

accept the amendment and only name the committee for the purpose of getting the matter before the Board, and the additional reason that this is one of those cases where the Board cannot appoint the committee, as he has been charged with a series of serious offenses.

The President—It did not leave the chair to make a speech in my defense, as this is not the time or the place. My speech was not intended to be in front of the public and meet investigation of all matters that in any way interest the public. It had not my mind to involve the opposition in any trouble, however, I am not particular what names the committee or how it is appointed.

Simonds—I am in favor of the appointment of a committee of five, one from each county.

Brown—I propose to stand by the county in whatever it does wisely and well. I am now firmly of the opinion the communication should be kept.

Richards—I don't know if the action would meet the view of the President or his constituents. I say, investigate by all means. I remember when I stood before this body yesterday with both gravity and earnestness, that I was investigating an effort was made to impeach me; my voice was hoarse and my vote cast added freedom and liberty were at stake and my rights, gentlemen, were trampled upon and you all know it. Now, I do not want to repeat such an effort. I think not and I certainly do not. I say, do not let us hush this matter up. If I did not think such action was necessary, I would not have voted so emphatically, the previous night, of this body. I, too, would be in favor of taking the matter.

Hawley concurred with Rich and spoke in favor of the appointment of the committee of five, one from each county.

Lewis—I am inclined extremely

sure that it is again necessary to listen to the reasoning of such a series of actions as have been taken by your constituents.

The man whom we recognize as Mayor of this city is fit at times, unless he is creating strife and sensitivity by the holding of investigations. I think it is about time that he should be suspended from his office. I have evaluated my personal feelings. I, too, would be in favor of tabling the communication but if we do that the doubtless the public will be profited considerably in this community by the fact that we are lifting our right winged banner.

Moran—I move that the matter be laid on the table until later in the evening. Carried.

#### OTHER INVESTIGATIONS.

The recorder read the following telegram from his house, the Mayor:

C. E. Stanton, New York, City Recorder:

Dear Sir—I recently came across the records of your office concerning the case of the two tons of wrought iron and fittings of various water pipes, leaving date of December 20, 1892, for the value of \$1,000.

The offices of the city in making purchases and contracts, the same being done by the recorder, used their personal efforts to prevent any unnecessary expenses as practicable. As bearing upon the present case it is a fact, that the recorder, in his judgment, turned over most of the two tons of wrought iron pipe used by the city, through the Mayor, to the City Council, a bill being introduced in the Legislature, which is proposed to pay him \$1,000.

The City Council, however, made no objection to the same, and the same was submitted to the recorder, who, in his judgment, the same must be returned to the city, they have already paid to the city, that they have been paid to the city, the amount of \$1,000.

I have, however, had some difficulty in obtaining the amount due to the city, and it requires more consideration than I possess to believe that the committee could not have, without extraordinary exertion, obtained the amount due to the city, than that the firm refused to make a bill for the amount of \$1,000.

Adopted.

The reading of this also caused a stir, but the discussion was laid on the table while the committee voted to accept the resolution.

In which the Mayor says that a punishment had been served upon him in the case of John L. and A. F. Lowman vs. C. T. Vail. The Mayor called attention to a notice served on July 28, 1892, to the recorder and on motion of the recorder, the services of an attorney were engaged to represent him on or after August 1, 1892, that he would be required to pay an additional \$200 monthly payable in advance, and as he had been doing, he would be compelled to continue to pay the same.

President—Lindquist said, "I have been told that the services preferred by the Mayor were a little high sounding and that it would be so proven in the due process of law."

On December 10, 1892, a suit was brought against Vail for \$200, which, together with costs and interest, amounts to \$250.00.

The Mayor stated that he understood

Vail had been paying \$200 monthly for the last six months of the year, and that he had been doing so.

Richards—The enforcement of the judgment in consideration of the seven days is like taking the point of flesh. I therefore recommended that the City Council, in its discretion, pay Mr. Vail his wages, not that the government is disgruntled. I directed the city treasurer to hold his wages until the Committee in the meantime." Vail was not in the employ of the city, but he was in the service of the Mayor, under the head of administration.

Moran (indignantly)—I was not aware that we had a paybook among us, but it appears we have, and I must say it is not in the taste to have one of these.

Adopted until Friday night next.

#### ADVERSE OPINION.

The city attorney reported adversely to the resolution of the recorder, and said that it had been referred back to him.

Johnston—It is my opinion that the committee should be allowed to act.

