

D. A. & M. SOCIETY.

Transaction of Business Connected with the Territorial Fair.

The Board of Directors of the Deseret Agricultural and Manufacturing Society held another meeting last evening at the City Hall. The following members were present: John R. Winder, J. H. Moyle, Charles Read, Hector W. Haight, Francis Armstrong, A. L. Thomas, William H. Rowe, Nelson A. Empey, Elias A. Smith.

The bids obtained by Architect Kletting for the floor in the main hall and the close board fence around the grounds were approved and the work ordered.

The President announced the following as the standing committees of the Society:

- Agriculture—Francis Armstrong, E. M. Weller, Hector W. Haight.
- Horticulture—Arthur L. Thomas, Nelson A. Empey, John D. Peters.
- Apiculture, Pisciculture and Poultry—John D. Peters, Hector W. Haight, James A. Bean.
- Mineral Products—Charles Read, James H. Moyle, Smith Parker.
- Manufactures—W. H. Rowe, Nelson A. Empey, E. M. Weller.
- Live Stock—E. M. Weller, James A. Bean, Francis Armstrong, Smith Parker.
- Fine Arts and Ladies' Work—James H. Moyle, Elias A. Smith, Arthur L. Thomas.
- Park and Fair Grounds—Nelson A. Empey, William H. Rowe, Charles Read, Arthur L. Thomas, Francis Armstrong.
- Printing—Elias A. Smith, Heber M. Wells.
- Miscellaneous—Hector W. Haight, John D. Peters, Charles Read, William H. Rowe, James A. Bean.

These are standing committees, not awarding committees. The latter have not yet been named.

The Secretary was instructed to address a communication to the Salt Lake Chamber of Commerce, asking that the contents of the exposition can be placed on exhibition at the fair if such arrangements were convenient.

The Co-operative Wagon & Machine Company made an application for space for a display of machinery and implements at the fair.

On motion of Mr. Rowe it was ordered that the architect be instructed to prepare a plan of the building and grounds, and that the committee on fair grounds apportion and number the advertising and display spaces and fix a price on the same. It was also agreed that when this is done those who first apply will be allotted the choice of space.

The architect was also instructed to provide for flagstaffs at each corner of the Exposition Building.

The meeting then adjourned till Saturday evening next, at 7:30.

CRIMINAL CASES

To be Heard in the Provo District During the Coming Term.

Following is a list of the criminal cases for the September term, of the district court, to be held at Provo:

- United States vs. J. P. R. Johnson, unlawful cohabitation; set for trial for September 19th.
- United States vs. Frank O. Boyer, unlawful cohabitation; set for trial for September 19th.
- United States vs. Jesse Gardner, unlawful cohabitation; set for trial September 19th.
- United States vs. Rasmus Neilson, unlawful cohabitation; set for trial September 19th.
- United States vs. J. B. Forbes, unlawful cohabitation; set for trial September 19th.
- United States vs. Ebenezer Hunter, unlawful cohabitation; set for trial September 20th.
- United States vs. Wm. J. Lewis, unlawful cohabitation; set for trial September 20th.
- United States vs. Gibson Condie, unlawful cohabitation; set for trial September 20th.
- United States vs. John H. Selock, unlawful cohabitation; set for trial September 20th.
- United States vs. Lars Frandsen, polygamy; set for trial September 20th.
- United States vs. Richard Bird, unlawful cohabitation; set for trial September 20th.
- United States vs. Thomas Barrett, unlawful cohabitation; set for trial September 20th.
- United States vs. Andrew R. Anderson, unlawful cohabitation; set for trial September 21st.
- United States vs. Samuel Wagstaff, unlawful cohabitation; set for trial September 21st.
- United States vs. W. R. May, unlawful cohabitation; set for trial for September 21st.
- United States vs. Lorenzo Argyle, unlawful cohabitation; set for trial September 21st.
- United States vs. Lars Larsen, unlawful cohabitation; set for trial September 21st.
- United States vs. Daniel King, unlawful cohabitation; set for trial September 21st.
- United States vs. John Durrant, adultery; set for trial September 22d.
- United States vs. Elizabeth Durrant, fornication; set for trial September 22d.
- United States vs. John Buchanan, unlawful cohabitation; set for trial September 22d.
- United States vs. William Gallop, unlawful cohabitation; set for trial September 22d.
- United States vs. David Udall, unlawful cohabitation; set for trial September 24th.
- United States vs. David Broadhead, unlawful cohabitation; set for trial September 24th.
- United States vs. Chas. McCarty, adultery; set for trial September 24th.
- United States vs. George Taylor, unlawful cohabitation; set for trial September 25th.
- United States vs. Joseph Clark, unlawful cohabitation; set for trial September 25th.
- United States vs. Jacob Scharrer, unlawful cohabitation; set for trial September 25th.

