

COUNCILMEN ARE GOING EAST.

Prepare to Take in the World's
Fair and Democratic National
Convention.

WILL BE GONE ABOUT 2 WEEKS

Expect to Leave Here July 1 and Re-
turn Fifteen Days Later—
Special Meeting.

The junket of the city council this year will be much more extensive and pretentious than that of previous years. The arrangements for the annual outing of the municipal fathers have been completed by President Hewlett, Coun-
cilmembers E. H. Davis and L. J. Wood, who were appointed as a committee to take charge of the affair. The trip will include a visit of two weeks to the fair at St. Louis, and also a visit to the national Democratic convention, to which tickets have been secured for the council by Democratic Committeeman D. H. Peay.

The 15 councilmen, accompanied by their wives, will leave the city at 3 o'clock on the afternoon of July 1, in a special coach over the Rio Grande. At Denver the coach will be transferred to the Missouri Pacific, over which route the remainder of the trip will be made. The party will arrive at Kansas City on the morning of July 3 and a car ride will be taken through that city. They will arrive in St. Louis at 6 p. m. of that evening, and their car will be taken into the fair grounds, where it will remain during the entire time the councilmen are in the city.

It is the intention to start on the return trip in time to reach this city on July 15. Only two meetings of the council will be missed by the trip and it has already been arranged to hold a special meeting on the night of Thursday, June 24, to discuss the most important matters which require attention. At that meeting the department payrolls will be acted upon.

Mayor Morris and wife are the only ones outside of the council who have been invited to go on the trip. Heretofore representatives of the press have been invited to accompany the council, but this year that rule will not be observed. The committee states that the expenses of a consuetudinary trip by the councilmen themselves, and that not a cent will come out of the public treasury.

HEALTHY MOTHERS.

Mothers should always keep in good bodily health. They owe it to their children. Yet it is no unusual sight to see a mother, with babe in arms, coughing violently and exhibiting all the symptoms of a consumptive tendency. And why should this dangerous condition exist, dangerous alike to mother and child, when Dr. Boecher's German Syrup would put a stop to it at once? Mothers should be without this old and tried remedy in the house for its timely use will promptly cure any lung, throat or bronchial trouble in herself or her children. The worst cough or cold can be speedily cured by German Syrup, so can hoarseness and congestion of the bronchial tubes. It makes expectoration easy, and gives instant relief and refreshing rest to the cough-ridden consumptive. New trial bottles, 15 cents each. At all drug stores.
G. G. GREEN, Woodbury, N. J.

UTAH VS FRANCE.

Former Double Discounts the Latter
In the Matter of Progeny.

To the Editor:
Taylorville, June 21.—We see by recent publications that a French centurion (Mme. Levaucher) living near Paris, has 15 descendants. Now it does not seem worth while going all the way to Paris for any such notable event when we can double discount it right here at home. One notable case we will cite is that of one of Utah's pioneers, Mrs. Sarah Ann Woolf—who drove a horse team across the plains in 1847, her youngest child at the time being a little more than one year old. Grandma Woolf, as she is familiarly known by a large circle of friends, is now living in Hyde Park, Cache county, with her eldest son, Absalom, who was also a pioneer of '47, and has passed the three score and ten mile stone. The lady has now living 10 children, 81 grandchildren, 29 great-grandchildren, and 19 great-great-grandchildren, besides 45 descendants that are said to rest. The above was the number at the end of the year '93, and there have been several births since.

Grandma Woolf was 90 years old on the 12th of April, 1904, and enjoying a fair degree of health, considering the hardships of a pioneer life and the troubles of the Indian wars, when she had her children. Her home at Mona, Juab county, which the Indians burned, and with her husband John A. Woolf, had to start anew at Nephi. Being at home among the pioneers of that place, she helped to build the wall around there to protect themselves against the ravages of the Indians.

The Woolf family were also with the first to settle at Hyde Park, and one of the first founders of Cardston, Alberta, Canada. They are a noted pioneer family, and have not lived all their life in one house, like the noted French lady, whose name appears at the commencement of this article.

