered the Miners' Bank. Atterwards an act of Congress annulied the charter in cer-tin particulars, but left other of la-gress annulied the charter in cer-tin particulars, but left other of la-provisions in force. Thereafter the TERRITORY OF IOWA

TERRITORY OF IOWA

gress and the TERRITORY OF IOWA was erected over that part of the for-mer Territory of Wisconsin, in whi-the bank was situated. Later, in Territorial Legislature of Iowa is settlement of the affairs of the con-ration by trustces under the sup-situation by trustces under the sup-situation by trustces under the sup-structure used this language: The According the case on apus-tion by trustces under the sup-structure used this language: The According the case on apus-tion by trustces under the sup-structure used this language: The According the case of the affairs forment of Wisconsin and Iowa reserved to themselves the power of disapprox-and thereby annulling the acts of the pro-sions of the charter of the Bank of Dubres-escenting to the residue; that, therefore in confering upon them powers of the for-ritorial government. Conference of the pro-sine of the charter of the Bank of Dubres-prodecing of the Bank of Dubres-prodecing of the Bank of Dubres-prover, stricken out several of the for-ritorial government. Conference of the sup-sine of the charter of the Bank of Dubres-prover of this bank should be regarded a truther bank is details and power of all acts proceeding from those power whills, for considerations equally structure they reserved the power of disapprox-toring of annulling such acts of the proving or annulling such acts of the proving or annulling such acts of the sector of this bank is could be therefore the the truther of the Bank of Dubres-proving or annulling such acts of the sector of the sector of the starts. The charter of the Bank of Dubres-toring the tructure of Wisconsin, must be the Legislature of Wisconsin, must be to block of upon as the creature of the the Legislature of Wisconsin, must be to block of upon as the creature of the the Legislature of the sector of the start the the theorem is the tructure is the the the theorem is the tructure is the the the theorem is the tructure is the the there is the the the the

SEC. 17. That the acts of the Legislative Assembly of the Territory of Utab meet