

PROVO.

PROVOST, UTAH.—OCT. 17, 1893.

CITY COUNCILS.

The Upper, Lower, Redwood, Hill, York.—The Question of Municipal Taxation.

Business was transacted as follows at last night's session of the city council:

The bill providing for the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was returned by the mayor without his signature. The motion was carried, so a resolution will be made to present him with it again at the next meeting.

The petition of Thomas L. Ward et al for a reduction in their city taxes to the amount they say were beyond the range of municipal taxation was granted on the recommendation of the committee on judiciary.

The mayor submitted a communication to the committee on judiciary, in the effect that an option had been obtained from Mayor Thurman and his husband relative to the matter of collecting taxes on real property but which he did not consider it wise to make public. The option was referred to the collection of such a tax, notwithstanding former rulings of the court.

The committee voted to recommend that the city of the county join in the movement to determine the question of the range of municipal taxation, if necessary.

The committee on public grounds and city property was instructed, on motion of Mr. Wilson, to see what could be done to have the corporation portion of the city tax within the corporate limits comprising their wishes as to cutting down the corporate limits of the city.

FIRST DISTRICT COURT.

A \$10,000 Verdict—Report of the Grand Jury.

Hans C. Christensen pleaded guilty to the charge of unlawful combination. He waived time is plead, and was sentenced to two months' imprisonment.

Louis Harrison pleaded not guilty to the charge of forgery.

Konradh Lawhorn was arraigned on the charge of larceny and took the stand in his defense.

The case of Samuel Bennett vs. Tidus Iron Mining company was tried. The case was brought to recover \$20,000 damages for injuries sustained by plaintiff in the course of his employment. It was the opinion of the defense corporation. He was working on a dugway which caved in and was precipitated over an embankment and down an incline of 25 feet, breaking his arm, collar bone, and several ribs. The case was tried before Judge Blackburn's time when the jury awarded plaintiff \$300 damages. The Supreme court heard the case on appeal and returned it to the trial court for trial. The jury returned a verdict of \$10,000 for plaintiff, one-half of the twelve defendants. A stay of proceedings for thirty days, pending a motion for new trial, was granted.

The case of John Morgan & Co. vs. H. C. Gruenig, a suit for \$100 for damages, is in course of trial. It is alleged that defendant failed to file reports greatly detrimental to the plaintiff company, when operating a mine in the country.

The grand jury presented a dual report and were discharged. The salient features of the report are as follows:

Cases considered, no witness examined, 100; indictments found, 27; cases dismissed, 10; indictments found, 10; Brooks, Faxon, vs. Charles Weller, People vs. Charles Weller et al., People vs. Mike Connor et al., People vs. Peter Nott, People vs. Mac Clay et al., People vs. John D. Jones, People vs. J. L. Jones (Charge of perjury against Judge Jameson); County Attorney vs. State, examining the attorney's official seal.

The charges are understood to consist in those considered to be trifling.

The case of the People vs. James F. Duran (misappropriation of funds from the state of Roberts & Rice) was adjourned until the 20th instant.

The case of the People vs. Whitman, Hill, D. M. Madson, Samuel Carlson and John G. Timmons et al.

"In the case of the United States vs. S. J. Jones," says the jury, "there are sufficient issues for witnesses who did not appear, and we believe that additional evidence will be adduced to support the claim that the case should be remitted to the next grand jury."

Public buildings were reported in to be in good condition, except the Central High school, where some of the brick in the cornice were loose and liable to fall.

The jury was discharged, and the Jamesbury case remanded.

Political.

Frank D. Hatch of Payson is the Republican member of the Twelfth congressional district and the 14th. Wins of both of the electoral representatives.

Alexander Hobart of Springville is the Democratic member of the Eleventh representative district.

Child Burned to Death.

The three-year-old child of S. W. Wing of Mapleton was burned to death on Friday eve. His older brother, George, 10, was pulled to the floor, a seat where he sat for a good long time, and when his sister ran to him to see what had become of him, the younger brother was still alive.

Found dead at the St. C. Academy was one of the most enthusiastic members of the institution. A graduate was found, and the cause of his death was attributed to the cold. St. C. is a new school, and the condition of the institution, were various. At first place values were fixed by the county under contract with Captain Moore. After the receiving a large sum, the trustees sold the school to Brigham Young. The former son of the founder of the institution derived an income which enabled the deceased

to live, and the best part of his estate in Utah from the beginning worth the sum of \$10,000. He died in the present year, when he was 60 years old. His wife and children are the only survivors of the family.

A glowing tribute was paid to the deceased school and its services.

Other speakers were modest and sincere, written especially for the occasion by J. L. Townsend, "The Oregon Star," "Pioneer's Day," and others, were read. In the afternoon, a service was given at the church.

The bill providing for the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was returned by the mayor without his signature. The motion was carried, so a resolution will be made to present him with it again at the next meeting.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$250, was retained by the city.

The revenue from the reduction of the liquid license from \$500 per quart to \$25