John A. Woolf and wife (the subject of this brief sketch) moved from New York to Nauvoo early in the year 1844.

We Give
More Good Tea
More Good Coffee
More Good Spices
More Coupons
More Pretty Premiums
Watch Our
Stores.
Prices Talk.
It Pays to Trade
AT
Great American Importing Tea Co
425 Main St., Salt Lake City.

Hairiness

Hairlessness is born of carelessness. Don't be careless with your hair. Use it well, or it will leave you. Ayer's Hair Vigor cares for the hair, makes it stay with you. It always restores color to gray hair, and keeps it soft and smooth.

"I was bothered greatly with dandruff and loss of the hair, but after using only one bottle of Ayer's Hair Vigor my hair stopped falling and the dandruff disappeared."—Miss Lucile Hardy, El Dorado Springs, Mo.
\$1.00. All druggists. J. C. AYER CO., Lowell, Mass.

At the latter place they had a thriving farm about three miles east of the city, which they sacrificed for the sake of their religion, at the time of the exodus. The first of the four sons, John A. Woolf, being one of the founders of Iron county. He was a farmer and great horse fancier. He died at Hyde Park in 1881, in his seventy-seventh year.

COMMUNICATED.

Asthma Sufferers Should Know This.
Foley's Honey and Tar has cured many cases of asthma that were considered hopeless. Mrs. Adolph Buehler, of West Third St., Davenport, Iowa, writes: "A severe cold contracted twelve years ago was neglected until it finally grew into asthma. The best medical skill I could get did not give me more than temporary relief. Foley's Honey and Tar was recommended and after using only one bottle I was cured of asthma which had been growing on me for twelve years, and which I had been suffering from for many years."—F. J. Hill Drug Co.

DESERT LAND CROPS.

Splendid Showing of Rye, Barley and Oats Grown in Juab County.

Prof. J. A. Merrill and J. A. Whitson of the Agricultural college put on exhibition last evening at the Wilson hotel stalks of rye five feet high, and bunches of wheat, barley and oats over three feet long and in Juab county. Prof. Merrill says, in commenting on the display, that there is no reason why the sandy lands of this state can not be made to produce large crops of all kinds of grain and alfalfa. There is more moisture, he says, in the sandy soil than is generally supposed, and the only question is to get the roots down deep enough so as to absorb this moisture. This can be accomplished by deep plowing, going down to the water table, and by planting with a drill instead of broadcasting; and by selection of seed. The Russian grain, he says, is admirably adapted to the sandy soils of Utah, as experiments made at Logan demonstrate. Moreover, where two bushels have been planted to the acre, three pecks do better. Russian oats develop one month in advance of the grain heretofore used in this state on irrigated soil.

It is up to the Doctor.

A lady wrote us from Winchester, Va., that she had been under a doctor's care for 4 years for dyspepsia, the pain appeared to center under the left shoulder blade, was so severe at times that she could neither eat nor sleep. She had lost faith in her home doctor, and asked us to send her sample of Dr. Gunn's Improved Liver Pills, which had been recommended to her. We sent her two pills in a few days she was free of a box. Now she writes that the pain under her shoulder has left her entirely, she enjoys her meals and never sleeps less than 8 hours every night, and this has all come about in 2 weeks, and cost her 50 cents for two boxes of pills. Says the doctor, "I have seen this case, and she told me he had better take the \$50.00 and invest it all in Dr. Gunn's Improved Liver Pills and build up his practice. Sold by Druggists for 25 cents a box. Any one having dyspepsia, bilious spells or sick headache can get a speedy cure by the use of these pills. For sale by Z. C. M. I. Drug Dept.

BOY NEARLY KILLED.

Sandy Fowler Narrowly Escapes Death Under Newhouse Auto.

"Sandy" Fowler, a newsboy aged eight years, residing at 205 north Second West street, had a narrow escape from instant death last night on East Temple between First and Second South streets.

