

### "TAKEN UNDER ADVISEMENT."

WILL the Utah Commission lend support to the infamous scheme devised by the "Liberal" party to rob a large number of legal voters of the right of suffrage?

The registrars who have been appointed to hear objections to voters are strong "Liberal" partizans, if very weak in legal ability. Their work is being performed in the "Liberal" interest. The object is evident. It is to find excuses, if possible, to cut down the vote which will not support the "Liberal" ticket.

It is understood that these persons, clothed with arbitrary and unparalleled judicial authority never intended by the law, are acting under instructions from "Liberal" headquarters. At Murray, July 29th, when objections were fairly met and there was no earthly reason for not deciding cases in favor of the voters, the decision was "taken under advisement." When shown that the challenge should be denied because it had not been sustained, the Registrar is reported by eye-witnesses to have said: "I have nothing more to do than hear the case, make my report and then do as I am instructed."

To whom is a registrar to report, and who is authorized to instruct him as to his decision? Will the Utah Commission undertake the task of deciding these cases? If not, where is the authority which the registrars are to recognize? Is it not the "Liberal" Central Committee? Is a registrar fit to sit as a judge in this important matter who will not render a decision, but will make his report to his party managers and jump as they pull the string?

In this city cases are "taken under advisement" which offer no possible excuse for delay. Men are challenged as "polygamists" who clearly substantiate the fact that they are not and never have been polygamists. When asked by counsel why this is done, the Registrar intimates that it is his own sweet will. Questioned as to whether he would "take under advisement" the case of a man, challenged as a polygamist, who showed that he had never been married and did not believe in marriage, he replies, "I would if I felt like it."

In some of the country precincts men are objected to on the ground of aiding and abetting polygamy. They are questioned as to their membership in the "Mormon" Church, as to their payment of tith-

ing, and other matters for questions on which there is no justification in law. Voters need not answer such queries. But whether they do so or not the matter is "taken under advisement."

The public are familiar with this phrase. They know what it meant at the municipal election. Hundreds of legal voters, as can be proven beyond doubt, were summarily deprived of their votes, robbed of the sacred right of franchise. And this was done by appointees of the Utah Commission, and understood to be under the instructions of the "Liberal" Central Committee.

Will the Utah Commission condone this political villainy, or wink at its perpetration, and then talk of "a fair and impartial election?" Or will that body exercise the power over its own appointees which it naturally possesses, and see that "everything like fraud shall be put down," and that "every lawfully registered voter in the Territory shall have the privilege of depositing his ballot freely?"

We consider that every person, official and otherwise, who aids or abets the vile and infamous plot now in process to prevent lawful voters from exercising the franchise at the election, or who refrains from doing his duty in lawfully preventing the success of that plot, is unworthy not only of the respect of decent citizens but of recognition as an ordinarily honorable man. And sooner or later all who directly or indirectly participate in the outrage will be held up to general public execration. Their conduct will be "taken under advisement."

### SOME QUERIES FOR THE WORKING MEN.

How do the working men of this city who have organized for recognition and representation in local public affairs relish the epithets hurled against them by the organ of the so-called "Liberal" party?

What do the working men who have been furnished with labor at good wages by "Mormon" builders, contractors and other employers, think of a sheet and of a party that repents daily the falsehood that no "Gentile" is employed by a "Mormon?"

What do the candidates who have been nominated by the Workingmen's and People's parties think of the statement that they are none of them representatives of working men?

What respect can decent "Lib-

erals" feel for a paper and for place-hunters that seek to gain their ends by palpable and persistent lying?

Why have not working men as much right to formulate a ticket as hired political "strikers and spoils-men" have?

How many laboring men will be induced to vote for a ticket which is a patent insult to their class and a rejection of their claims, by the castigation daily administered to them for daring to refuse to trafr in the "Liberal" ranks?

If the working men's ticket is not representative of the laboring element, where will you find a ticket that is?

Cannot every working man see the immense difference between a ticket made up by *bona fide* laboring men, and accepted by a convention of laboring men, and containing the names of laboring men, and a ticket framed by political tricksters, endorsed by a party that works by trickery, and containing the names of men who are thus rewarded for dirty political doings?

Will genuine working people hesitate in their choice? Is there any earthly reason why any man who works for a living should repudiate the nominees of his own class, and vote for candidates who are not of his class, or in any way representative of that class?

Is there any workingman on the so-called "Liberal" ticket, except such "workers" as have performed the dirty work of the "Liberal" party under official pay and authority?

Why should the workingmen divide and render doubtful the election of the workingmen's ticket, on the silly statements, false prophecies and insulting accusations of the party that has snubbed and ignored them?

What promise made by the so-called "Liberals" to the working people has been fulfilled? Where is the "free water" guaranteed before the municipal election? Where is the "Salt Lake work for Salt Lake workmen?" Where is anything held up as an inducement for votes before election day?

The People's Party is itself a working men's party, and it has adopted the workingmen's ticket on principle as well as on policy. And together the two parties can win, if working men have sand enough to stand by their colors, and sense enough to see through the devices of the foe and the sophistry of the faction that is now badly rattled and divided.