- United States vs. James Butler, unlawful cohabitation; set for trial September 28th.
- United States vs. Philander Brown, unlawful cohabitation; set for trial September 28th.
- United States vs. Mons Nielson, unlawful cohabitation; set for trial September 28th.
- United States vs. James P. Hansen, unlawful cohabitation; set for trial September 28th.
- United States vs. A. O. Smoot, unlawful cohabitation; set for trial September 27th.
- United States vs. John C. Graham, unlawful cohabitation.
- United States vs. F. W. Christianson, misdemeanor.
- United States vs. George W. Gee, unlawful cohabitation.
- United States vs. J. D. Page, misdemeanor.
- United States vs. E. W. Fox, misdemeanor.
- United States vs. Annie N. Oandland, misdemeanor.
- United States vs. Casper Christiansen, misdemeanor.
- United States vs. J. L. Peacock, misdemeanor.
- People vs. Jas. Gunderson, Jr., grand larceny.
- People vs. Josepa Swazey, feloniously marking sheep.
- People vs. Moroni Moore, grand larceny.
- People vs. Alma Young, burglary.
- People vs. N. J. Fairbanks, rape.
- The People, etc., vs. Henry Nelson, grand larceny.
- People vs. David Broadhead, perjury.
- People, etc., vs. Henry Parish, murder.
- People, etc., vs. Thomas Nance, assault with intent to commit murder.
- People, etc., vs. Wm. Walter, assault with a deadly weapon.
- People, etc., vs. John Souers, assault with a deadly weapon.
- People, etc., vs. William Gillis, grand larceny.
- The People, etc., vs. William Daniels, appeal.
- The People vs. William H. Manhart, appeal.
- The People, etc., vs. James Holly, appeal.
- Spanish Fork City vs. Morgan Hughes, appeal.
- Provo City vs. C. E. Shoebridge, appeal; two cases.
- Provo City vs. R. S. Hines, appeal.
- Payson City vs. John Clayson, appeal.
- Springville City vs. Isaac Fordonski, appeal.
- The People, etc., vs. John Freckleton, appeal.
- Spanish Fork City vs. Thomas Jarvis, appeal.
- Fillmore City vs. James George, appeal.
- The People vs. Earnest Bullock, appeal.
- Fillmore City vs. Gabriel Huntman, appeal.
- The People vs. John Burrisson, appeal.
- The People vs. Joseph Daley, appeal.
- American Fork City vs. David H. Kelley, appeal.
- The People vs. Mrs. A. Marks, appeal; two cases.
- Spanish Fork City vs. Samuel Hansen, appeal.
- Spanish Fork City vs. James Hutchinson, appeal.
- Spanish Fork City vs. Lars O. Laurence, appeal.
- Spanish Fork City vs. Lars O. Laurence, appeal.
- Pleasant Grove vs. John Whittaker, et al. appeal.
- Springville vs. John Doull, appeal; two cases.
- Fairview City vs. Lyeurgus Wilson, appeal.

DR. YOUNG DISCHARGED.

For the Second Time the Prosecution Find no Evidence.

The preliminary examination of Dr. Seymour B. Young, on the charge of unlawful cohabitation, was held before Commissioner Norrell today. On a former occasion the accused was arrested on a similar charge, and was released, the prosecution stating that certain witnesses could not be found, they were found later, and today their testimony was taken.

The defendant entered a plea of not guilty to the accusation against him, through his attorney, Le Grand Young. Mr. Peters prosecuted.

MISS VILATE YOUNG

was the first witness. She testified—Seymour B. Young is my brother; he is a married man; he was married about 20 years ago to Lizzie Riter; her youngest child is two or three years old; she lives at home; my brother lives with her; I have seen him there occasionally; know Abbie Wells; couldn't state positively whether or not she is married; there is no repute in the family about her; I have heard it rumored that she is my brother's wife; don't know where she has lived during the past three years; think she has been away from the Territory a portion of the time; I believe she went away about four years ago; my brother has been away several times; at one time he was in New York, at another in Connecticut; he may have been away from home a year at a time; he came from his last trip east over a year ago; I think Miss Wells came back subsequent to that date; have seen a child about three years old with her; I think she has one dead; I have not seen my brother in her company during the past six years; she is known to me as Abbie Wells.

