THE OFFICIAL COUNT.

Two New Contests for the Legislative Assembly.

IMPORTANT QUESTIONS INVOLVED

The Legislative Canvass Nearing Completion.—County and City Vote Will Take Some Time.

The fourth day of the election canvass brought two new contests before the Utah Commission in counting the vote for the Legislature.

One was that of Hugh M. Dougall, particulars of which are here given.

The other was by the Republican Territorial committee, directed against John Sharman, who, while a candidate for the Legislative Council, held the office and performed the duties of registrar of Weber county. The claim is that Mr. Sharman is ineligible because he is a Federal officer. The details regarding this protest are given in another column.

There was also talk of a contest from the town of Sandy, Salt Lake county, but as no irregularity appeared on the face of the returns, the candidates who claim they were wrongfully counted out decided to take the matter to the courts for adjudication.

There are now two Councilmanic and three Representative districts to be completed in the canvass. The Ninth Council district is held open pending the investigation in the Green-Seeley contest. The Commission today considered the sending of a telegram to President Cleveland to learn whether Judge Seeley's resignation has been received, but decided to defer action for the present. The other Council district to be canvassed is the south. This is awaiting returns from several precincts in the southern part of the Territory. The House districts held back are in three Councilmanic districts.

RECALL TO MORMONISM.

The protest of H. M. Dougall reads as follows:

To the Honorable Utah Commissioners of Utah:

In the matter of the contest of the election of members of the House in the Eleventh Legislative district of Utah, Hugh M. Dougall, one of the candidates and contestants at the office of member of the Legislature from said district, do hereby object to the counting of any votes from the precinct of Mapleton, in said district, for the following reasons:

When the Eleventh Legislative district was formed, the Mapleton precinct did not exist. About two years ago, to-wit: on March 24, 1882, and since the distribution of the Territory by your honorable commissioners, the county court of Utah county created the Mapleton precinct, of which was formerly a part of Springville and a part of Spanish Fork precincts the two parts of said precincts being counted in the said new precinct, Mapleton.

Spanish Fork was prior to that and is now a part of the Twelfth Legislative district, and the vote thereof belonged to that district. The vote within that precinct had no right to vote within the Eleventh district until your honorable commissioners should change the boundaries thereof, by the act of the county court a new precinct was formed. All the votes cast therein have been included and counted with and as a part of the Eleventh district at the election held on November 7, 1882. Many of those, probably more than one-half, had no right to vote for a member of the Legislature for the Eleventh district for the above reasons, they not residents of said district, and are not residents of it. There is no way to determine how many voted or how they voted, of those who lived in the other district.

I declare, as a candidate for election to the Legislative Assembly from said district, and believing myself lawfully entitled thereto, object to and protest against the counting of any votes from Mapleton precinct.

I send herein the original resolution adopted by the county court of Utah county, showing the formation of said Mapleton precinct.

HUGH M. DOUGALL.

The county court resolution referred to accompanies the protest, as does also a plat of the precincts. These show that about two-thirds of the present area of Mapleton was formerly in Spanish Fork, and the remaining one-third in Springville.

When the precinct returns came to, Mapleton was not listed to either the Eleventh or Twelfth representative districts. Inquiry was made as to what precinct Mapleton formerly was a part of, and on the assurance of J. H. Milner, of Provo, a Democrat, that it had been taken out of Springville precinct, it was listed to the Eleventh Legislative district as the latter place, if the statement had been made that Mapleton had been made out of Spanish Fork precinct it would have been counted to the Twelfth district, where the result would have been satisfied. The commission state that at the time they had no idea that a portion had been taken from Spanish Fork precinct, to a separate legislative district.

With Mapleton in the Eleventh district, Rossiter has a majority of 2 votes; with it in the Twelfth district, Donnell would have a majority of 25 votes. The precinct stood, Republican, D., 57; Dougall, R., 57.

Mr. Robertson was present at the time the protest was filed, so he was notified, and the hearing was set for 2 p. m. on Saturday, Nov. 18.

THE GREEN-SEELEY CONTEST.

The Green-Seeley contest in the Ninth Council district has been postponed till 10 a. m. on Saturday, the 18th inst., so that Judge Seeley may be represented. Mr. Greenwood and his attorney were on hand to-day, but the correspondence was not to come of Judge Seeley's absence. A telegram has been sent to him to compel him at the contest. An affidavit made by him, and now in the hands of Mr. Robertson, of the commission, reads as follows:

Territory of Utah, } ss.
County of Salt Lake. }

I, Orange Seeley, being first duly sworn, say that I reside at Provo in said county, and that I am the incumbent of the office of district judge of Juney county, Utah Territory, for the 5th day of October, 1882, and was elected the same to Grover Cleveland, President United States of America, on the 15th day of October, 1882, and tendered the same to the governor of Utah on the 16th day of October, 1882, and that I have not received my commission as such judge, and that I have not received my commission as such judge, and that I have not received my commission as such judge