

TRUTH AND LIBERTY. THE GENUINE LIVER PILLS. AN OPEN SECRET AMONG THE LADIES. OVERLAND HOUSE. MONEY TO LOAN. CHAMPION MONITOR.

ST. LOUIS TRADE. Leggett & Myers Tobacco Co. Manufacturers of Choice SALT RINGS, CIGARETTES, CUPPER, ANKER, TOBACCO. On sale by Z.C.M.I. and Branch Stores.

BARSTOW & WHITELAW. 611 North Second St., ST. LOUIS, MO. DEALERS IN: OILS, PAINTS, ROPE, STONES, HEAVY GOODS, AND WINDOW GLASS.

L. M. RUMSEY & CO. Manufacturers and Jobbers of PUMPS AND FIRE ENGINES. Lead Pipe and Sheet Lead, Gas Pipe, Plumbers and Steam Fitters. Brass Goods, Belling, Hoses and Packing.

AGRICULTURAL IMPLEMENTS. Fencing Wire, Barbed Wire, Feeding, Etc., Etc. 810 NORTH SECOND ST., ST. LOUIS, MO.

GRAND OAK RANGES. THE BEST Always Cheapest! MADE ONLY BY EXCESSOR MFG CO., ST. LOUIS, MO.

WEDDING CAKES. MADE AND ORNAMENTED BY WM. HILL, PHILADELPHIA COFFEE HOUSE.

HEBER J. HARTLEY, DEALER IN WINES, LIQUORS, CIGARS, CHOICE GROCERIES, FLOUR & GRAIN.

W. L. PRICE, DEALER IN Fish, Poultry & Game, FRUIT, VEGETABLES & GROCERIES.

THE LONDON BANK OF UTAH. CAPITAL, £200,000. Number of Shares Subscribed for, 1,000,000.

REPLY TO THE PROTEST. Hon. Geo. O. Cannon demolishes all the Statements and Arguments of Allen G. Campbell. AN UNANSWERABLE ANSWER.

To His Excellency H. M. Merrill, Governor of the Territory of Utah. Sir:—In reply to the communication of Allen G. Campbell, Esq., in which he protests against the issue of a certificate of election to me as Delegate of the Territory of Utah in the Forty-seventh Congress of the United States, and demands the issue of the certificate to himself, I submit the following statement:

The grounds on which Mr. Campbell bases his protest and demand are: (1) That as canvassing officers the Governor and Secretary have power to go behind the returns, and ascertain from extrinsic evidence the number of votes legally cast for each candidate.

(2) That there is an evidence tending to disprove the qualifications of the 1,357 electors who voted for me. (3) That I am an unqualified elector. (4) That, being such, I am not eligible to the office of Delegate in Congress, and that my election is void.

(5) That, as a consequence of the certificate of election to me, the canvassing officers claimed the right to vote outvoted all the votes polled at the election. (6) That the canvassing officers granted that all votes cast by females were cast for me.

(7) That the canvassing officers which extend the right of suffrage to females is void. (8) That it is therefore impossible to determine without proof that the 1,356 votes cast for me include more legal votes than the 1,357 votes cast for him.

not and Secretary, except a certified copy of the names of the persons voted for and the number of votes cast for each. If the law requires them not merely to ascertain the number of votes shown by the clerk's returns, but to receive by themselves the returns, then the number of votes shown by the ballots and by extrinsic proof to have been legally cast, for each candidate—that is to say, not merely to canvass the clerk's returns, but to canvass the votes themselves and determine their legality—then the law is an outrage, and only on the Governor and Secretary who are compelled to make books without "draw," but on the candidates whose rights are to be adjudicated by officers from whom the law deliberately withholds the means essential to correct adjudications. This would be the most scandalous condition of the territorial law if it really existed.

The question now under consideration has been adjudicated many times by judicial and legislative tribunals in the United States upon the most serious and important questions like those embraced in sections 23, 24 and 25 of the "Laws of Utah." It has never been decided in favor of Mr. Campbell. Mr. McCarty in his "Laws of Utah," 2d Edition, 1876, correctly states the rule established by the concurrent authority of these tribunals to be, that the canvassing officers have no power to go behind the returns, and there is a question as to whether it is a return or not, they must decide that question from what appears upon the face of the paper itself.

Under statutory provisions similar to those of Utah, the Supreme Court of Missouri held that the power of the canvassing officers was restricted to the determination of the result shown by the returns. The following is the provision of the Missouri statute: "The Secretary of State, in the presence of the Governor and Secretary, shall canvass the returns and ascertain the number of votes for each candidate, and shall certify the result to the Governor and Secretary."