THOS. J. M'INTOSH

testified—I have known Seymour B. Young all my life; he is my brother-in-law; his wife Lizzie lives with him on Fourth East Street; she has several children; Mr. Young has been absent from the city during the past three years; he went away about two years ago and remained about a year; I met him at Provo on his return, and came to Salt Lake with him; he got off the train in the southwestern part of town; do not know where he went; am acquainted with Abbie Wells; she has one child, about three years old; she is a woman of good repute for chastity; I have heard it rumored that she is Mr. Young's wife; first heard it about two years ago; have not seen the defendant and her in company during the past four years; have never conversed with Mr. Young about her.

JUNIOUS F. WELLS

testified—I am acquainted with the defendant; Abbie C. Wells is my sister; she is married to Seymour B. Young; her marriage was before January, 1883; she has a living child, Hannah Louisa; never heard any other name; my sister is sometimes known as Mrs. Chapin; she has never been known as Mrs. Young; in May, 1885, she left Utah; she went to New York, then to England, where she was for about two years; then she came back to the States; she returned to Utah in August, 1887; she had two children then; she has been at various places in Utah since; during the past three years I have not seen Mr. Young in her company in Utah; saw them together in New York in 1887; my sister was then traveling with me; she afterwards went to Canada; do not know just where Mr. Young went to then; do not know whether they have ever lived together as husband and wife; saw him at mother's house when my child was sick; don't remember any other time, have not seen him there since Abbie's return from the east; her second child died about a year ago.

MISS KATIE CHASE

testified—I live in Centreville, Davis, Co.; know the defendant.

Mr. Peters—Are you a married woman?

Witness (hesitating)—I don't want to answer.

Mr. Peters (eagerly)—You ought to. would advise you to say yes, if you are.

Witness—I am not.

Mr. Peters—Why did you hesitate then?

Witness (laughing)—Because I wanted to.

Mr. Peters—Are you not Mr. Young's plural wife?

Witness (emphatically)—No, Sir.

Mr. Peters—You are not?

Witness (with still more emphasis)—No, Sir.

Mr. Peters—Do you know Abbie Wells?

Witness—No, Sir.

The witness further testified Abbie Wells has not been at my home; Mr. Young has been there, but I do not know when was the last time.

Abbie C. Wells testified—I have been known as Mrs. Chapin; that is an assumed name; I took it three years ago last May, when I left home; I assumed it because I was a married woman, and did not want my real name known; was married to Mr. Young April 23, 1884, at the Endowment House.

Mr. Peters—Who married you?

Witness—I do not wish to answer.

Mr. Peters—But you must.

Witness—I do not wish to.

LeGrand Young objected to the question as immaterial.

Mr. Peters said he wanted to know who married them and the ceremony that was used.

Mr. Young replied that he had no right to an answer to the questions. Mr. Peters has no right to say the marriage was admitted too late in the day. That is not his affair. The marriage is admitted and proven, and that is enough. The questions are not material to this issue.

Mr. Peters charged the witness with being unfriendly, though the lady had answered promptly and frankly all of the questions up to the one in controversy.

Mr. Young—The questions are impertinent and immaterial.

Commissioner Norrell—She may answer.

Witness—Joseph F. Smith.

Mr. Peters—Who were present besides you three?

Witness—There was one witness; do not know him.

Mr. Peters—Can you give the ceremony used?

Witness—No, Sir.

Mr. Peters—Was your father present?

Witness—No, Sir.

Mr. Peters—Was J. F. Wells present?

Witness—No, Sir.

Mr. Peters—Where did you live after you were married?

Witness—At home.

Witness, continuing—Dr. Young called on me there; in May, 1885, I went to England with my brother J. F. Wells; did not see Dr. Young in England; in October, 1886, I returned to New York; Mr. Young and I lived together as man and wife there; I came to Denver in May, 1887; I remained in Denver till August, when I came to Utah; was very sick at the time and do not remember who were with me; lived at mother's till May, 1888; was ill a long time.

LeGrand Young asked that the Commissioner instruct the witness that she need not answer any question that would tend to criminate her of any offense.

Mr. Peters object to the instruction.

Mr. Young insisted that the prosecution had no right to take undue advantage of the lady.