With a crowd of other boys, Fowler was playing on the sidewalk and suddenly dashed out into the road. Bert Fowler, chauffeur for Sam Newhouse, was coming down the street driving a heavy automobile at a lively rate. He was pulling by means of a rope tied to the rear machine, managed to steer the heavy car in such a way that it ran over Fowler but did not injure him. The youngster was picked up and taken to a near by drug store where he soon recovered.

PLANS FOR PAVING.

South Temple May be Paved With Asphalt—Belated Protest.

East South Temple street will be paved with asphalt this year, perhaps, from State to Seventh East street. Such is the decision of the committee on streets of the city council at its meeting last night. The paving district established will be reduced from the original distance from State to Tenth East street, so as to run only to the west side of Seventh East street. A resolution will be introduced at the council meeting on Monday night rescinding the council's action in regard to the asphalt paving of the street, and the chance will then be made to the new district.

A number of property owners were present and it was stated that the residents beyond Seventh East street preferred paving with macadam instead of asphalt. They asked that they be relieved from paying their assessment at once because it would be a year or more before work is commenced and the money would sit idle in the city treasury. They were informed by City Attorney Day that they would have to make the first payment at the same time as the other property owners. He held, however, that the council could rescind its action and amend the district established so as to cut out the district beyond Seventh East street. It was finally decided by the committee to take such action and then the property owners beyond that street can petition for a new district next year and have it paved with macadam if they desire.

The protest against the paving of First street from State to A street, which was submitted to the council on Monday night, was ordered filed by the streets committee. City Attorney Day said that the time for protesting against the paving had expired about a year ago and the only remedy beyond that time was to petition for a new district next year and have it paved with macadam if they desire.

JAPANESE GIRL; SOCIETY WOMAN.

Former Makes Sensational Charge
About Severe Punishment and
Alleged Illegal Detention.

THE COURTS WILL INVESTIGATE

Habeas Corpus Proceedings Instituted
—Case Has Been Going Rounds
Of Gossip for Some Time.

A rather sensational matter which has been going the rounds of the gossip of the city for the past ten days or more has finally culminated in the institution of habeas corpus proceedings in the district court by Kiku Katsura, a young Japanese girl who has been employed in the home of Mrs. Mary Judge, with whom she came to this country over a year ago. It has been rumored for some time past that the girl has been rather severely chastised and that the chastisement has occurred even upon the open street.

The girl, in a letter written to Mr. Iami, a fellow countryman, says that she is not allowed to see her friends and is often struck with a broom and had her ears pulled. The letter is written in Japanese and, translated into English, is as follows:

"The reason why we cannot stay here as follows:

"Without our faults every often says to us to send back to Japan, even we did not break single dish. Some time struck by broom and some time pulled ear. Generally has been treated us like slaves. We are very sorry for giving you such trouble so often, but if you kindly enquire write this matter in English. Of course we willing to stay if we have right treatment, but as above fact we are now sick at heart. Now anxious to get away from here. If you have any love for your sister please help as soon as possible."

Mr. Iami consulted Attorney Arthur E. Christensen about the matter, and it was decided to institute habeas corpus proceedings against Mrs. Judge. The petition for the writ was filed in the district court yesterday afternoon and is in part as follows:

"That through force, fear and intimidation the said Kiku Katsura is deprived of her personal liberty, and is not permitted to leave the premises nor permitted to communicate with her friends either in person or otherwise, and is kept in servitude and bondage by the said Mary Judge, and that the said Judge threatens to deport the said petitioner to Japan, contrary to her will and wishes."

G. A. R. RESOLVES.

Expresses Thanks to Those Who Assisted in Recent Encampment.

At its encampment held in Park City this week, the G. A. R. adopted the following resolutions:

Resolved, That the thanks of this encampment are due and are hereby tendered to the mayor and other city officials, to the patriotic people, to the teachers and pupils of the public schools and to the Hancock post G. A. R. of Park City for their cordial and handsome reception and entertainment of the Grand Army of the Republic at this, their annual encampment.

