8

TODAY'S PROBLEMS FOR AMERICANS

(Continued from page one.)

pany guarantees the workman against loss on his shares. He is, therefore, in the happy condition of receiving divi-dends, when the company pays a divi-dend, of losing nothing when other shareholders suffer loss. Just as the workman's wages are protected by making a mechanic's lien the first ob-ligation against a building, so the workman's stock is protected by mak-ing it a prior obligation against the assets of the stee company. This is the beginning of a movement

This is the beginning of a movement, which has in it streat promise and po-tency for the solution of the greatest industrial question of our time. The particular solution farmished by the United States Steel company is not possible for weaker companies which could not effectively guarantee the stock of their employes. But it seems capable of application to all the large and strong corporations of the coun-try. And if they adopt it hundreds of thousands of workmen would be ben-efitted, and some other device might be found for the encouragement of their comrades in weaker and less profitable round for the encouragement of their comrades in weaker and less profitable establishments. It is a form of part-nership between labor and capital, which has in it the elements of mu-tual recognition, common service and devotion, and reasonable equality and brotherhood brotherhood.

THE FARMER AND FREE LAND. So far 1 have spoken of the wage-earner. But the farmer also feels, though in less accute form, the existing inequalities of economic conditions. In an agricultural community, with plenty of five band models. d. For a century that has been condition in the United States. sured. the condition in the United States. But the public landes are now pretty nearly exhausted and the would-be farmer is finding it difficult to pro-cure land. The phenomenon of vast

and increasing fortunes is as disagree able to a landless farmer as to a pro-letarian wage-earnier. Here again see little in the power of government to ameliorate this economic condition to ameliorate this economic condition except indeed to provide for educa-tion in agriculture. And the farmer is taking the matter into his own hands and moving northward, where there is abundance of unoccupied land in the new provinces of Saskatchewan and Alberta as well as in the older provinces of Manitoba. Immigrants from all parts of the world are flow-ing into the vast and fertile wheat fields of the Canadian northwest, and, as 1 am informed, from a third to a as I am informed, from a third to a half of all who arrive come from the United States. And the Americans are half of an who arrive come from the americans are more welcome than any other people because they understand and wisely adapt themselves to the conditions of the new country. The scenes once familiar in Kansas, Nebraska and more recently in the Dakotas now enact themselves anew in these Canadian provinces, Of course we cannot but re-gret that so many good citizens are leaving our republic. On the other hand it is a matter of satisfaction that they are bettering their condition and of congratulation that, if they are to leave us at all, they settle in an ad-joining country where their influence will be a pledge of amicable relations and of good will and friendship for generations to come.

VAST FORTUNES ON TRIAL.

VAST FORTUNES ON TRIAL. Colossal fortunes are on trial in this country. Whether and how far it is worth while to encourage and protect them, is a question for the future. The voters of the country who will answer it will have their sentiments and opinions influenced by the use to which these owners put their fortunes in their lifetime and the manner in which they dispose of them at their death. Yet there is one fundamental fact which is apt to be overlooked by radicals, though it is essential to a just consideration of the whole question. I allude to the circumstance that, not on-ly are great fortunes usually safer in ly are great fortunes usually safer in the hands of the people who have made them than in any other hands, but in chedience to economic laws they must be employed in the maintenance of productive enterprizes which benefit the consuming public and pay wages





DESERET EVENING NEWS WEDNESDAY DECEMBER 16 1908

WHAT'S Your Health Worth?

You start sickness by mistreating nature and it generally shows first in the bowels and liver. A loc box (week'streatment) of CASCARETS will help nature help you. They will do more-using them regularly as you need them-than any medicine on Earth. Get a box today; take a CASCARET tonight. Better in the morning. It's the result that makes millions take them. millions take them. 881

CASCARETS for a box for a week's treatment, all druggists. Biggest seller in the world. Million boxes a month.