Commissioner—She has a right to the instruction asked for. She need not answer any question that might tend to criminate her.

Witness, continuing—I do not know how often I have seen Mr. Young since coming to Utah; have seen him two or three times, in the Marshal's office, the court room, or on the street; he has not called to see me; when I moved I hired a team; the house I live in belongs to Mr. Pratt; Mr. Young provides for me; he sends me money for my support.

Mr. Peters—Have you any letters received from him?

Witness—I have not.

Mr. Peters—Are they destroyed?

Witness—They are.

Mr. Peters—How does he address you?

Mr. Young—That is impertinent and immaterial.

Commissioner—She may answer that.

Witness—He addresses me as Mrs. Chapin.

Mr. Peters—Does he address you as his wife?

Witness—No, Sir.

Mr. Peters—Has he been at your house?

Witness—No, Sir. My child is three years old this month; she was born in Liverpool; my second child was born in Denver, July 8, 1887; my little girl is known as Nanna Chapin; I took that name as a family name; Mr. Young did not suggest it; my letters from him were addressed to Mrs. Chapin, as I informed him by letter from England; I have not lived with nor associated with him as a wife in Utah.

This closed the evidence, and Dr. Young was discharged.

FROM SATURDAY'S DAILY, SEPT. 8, 1888.

The Inquest.

The post mortem examination on the body of Theodore Lithurst, yesterday afternoon, developed the fact that his death was from natural causes, and the coroner's jury found a verdict accordingly.

Skull Fractured.

Shortly before three o'clock this afternoon, a workman on the Scott-Auerbach building was using a monkey wrench, which he accidentally allowed to drop. In falling the tool struck a man, who was standing on the ground below, on the top of the head, and he fell as if shot. He was picked up and carried across the street into A. C. Smith & Co's drug store. It is stated that his skull is fractured.

A Pitiful Case.

Attention has been directed to a somewhat pitiful case that appeals to the charity of the community. It is that of a young woman now stopping at the Windsor Hotel in this city. She came up from Frisco, where her family reside, to get advice in relation to her eyesight. She is hopelessly blind. Her relatives being poor people, an effort is being made to raise a purse to defray the expense of sending her to the Institute for the Blind. Contributions for this object are received at the office of the Salt Lake Herald.

Sacred Bonds.

Of Thursday last, the 6th inst., at Logan, Edward H. Callister and Louie Eddington were united in marriage. The groom is a young man who has been reared in the Seventh Ward of this city, and who has won the respect of a large circle. The bride is an estimable young lady, the daughter of Wm. Eddington, Esq., an old-time well-known and much respected resident of the same ward.

Last evening a reception was held at the residence of the bride's parents, at which a company of relatives of the happy pair gathered, and expressed their congratulations and good wishes.

From Arizona.

Joseph Thayne, of Apache County, Arizona, is in the city, having come to Utah with the intention of remaining in this Territory. He formerly resided in South Cottonwood, Salt Lake County, and in 1877 moved to Arizona. He has lived in different parts of Arizona, latterly in Bush Valley, and prefers Utah. The settlers in Bush Valley have suffered a severe loss this year, their crops having been literally eaten up by grasshoppers. The result is that the people are discouraged, as is the case also in Round Valley, where the crops have been almost a failure in consequence of the drought. At St. Johns matters are in better condition. The people have a new canal from the Little Colorado, and have a good supply of water for the town. Mr. Thayne will probably make his home in Castle Valley.

Farewell Reception.

The reception tendered in the Nineteenth Ward last evening, to Elders W. O. Lee, Ed. J. Wood and Adelbert Beesley was an occasion long to be remembered by those who participated, and especially by the young brethren for whom the people of that ward have such high regard. The three Elders named, with Brother Lee's wife and child, will leave for the Samoan Islands next Thursday morning. In that far off place, amid barbarism and ignorance, it will be the duty of the small company to proclaim the glad tidings of the Gospel to the inhabitants.

Previous to the departure of the missionaries the people of the ward felt that it would be proper to spend an evening with them in social entertainment, and in response to this desire, over 400 persons gathered at the schoolhouse. A sumptuous repast was provided and partaken of by the large company. A programme for an exceptionally good entertainment was arranged and carried out, as follows:

- Song.....Lizzie Thomas
- Quartette.....Messrs. Easton, Spencer, Whitney and Goddard.
- Song.....Sixteenth Ward Glee Club.
- Duett.....Beesie Dean and Nellie Drue Pugsley.
- Recitation.....Prof. J. H. Paul.
- Song.....Moroni Thomas.
- Quartette.....Lizzie Thomas, Gusie Spry, Samuel Spry and M. J. Kimball.