Resolved, That we appreciate the part taken in our reception by our comrades of the Spanish-American war and the kindness and courtesy they have always shown to the old soldiers of the war of the rebellion; that we extend to them a cordial greeting and express the hope and belief that they will take up and carry on the work of teaching patriotism and of honoring all defenders of our country after we have passed.

The Coffee Rack

Are you on?
When any one gets on to the fact that he or she is on the coffee rack there's a chance, but most coffee drinkers "never suspect" coffee is the cause of all their ills until the drugs in the coffee have slipped them into organic disease of some sort.

Whether you suspect coffee or not a 10 days trial of Postum Food Coffee (leaving off the coffee) is an easy experiment that may return big results in the way of health and

Delicious

to make the trial before nervous or organic collapse comes.

YOU OWE IT TO YOURSELF

POSTUM

10 days. Try it.

Get the little book, "The Road to Wellville" in each package.

"World's Fair exhibit, Space 108, Agricultural Building."

AT THE LACE HOUSE.

AT THE LACE HOUSE.

AT THE LACE HOUSE.

AT THE LACE HOUSE.

AT THE LACE HOUSE.

AT THE LACE HOUSE.

AT THE LACE HOUSE.

AT THE LACE HOUSE.

AT THE LACE HOUSE.

AT THE LACE HOUSE.

AT THE LACE HOUSE.

AT THE LACE HOUSE.

AT THE LACE HOUSE.

TWENTY YEARS AGO TODAY.

(DO YOU REMEMBER?)
The senate passed the Hoar-Utah bill, imposing harsh measures on the people of the Territory.
Blaine formally accepted the Republican presidential nomination.

TEN YEARS AGO TODAY.

Adjutant-General Tarsney, counsel for Cripple Creek strikers, was kidnapped from the Alamo Hotel, Colorado Springs, tarred and feathered and otherwise abused.

The Chicago Derby was won by Rey El Senita Anita.
The Tuscarora carnival was opened at Calder's park.

FIVE YEARS AGO TODAY.

Abram Gould, once of this city and brother of Jay Gould, died at Salem, N. Y.

It was first proposed to add another department of government to the cabinet, that of "foreign commerce."

have been mustered out of our earthly service, and into the Grand Army beyond the dark river.

Resolved, That our warmest thanks are due to the noble and patriotic women of the Women's Relief corps and of the ladies of the Grand Army for their work auxiliary to that of the posts; that we bid them God speed and ask that they will not cease their good work until the last old soldier is gathered to his final rest.

Resolved, That we send our fraternal greetings to the hundreds of old soldiers of the war of the rebellion residing in this state who have not yet enrolled themselves in an organization, and invite them, each and all, to no longer delay joining our ranks and helping us in our patriotic work and permitting us more effectively to help them.

Resolved, That we again urge post commanders and our comrades generally to so far as possible procure uniforms to be worn on all our parades and public appearances.

Resolved, That we regard our common school system as one of the bulwarks of American institutions, and we condemn in the strongest terms any attempt by any person, party or institution to limit its usefulness or to impair its efficiency.

Resolved, That we congratulate the United States of America on securing the Panama canal, which, on completion, will connect the two great oceans, open a grand highway for the commerce of the world and advance our country to the very forefront of nations.

Resolved, That we endorse the recent pension act of Congress approved Sept. 25, 1890, which permits the officers and enlisted men of the army and navy of the United States, who are properly entitled, to wear on all occasions of ceremony the distinction badges adopted by military societies of men who served in the army or navy of the United States in the war of the rebellion, the war of 1812 and the war of 1861.

Resolved, That we are opposed to the repeal of the act of Congress approved Sept. 25, 1890, which permits the officers and enlisted men of the army and navy of the United States, who are properly entitled, to wear on all occasions of ceremony the distinction badges adopted by military societies of men who served in the army or navy of the United States in the war of the rebellion, the war of 1812 and the war of 1861.