There is no discretion given, no power to go behind the returns, no power to inquire into the qualifications of the voters, no power to determine the legality of the returns, and no power to go behind the returns, and there is a question as to whether it is a return or not, they must decide that question from what appears upon the face of the paper itself.

The House of Representatives of the United States, in the case of the People v. Van Slyck, 4 Cow., 323, under the provisions of the New York statute, held that the clerk of the county commissioners' court, taking to his assistance two justices of the peace of the county, is authorized to receive and canvass the returns, and to certify the result to the Governor and Secretary.

Under this statute it was held by the Supreme Court of Wisconsin, in Attorney General vs. Barstow, 4 Wis., 775, as follows: "What it would have been competent for the legislature to do, the constitution was not competent to do. It was not competent to take away from the canvassing officers the power to go behind the returns, and to ascertain from extrinsic evidence the number of votes legally cast for each candidate."

The Supreme Court of Maine, in Bach v. York County Commissioners, 28 Me., 489, a case which arose under this statute, held: "The canvassing officers had no power to go behind the returns, and to ascertain from extrinsic evidence the number of votes legally cast for each candidate."

In O'Farrell v. Colby, 2 Minn., 186, a case decided under similar statutory provisions, the court held: "The canvassing officers had no power to go behind the returns, and to ascertain from extrinsic evidence the number of votes legally cast for each candidate."

The Supreme Court of Indiana, in the case of Brown v. O'Brien, 2 Carter, 10, held: "The canvassing officers had no power to go behind the returns, and to ascertain from extrinsic evidence the number of votes legally cast for each candidate."

It is provided in section 25 of the Revised Statutes of Illinois (1852) that the clerk of the county commissioners' court, taking to his assistance two justices of the peace of the county, is authorized to receive and canvass the returns, and to certify the result to the Governor and Secretary.

Under this statute it was held by the Supreme Court of Wisconsin, in Attorney General vs. Barstow, 4 Wis., 775, as follows: "What it would have been competent for the legislature to do, the constitution was not competent to do. It was not competent to take away from the canvassing officers the power to go behind the returns, and to ascertain from extrinsic evidence the number of votes legally cast for each candidate."

Under this statute it was held by the Supreme Court of Wisconsin, in Attorney General vs. Barstow, 4 Wis., 775, as follows: "What it would have been competent for the legislature to do, the constitution was not competent to do. It was not competent to take away from the canvassing officers the power to go behind the returns, and to ascertain from extrinsic evidence the number of votes legally cast for each candidate."

of law, and the result would not have been the same. The result would have been the same. The result would have been the same. The result would have been the same. The result would have been the same.

Not only is there no legal ground for a question of my eligibility by the canvassing officers, but the House of Representatives itself, based on the ground of allegiance, but through such ineligibility could be a lawful ground of action by the canvassing officers, and I respectfully submit, Mr. Campbell, that if it is a fact that I am ineligible, it should be so stated in the returns, and not in the certificate of election.

Mr. Campbell's fifth proposition is that the canvassing officers are not to go behind the returns, and to ascertain from extrinsic evidence the number of votes legally cast for each candidate.

Mr. Campbell's sixth proposition is that the canvassing officers are not to go behind the returns, and to ascertain from extrinsic evidence the number of votes legally cast for each candidate.

Mr. Campbell's seventh proposition is that the canvassing officers are not to go behind the returns, and to ascertain from extrinsic evidence the number of votes legally cast for each candidate.

Mr. Campbell's eighth proposition is that the canvassing officers are not to go behind the returns, and to ascertain from extrinsic evidence the number of votes legally cast for each candidate.

"NE VILE FANO" BUSINESS. POST OFFICE. DAVID JAMES. PLUMBER, TINNER, STREAM AND GAS FITTER.

45 Years before the Public. THE GENUINE LIVER PILLS. Dr. C. McLANE'S LIVER PILLS. Beware of Imitations.

AN OPEN SECRET AMONG THE LADIES. The brilliant, fascinating tints of complexion for which ladies strive are chiefly artificial, and all who will take the trouble may secure them.

OVERLAND HOUSE. Three Doors South of Walker House, MAIN ST., SALT LAKE CITY. Money to Loan.

CHAMPION MONITOR. The Most Durable and Perfect COOKING STOVE Made. WITHOUT EXCEPTION.

THE LONDON BANK OF UTAH. CAPITAL, £200,000. Number of Shares Subscribed for, 1,000,000.

THE LONDON BANK OF UTAH. CAPITAL, £200,000. Number of Shares Subscribed for, 1,000,000.

THE LONDON BANK OF UTAH. CAPITAL, £200,000. Number of Shares Subscribed for, 1,000,000.

THE LONDON BANK OF UTAH. CAPITAL, £200,000. Number of Shares Subscribed for, 1,000,000.