o employes as well as earn profits the owners. Yet a life devoted solely the owners. Yet a life devoted solely to making money would seem a sordid and wretched one. And this is the tes-timony of our multi-millionaires them-selves. The true test in this matter, as Aristotle long ago pointed out, is the way in which a man spends his wealth. It is certainly a very encouraging sign that our rich men have given so large-ue of their means for the promotion of ly of their means for the promotion of objects which we must always regard, not only as far higher than the acnot only as far higher than the ac-cumulation of money, but as constitut-ing the real ends of civilization. For they have endowed colleges and uni-versities for the training and develop-ment of the intellect, for the diffusion ment of the intellect, for the diffusion of culture, and the advancement of sel-ence. They have founded art raileries to grafify and elevate the sense, of beauty amongst us. They have built churches for the preacting of the gos-pel of peace and good will and hos-pitals for the care of the sick and suf-fering. And the very richest of our men have openly proclaimed that they recognize their fortunes as a trust to be administered by them for the bene-fit of humanity. The policy of modern civilized nations is favorable to this view. In the main multi-millionaires are allowed to use their money as they will during their life time. But, as I have already said, the phenomenon of have already said, the phenomenon of such vast fortunes is new and as yet on trial. As the Greeks with their ever-present sense of an avenging Nemesis bade us call no man happy until the end, so the phenomenon of vast fortunes which has emerged in this generation cannot be properly ap-praised until the owners have finally handed in their accounts to the pub-lic which will vindicate or condemn them. I believe that the conduct of a few multi-millionaires now living is likely to determine the attitude and shape the policy of the public towards the phenomenon of colossal fortunes for many years to come. If they use them in the interest of the public, the public will not begrudge them their success. If they use them for the ag-grandisement of family or the con-solidation of private interests, we are solidation of private interests, we are likely to see attacks on the existing laws of bequest. Nor will these attacks be met by the statement—true though it is—that these fortunes are engaged in productive enterprises and are, therefore, beneficial to the public. That would be true whether ownership were centarlized or diffused. And the ques-tion before us is whether the ownership in single hands of such vast fortunes is a benefit or an injury to the coms a benefit or an injury to the com-monwealth. Some of our ilchest men nave already clearly defined their posi-tion. They have declared that to die rich is to die disgraced; that the com-munity contributed as much as the multi-millionaire (if not more) to the naking of his fortune; and that the making of his fortune; and that the community is entitled to its share when the distribution comes. If this view or some such view generally prevalls, we may feel assured that the distribu-tion of large fortunes will be accom-plished with satisfaction and advantage to the community without interference to the community without interference on the part of the government. And government interference in the field of onomic distribution is always fraught with the gravest perils. It is apt to mark indeed the beginning of revolu-President Schurman went on to point

out that if multi-millionares themselves failed to recognize their obligation to share their fortunes with the community which they have accumulated with the co-operation of the community then it is in power of the government to enforce this obligation by a system of taxation on the transfer and inherit-ance of decedent's estates. He exsed the opinion that there was no 'juster tax, no tax whose incidence is nore lightly felt." He suggested that in connection with this National Corn xposition, the governor of Nebraska call a conference of governors or other representatives of the several states to formulate a policy on inheritance from Turning then to the subject of legislaiton against trusts, Dr. Schurman said that if a just and wise policy could be formulated and established in re-gard to the rights and obligations of the owners of great fortunes as well as the claims of the public calm and im-partial discussion of the problem of Trusts and corporations would be casier. "The simple fact is," said he, "that a large corporation or so-called trust is merely a mode of organizing mod-ern business. It eliminates expenses, secures efficiency and by means of pro-duction on a large scale cheapens the duction on a large scale cheapens the cost of commodities." Combinations in restraint of trade and for the establishment of monopoly Dr. Schurman said had been forbidden for centuries under the common law. Of the anti-trus law of 1890 he said that Congress meant by it merely to embody in a statute the provisions of the common law. The courts however, said he "have held that every combination whether reasonable or unreasonable, whether beneficial or injurious, was forbilden by this statute if the combination actually resulted—ac injurious, was forbidden by this statute if the combination actually resulted—as every combination does result—in re-stricting competition. The courts have held that the legislators who passed the anti-trust law of 1890 wanted to maintain competition. The statute of 1890 rests on the old maxim that 'compe-tition is thell the of truck. 1890 rests on the old maxim that 'compe-tition is thell lfe of trade.' "Meanwhile, however, the tendency of all modern business has been to eliminate competition. We find our-selves then in the curious position of legislating against the strongest and most obvious tendency of the modern dow. ost obvious tendency of the modern declared business corporations illegal which are guilty of no violation of the moral law, which have not been unjust and oppressive, which have not raised the prices of their commodities to the explain. public, but which on the contrary have greatly benefited producers of naw ar-ticles as well as the consumers of the finished product because while they are uilty of no offense whatever, they have ombined a number of smaller con-erns into one large one, and such comtions into one large one, and such com-binations, even when reasonable and beneficial, are made an offense by this drastic statute. If the large corpora-tions cheapen and increase production, open new markets, assure industrial progress, and secure reasonable prices, that is no extenuation; the mere act of combining smaller concerns into a of combining smaller concerns into a arger one to that extent restricts com-petition and brings the transaction under the ban of the law. On the face of this decision there can be little doubt that, not only most of the large cor-porations, but most of the smaller corporations and co-partnerships doing business in the country, are obnoxious to the same condemnation which the courts have recently meted out to courts have recently meted out to some of the largest corporations. "It is folly to attempt to legislate against the nature of things. The leg-islature cannot reinstate competition in the economic world when competition has given way as it has in modern times to combination, consequently it is a safe assertion that the anti-trust law of 1890 must be repealed. Neither the public nor Congress can instruct business men in the methods of trans-