Resolved, That we are opposed to the repeal of the act of Congress approved Sept. 25, 1890, which permits the officers and enlisted men of the army and navy of the United States, who are properly entitled, to wear on all occasions of ceremony the distinction badges adopted by military societies of men who served in the army or navy of the United States in the war of the rebellion, the war of 1812 and the war of 1861.

Resolved, That we are opposed to the repeal of the act of Congress approved Sept. 25, 1890, which permits the officers and enlisted men of the army and navy of the United States, who are properly entitled, to wear on all occasions of ceremony the distinction badges adopted by military societies of men who served in the army or navy of the United States in the war of the rebellion, the war of 1812 and the war of 1861.

Resolved, That we are opposed to the repeal of the act of Congress approved Sept. 25, 1890, which permits the officers and enlisted men of the army and navy of the United States, who are properly entitled, to wear on all occasions of ceremony the distinction badges adopted by military societies of men who served in the army or navy of the United States in the war of the rebellion, the war of 1812 and the war of 1861.

Resolved, That we are opposed to the repeal of the act of Congress approved Sept. 25, 1890, which permits the officers and enlisted men of the army and navy of the United States, who are properly entitled, to wear on all occasions of ceremony the distinction badges adopted by military societies of men who served in the army or navy of the United States in the war of the rebellion, the war of 1812 and the war of 1861.

Resolved, That we are opposed to the repeal of the act of Congress approved Sept. 25, 1890, which permits the officers and enlisted men of the army and navy of the United States, who are properly entitled, to wear on all occasions of ceremony the distinction badges adopted by military societies of men who served in the army or navy of the United States in the war of the rebellion, the war of 1812 and the war of 1861.

Resolved, That we are opposed to the repeal of the act of Congress approved Sept. 25, 1890, which permits the officers and enlisted men of the army and navy of the United States, who are properly entitled, to wear on all occasions of ceremony the distinction badges adopted by military societies of men who served in the army or navy of the United States in the war of the rebellion, the war of 1812 and the war of 1861.

Resolved, That we are opposed to the repeal of the act of Congress approved Sept. 25, 1890, which permits the officers and enlisted men of the army and navy of the United States, who are properly entitled, to wear on all occasions of ceremony the distinction badges adopted by military societies of men who served in the army or navy of the United States in the war of the rebellion, the war of 1812 and the war of 1861.

Resolved, That we are opposed to the repeal of the act of Congress approved Sept. 25, 1890, which permits the officers and enlisted men of the army and navy of the United States, who are properly entitled, to wear on all occasions of ceremony the distinction badges adopted by military societies of men who served in the army or navy of the United States in the war of the rebellion, the war of 1812 and the war of 1861.

Resolved, That we are opposed to the repeal of the act of Congress approved Sept. 25, 1890, which permits the officers and enlisted men of the army and navy of the United States, who are properly entitled, to wear on all occasions of ceremony the distinction badges adopted by military societies of men who served in the army or navy of the United States in the war of the rebellion, the war of 1812 and the war of 1861.

Resolved, That we are opposed to the repeal of the act of Congress approved Sept. 25, 1890, which permits the officers and enlisted men of the army and navy of the United States, who are properly entitled, to wear on all occasions of ceremony the distinction badges adopted by military societies of men who served in the army or navy of the United States in the war of the rebellion, the war of 1812 and the war of 1861.

Resolved, That we are opposed to the repeal of the act of Congress approved Sept. 25, 1890, which permits the officers and enlisted men of the army and navy of the United States, who are properly entitled, to wear on all occasions of ceremony the distinction badges adopted by military societies of men who served in the army or navy of the United States in the war of the rebellion, the war of 1812 and the war of 1861.