Adopted.

#### FROM GALLERIES.

Gallagher sent the following report, which was accepted:

Amount of deposits ..... \$1,000,000.00

Number of depositors ..... 1,000

Total ..... \$1,000,000.00

From the first list of regular accounts, the following were omitted:

For HAVING A BUILDING REBUILT.

The city soliciting engineer sent a communication in which he asked the recorder to appropriate \$1,000 to him for issuing building permits to the members of St. Mary's Hospital Board and Friends.

Deputy Recorder Dennis was on his feet with a flush and said: "That is not business, Mr. Lawrence. You cannot issue permits to the poor members. We will wait to see if the engineer's stamp has been duly stamped and entered upon the records."

"That talk is all stuff. The matter was referred to me long ago, and I have been doing my best to interest me more than anyone else, and I have no time to report upon it."

"I don't object to this at all, but your action is irregular and without authority and I can't afford to you asking the permit in this manner."

"Well, I've got it, anyway," said Mr. Lawrence, starting for the door. He was closely followed by Mr. Dennis who declared that the communication referred to was all right.

"Oh, you keep your place and hold your tongue," interposed a third councilman. "Remember that you have no right to interfere in matters that do not concern you."

"This new concern me very much."

"I will advise you to keep your place and hold your tongue," interposed a second councilman. "It may be good for you."

"Well, I don't care, you can discharge me tomorrow if you wish, but I want you, gentlemen, to understand that I have my rights and that I will maintain them," shrilled Dennis as he left.

Then the debate developed into a row that threatened to become general, and some very strong and impudent language was used. Dennis, however, finally obtained possession of the documents and quickly left the chamber.

#### WANT A "MULLA, THRUH."

The street supervisor, health commissioner and sanitary inspector petitioned for a mulla, to be held by the three departments joint committee on improvement.

Dr. Meekham, city physician, requested a mulla, to be held by the three departments joint committee on improvement.

Mr. Meekham, city physician, requested a mulla, to be held by the three departments joint committee on improvement.

Adopted.

#### AS TO PHYSICIAN.

The street supervisor, health commissioner and sanitary inspector petitioned for a mulla, to be held by the three departments joint committee on improvement.

Dr. Meekham, city physician, requested a mulla, to be held by the three departments joint committee on improvement.

Adopted.

#### AGAINST THE D. A. AND M. SOCIETY.

The committee on claims reported adversely on the petition of the D. A. and M. Society, for an extension of the tax term for watermain extension. Adopted.

#### SHORE EXTENSION.

The committee on water main extension in the harbor extension, lower on West Temple street, that the city engineer be instructed to return estimate of cost at the next meeting. Adopted.

#### PLAT APPROVED.

The city engineer reported that he had approved and filed as part of the city survey a plat of Stevens subdivision. Filed.

#### ELECTRIC LIGHTS.

The committee on improvements reported adversely on the petition of J. E. Taylor for electric lights at the intersection of Eighth Street and Ninth East street, on the portion of J. E. Taylor and others for an electric light at the intersection of Third South and Seventh West street, on the portion of J. E. Taylor and others for an electric light at the intersection of First North and Fifth West streets. Adopted.

#### POWER ATTACHMENT.

The committee on police reported favorably on the appointment of Joseph Bushy as a member of the regular police force. Adopted.

#### SAFETY CRIMES.

The committee on courage recommended to the master of the prison the petition of Jenny E. Elies et al., for an extension of Second East street, that the city engineer be required to return an estimate of cost at the next meeting. The petition was rejected on the ground that it was not in the interest of either the city or the state. The committee on courage recommended to the master of the prison that the petition be rejected. Adopted.

#### MOVEMENT AGAINST SPRINKLING.

A majority of the committee on improvements reported, recommending that Mount & Croft be paid \$2,000 for sprinkling out salt the cause of N. November.

Bushy offered a motion to reject which it was voted down. It was voted that the services of the sprinkling company were not specified, even though it was understood, the committee on courage had voted to the contrary.

Several rounds of amateur parliamentary sparring then occurred after which the bill was allowed on a strict party vote of 10 to 2.

#### APPROVING BILLS.

The following appropriations were made:

Deseret Coop. ..... \$1,000.00

D. B. Knott ..... 500.00

E. H. Wright ..... 500.00

State Improvement Fund ..... 1,000.00

Waterworks Co. ..... 1,000.00

Wash. Water Co. ..... 1,000.00

</div