business men in the methods of trans

acting their affairs. Let the public and Congress have a right to insist that in the transaction of business

here shall be no infringement of the

rights of the public, no injurious mo-nopoly, no oppression either of rivals or consumers. If the big corporations called trusts render obedience to law, respect the rights of others and avoid injustice and oppression, it is a matter of perfect indifference whether in the conduct of their business they are influence by the old principle of compe-tition or the new and better principle

of combination. PUBLIC SERVICE CORPORATIONS. So, too, a wise and just solution of the problem of great fortunes will en-able us to take a samer view of rallway

able us to take a saner view of railway and other public service corporations. Undoubtedly in the past their legiti-mate business has been hampered by blind and needless legislation. It is a question whether rebates, discrimina-tions, and other injurious practises, could not have been eliminated by the enforcement of the provisions of common law. And in trying to remedy these evils by new statutes we have undoubtedly created other evils and greatly hampered business. Railway men are the best judges of the methods of transacting railway business. The men are the best judges of the internots of transacting railway business. The public had no interest in interfering in that province. All that the public de-sires of the public service corporations is that they shall honorably fulfill the obligations imposed upon them by law obligations imposed upon them by law in return for the franchise which the law has conferred upon them. And these just and reasonable demands the public will undoubtedly enforce. Efficient service without discrimination and at a reasonable charge: these are the conditions under which public fran-chises have been granted and these are the conditions the recipients of those franchises must fulfill.

the conditions the recipients of those franchises must fulfill. The problem of the supervision and regulation of public service corpora-tions has under the statesmanlike leadership of Governor Hughes been satisfactory solved in the State of New York. Two commissions have been established to represent the state, which are clothed with large powers and have authority to en-force against the corporations the obligations which they assumed when they received their franchises. These commissions are at all times ready to commissions are at all times ready to hear complaints and to represent the rights and interests of the people. On rights and interests of the people. On the other hand they must do justice to the corporations; for any order involving a confiscation of property would be reviewable in the courts, and by the courts set aside. Perhaps the greatest value of these commis-sions lies in the fact that there is a body reviewsenting the community to body representing the community, to which the citizens can go, sure of a sympathetic hearing. In this way commissions are able to adjust difficommissions are able to adjust mil-culties before they become acute. The great gain is the opportunity for the hearing of every complaint by dis-interested parties. And the vast ma-jority of cases are settled without calling for the issue of an order by the commission. Equally valuable are these commissions from the point of the commission. Equally valuable are these commissions from the point of view of the corporations; for they protect the corporations against hasty and ill-considered action by the legis-lature and assure them at all times of absolutely just treatment. If the commissions assert the rights of the manufacture of the second people, they also recognize the rights of the public service corporations. But they never lose sight of the fact that the corporation when it has re-ceived its franchise from the state assumed obligations in the way of efficient service, at a reasonable cost, which the state has a right to enforce, and which the state through these commissions is now actually enforc-



"My child was burned terribly about the face, neck and chest. I applied Dr. Thomas Eclectric Oil. The pain ceased and the child sank into a restful sleep." 25 PER CENT DISCOUNT FROM THE -Mrs. Nancy M. Hanson, Hamburg, N. Y. Utah Teachers' association, Salt Lake

City, Dec. 21 to 23, one fare round trip. On sale from Tintic district, Fairfield and points south of Nephi, Dec. 20 and 21. All other points in Utah, Dec. 21 and 22. Final return limit Jan. 4. Salt Lake Deuts. Lake Route.

For best goods and prices, 151 E. 3rd So. Bell, 1078, Ind., 3145, Prompt FROM THE START So. Bel delivery. TO CLOSE OUT THE LINE Dolls, Toys and Games: New goods bought direct on import. We must close out the line to make room for our increasing Book Trade. DESERET NEWS BOOK STORE,

Book Concern,

HOLIDAY EXCURSIONS. Via D. & R. G. R. R.

Call Up Ensign Grocery

One single fare for the round trip between all points in Utah, Dec. 18, 19, 24, 25, 31 and Jan. 1st. All tlekets good returning until Jan. 4th.

The Leading Book Concernment of Main St. Kemoval S Forced to vacate our present location we offer the SPECIAL BIG REDUCTION ON Books, Dolls, Pictures, Toys and Fancy Goods. Of 20% to 50% OFF REDUCTION ON ALL STAPLE AND OFFICE SUPPLIES Elegant Lines of New Holiday Goods. Can Save you Money. **Margett's Book Store** 7 MAIN STREET A REAL ROSE Turned into everlasting metal and colored with nature's pigments at a price not more than you pay for the fresh flower. This is what a DELAMOTBE METALIZED ROSE HAT PIN IS. ESTABLISHED

We have them exclusively in Salt Lake, and they already make a tremendous hit.

One-fifty and Up.



THE CHARLTON SHOP

OUTFITTERS FOR WOMEN