Resolved, That we are opposed to the repeal of the act of Congress approved Sept. 25, 1890, which permits the officers and enlisted men of the army and navy of the United States, who are properly entitled, to wear on all occasions of ceremony the distinction badges adopted by military societies of men who served in the army or navy of the United States in the war of the rebellion, the war of 1812 and the war of 1861.

Resolved, That we are opposed to the repeal of the act of Congress approved Sept. 25, 1890, which permits the officers and enlisted men of the army and navy of the United States, who are properly entitled, to wear on all occasions of ceremony the distinction badges adopted by military societies of men who served in the army or navy of the United States in the war of the rebellion, the war of 1812 and the war of 1861.

Resolved, That we are opposed to the repeal of the act of Congress approved Sept. 25, 1890, which permits the officers and enlisted men of the army and navy of the United States, who are properly entitled, to wear on all occasions of ceremony the distinction badges adopted by military societies of men who served in the army or navy of the United States in the war of the rebellion, the war of 1812 and the war of 1861.

Resolved, That we are opposed to the repeal of the act of Congress approved Sept. 25, 1890, which permits the officers and enlisted men of the army and navy of the United States, who are properly entitled, to wear on all occasions of ceremony the distinction badges adopted by military societies of men who served in the army or navy of the United States in the war of the rebellion, the war of 1812 and the war of 1861.

Resolved, That we are opposed to the repeal of the act of Congress approved Sept. 25, 1890, which permits the officers and enlisted men of the army and navy of the United States, who are properly entitled, to wear on all occasions of ceremony the distinction badges adopted by military societies of men who served in the army or navy of the United States in the war of the rebellion, the war of 1812 and the war of 1861.

Resolved, That we are opposed to the repeal of the act of Congress approved Sept. 25, 1890, which permits the officers and enlisted men of the army and navy of the United States, who are properly entitled, to wear on all occasions of ceremony the distinction badges adopted by military societies of men who served in the army or navy of the United States in the war of the rebellion, the war of 1812 and the war of 1861.

Resolved, That we are opposed to the repeal of the act of Congress approved Sept. 25, 1890, which permits the officers and enlisted men of the army and navy of the United States, who are properly entitled, to wear on all occasions of ceremony the distinction badges adopted by military societies of men who served in the army or navy of the United States in the war of the rebellion, the war of 1812 and the war of 1861.

Resolved, That we are opposed to the repeal of the act of Congress approved Sept. 25, 1890, which permits the officers and enlisted men of the army and navy of the United States, who are properly entitled, to wear on all occasions of ceremony the distinction badges adopted by military societies of men who served in the army or navy of the United States in the war of the rebellion, the war of 1812 and the war of 1861.

Resolved, That we are opposed to the repeal of the act of Congress approved Sept. 25, 1890, which permits the officers and enlisted men of the army and navy of the United States, who are properly entitled, to wear on all occasions of ceremony the distinction badges adopted by military societies of men who served in the army or navy of the United States in the war of the rebellion, the war of 1812 and the war of 1861.

Resolved, That we are opposed to the repeal of the act of Congress approved Sept. 25, 1890, which permits the officers and enlisted men of the army and navy of the United States, who are properly entitled, to wear on all occasions of ceremony the distinction badges adopted by military societies of men who served in the army or navy of the United States in the war of the rebellion, the war of 1812 and the war of 1861.

Resolved, That we are opposed to the repeal of the act of Congress approved Sept. 25, 1890, which permits the officers and enlisted men of the army and navy of the United States, who are properly entitled, to wear on all occasions of ceremony the distinction badges adopted by military societies of men who served in the army or navy of the United States in the war of the rebellion, the war of 1812 and the war of 1861.

Resolved, That we are opposed to the repeal of the act of Congress approved Sept. 25, 1890, which permits the officers and enlisted men of the army and navy of the United States, who are properly entitled, to wear on all occasions of ceremony the distinction badges adopted by military societies of men who served in the army or navy of the United States in the war of the rebellion, the war of 1812 and the war of 1861.