Poem, composed for the occasion.....Alice Kimball.

- Song.....Agnes Olsen Thomas.
- Song.....Nellie Drue Pugsley.
- Clarinete solo.....Prof. Kent.
- Violin solo.....Prof. Willard Welhe.
- Hymn.....Choir.

The excellent manner in which all the pieces were rendered merited the abundant applause bestowed. At the close of the programme Elders Lee, Beesley and Wood, and Bishop James Watson made appropriate remarks and a nice sum of money was gathered and presented to the Elders to help meet the expenses of their journey to their field of labor. We wish them God speed, and may the hand of Providence be over them for faithful work and a safe return when their task is accomplished.

A FESTIVE BURGLAR.

His Theft of Knives and Razors Gets Him Into Trouble.

Judd F. Burke, the individual who was brought back from Denver on a charge of burglarizing Geo. M. Scott & Co.'s hardware store, was before Commissioner Norrell today. He is a slight built individual, about five feet six inches in height, brown hair and eyes, and has an uneasy, restless manner about him that does not inspire confidence in a close observer. When he was arraigned on the charge of burglary, committed August 15, he entered a plea of not guilty.

The witnesses for the prosecution were C. O. King, John Angren, R. A. Keyes and Deputy Franks. The goods—about \$200 worth of razors, knives, etc., were identified, though the marks had been carefully scratched off the boxes. The accused had given his name as John Burke, when he stayed at Angren's boarding house, the old Utah Hotel, from August 5th to August 26th. A chisel which was found in Scott & Co.'s after the burglary was identified by Angren as one he had seen and handled in Burke's room before August 15th. He had come across this tool in looking about his premises, because several boarders had complained of being robbed, and Burke was suspected, but went away before anything could be fastened on him. He had been in Denver but twenty-four hours, however, before he was arrested.

The defendant testified in his own behalf, and told a story that it would be difficult to find any one to believe. He said that on the night of August 23, he came from Lake Park. On the road from the depot, at the corner of Second South and Third West streets, he stepped into a vacant lot. While he was in the weeds a man and boy came to within about ten feet of him. They had with them a bundle, which they put down. The man said he would go up town for something, but the boy objected, and finally both went, leaving their bundle. Burke says he examined it through curiosity, and learning the contents, thought he could dispose of them to advantage, so took them to his room, and later shipped them to Denver.

His own story, of course, would not convict him of larceny, but in the cross-examination by District Attorney Peters he contradicted himself so much in regard to other matters that his word would not amount to much before a jury. He claimed to be a machinist, and has roamed about the country for ten or twelve years. He had been in Utah before, six or eight years ago; had had several aliases, one of them being William Taylor; another was Fred. or Frank Dyer; he said he used the latter in Utah on his former visit. He denied having had a trunk when he was in this city last month, but shortly after admitted that he had one, but said it belonged to a man whose name he did not know. A little further on he admitted having a second trunk, and had not the district attorney stopped asking questions several more might have loomed up. As it was, Burke was held to answer to the grand jury, and in default of \$500 bail was sent to the penitentiary.

It is not unlikely that Burke will prove to be the individual who slugged and robbed J. T. Buckle. Mr. and Mrs. Angren identify the coat from which Mr. Buckle tore the sleeve as the one worn by Burke while at their boarding house. Mr. Buckle was taken into the Marshal's office this morning, where several men, strangers to him were sitting and asked to point out the one whom he thought most resembled his assailant. His glance first fell upon Burke, whose eyes also met his. Burke gave a start, as though he recognized Mr. Buckle, and this caused the latter to select him as the man, though he says he did not see enough of him at the time to identify him.

Returned From England.

On Friday evening Elder John H. Kelton of this city, returned from a mission to Great Britain. He crossed the Atlantic with the company that last arrived from Europe, but stopped on the way to visit relatives in Brooklyn. He left this city for England on the 13th, of October, 1885, and labored in the London Conference as traveling Elder up to September, 1887, when he was appointed President of the Newcastle Conference, where he continued until his release to return home. He did a great deal of preaching and met with fair success. He had the pleasure of baptizing nineteen persons. He took much pleasure in his labors and returns in good health.