

from this State," has declared that his "warning ought to be heeded," by that body, which "ought not to reject it," has intimated that if the candidate most prominently mentioned is elected. he will be "received coldly, his recom mendations viewed with suspicion or distrust, his desires not considered of weight," etc. And why, pray? Because the President has advised that he be not chosen for the place. That is the Tribune's attitude on this question.

in this unprecedented position? The respondent, and the individuals behind the scheme to turn the Legislature in a Tribune come out squarely and tell its readers the name of the man it "go to Washington and be cordially received everywhere, his recommendations deferred to, his wishes consulted, his desires considered favorably," etc? Come forth, and show that poorly concealed and clumsy hand, and hold up the would-be candidate for whom all this miserable work is being done, and In which the name of the President has been dragged, without regard to the

consequences to him and to his party! The Deseret News maintains its posttion on this whole matter. That is, that it all rests on a reported newspaper interview, in which the President's alleged statements were made secondhand, with nothing to substantinte the story. It should have no more effect upon the Utah Legislature, nor be received with greater credence, than the already exploded newspaper stories, about President Cleveland's and President McKinley's reported interference in a similar manner. If the President of the United States or the junior Senator from Utah has any "message" to send to the Legislature of this State, let it come in a more reliable form than in a reported interview, furnished to a paper that has not hesitated to publish many sensational and highly colored canards when they suited its purpose. The President ought not to be viewed in the faise light thrown upon him by those who have so shamefully misused his name and authority, and in any event the Legislature of Utah should perform its work, uninfluenced by anything or anybody but the sacred duties imposed by the oaths of its members, and the expressed wishes of the people

According to recent Paris dispatches, the probability is that the trial of the Humbert family will end in only short terms of imprisonment. The public sentiment is said to be changing in their favor, now that it is seen the government is not shielding them. It is now thought that the Humberts, although they were the instrumentalities of the frauds, were not the real offenders and did not reap the profit. Moneylenders, it is said, made enormous sums trough them. They kept the fraud going, and when they could make no more, they set the law in motion to expose the Humberts. The public have no sympathy with that class of people. The story of the Humbert fraud is