Resolved, That we are opposed to the repeal of the act of Congress approved Sept. 25, 1890, which permits the officers and enlisted men of the army and navy of the United States, who are properly entitled, to wear on all occasions of ceremony the distinction badges adopted by military societies of men who served in the army or navy of the United States in the war of the rebellion, the war of 1812 and the war of 1861.

Resolved, That we are opposed to the repeal of the act of Congress approved Sept. 25, 1890, which permits the officers and enlisted men of the army and navy of the United States, who are properly entitled, to wear on all occasions of ceremony the distinction badges adopted by military societies of men who served in the army or navy of the United States in the war of the rebellion, the war of 1812 and the war of 1861.

Resolved, That we are opposed to the repeal of the act of Congress approved Sept. 25, 1890, which permits the officers and enlisted men of the army and navy of the United States, who are properly entitled, to wear on all occasions of ceremony the distinction badges adopted by military societies of men who served in the army or navy of the United States in the war of the rebellion, the war of 1812 and the war of 1861.

Resolved, That we are opposed to the repeal of the act of Congress approved Sept. 25, 1890, which permits the officers and enlisted men of the army and navy of the United States, who are properly entitled, to wear on all occasions of ceremony the distinction badges adopted by military societies of men who served in the army or navy of the United States in the war of the rebellion, the war of 1812 and the war of 1861.

Resolved, That we are opposed to the repeal of the act of Congress approved Sept. 25, 1890, which permits the officers and enlisted men of the army and navy of the United States, who are properly entitled, to wear on all occasions of ceremony the distinction badges adopted by military societies of men who served in the army or navy of the United States in the war of the rebellion, the war of 1812 and the war of 1861.

Resolved, That we are opposed to the repeal of the act of Congress approved Sept. 25, 1890, which permits the officers and enlisted men of the army and navy of the United States, who are properly entitled, to wear on all occasions of ceremony the distinction badges adopted by military societies of men who served in the army or navy of the United States in the war of the rebellion, the war of 1812 and the war of 1861.

Resolved, That we are opposed to the repeal of the act of Congress approved Sept. 25, 1890, which permits the officers and enlisted men of the army and navy of the United States, who are properly entitled, to wear on all occasions of ceremony the distinction badges adopted by military societies of men who served in the army or navy of the United States in the war of the rebellion, the war of 1812 and the war of 1861.

Resolved, That we are opposed to the repeal of the act of Congress approved Sept. 25, 1890, which permits the officers and enlisted men of the army and navy of the United States, who are properly entitled, to wear on all occasions of ceremony the distinction badges adopted by military societies of men who served in the army or navy of the United States in the war of the rebellion, the war of 1812 and the war of 1861.

Resolved, That we are opposed to the repeal of the act of Congress approved Sept. 25, 1890, which permits the officers and enlisted men of the army and navy of the United States, who are properly entitled, to wear on all occasions of ceremony the distinction badges adopted by military societies of men who served in the army or navy of the United States in the war of the rebellion, the war of 1812 and the war of 1861.

Resolved, That we are opposed to the repeal of the act of Congress approved Sept. 25, 1890, which permits the officers and enlisted men of the army and navy of the United States, who are properly entitled, to wear on all occasions of ceremony the distinction badges adopted by military societies of men who served in the army or navy of the United States in the war of the rebellion, the war of 1812 and the war of 1861.

Resolved, That we are opposed to the repeal of the act of Congress approved Sept. 25, 1890, which permits the officers and enlisted men of the army and navy of the United States, who are properly entitled, to wear on all occasions of ceremony the distinction badges adopted by military societies of men who served in the army or navy of the United States in the war of the rebellion, the war of 1812 and the war of 1861.

Resolved, That we are opposed to the repeal of the act of Congress approved Sept. 25, 1890, which permits the officers and enlisted men of the army and navy of the United States, who are properly entitled, to wear on all occasions of ceremony the distinction badges adopted by military societies of men who served in the army or navy of the United States in the war of the rebellion, the war of 1812 and the war of 1861.