ment said to have been made by the Thatcher that they supporters of Thatcher that they would vote solidly for Thatcher until two-thirds of their number agreed otherwise. He had long supported Thatcher but considered himself at liberty to leave him at any time. He appealed to President Nebeker to verify his statement, and the latter stated that Mr. Cook had never been under the pledge made between the

supporters of Thatcher. When S. N. Cook's name was reached he arose and said that a statemeet had been made charging undue influence over members, which he could not let pass upnoticed. He was a member of the dominant Church and had ail the time understood that prominent officers of that Church desired the election of H. P. Henderson, but acting on his own judgment and with abcolute freedom, he had refused to support Henderson and had voted all the time for Rawlins. If the blook of votes referred to had gone to Thatober instead of Rawlins it would have been all right with the gentlemen who

When Dotson's name was reached he said that matters had been brought into the discussion which should not have been, but on principle which he held to be above party, he stood, and would vote for Moses Thatcher.

charged "Church influence,"

Duffin made a strong and eloquent address. He declared that he had voted fifty-two times for Handerson, and had done so as a free man from conviction that his candidate was the best man presented for the place. continued: "That noble hand which has stood by him so long through this severe contest are not slaves. In their bosoms best the principles of freedom, combined with the firm purpose of carrying them out, and the gentlemen who have impugned their motives are not themselves above suspicion. It has been instructed time and again in this joint assembly that there was a hand directing the voting. I deny this assertion. I permit no man to iniringe on my liberty, no matter who be may be, and I appeal to the members of this assembly to say by their action here today that this talk must oease."

He continued at some length in an elequent vein, denying the incinuathat undue influence was controlling the votes of the members, and insisting that he was acting as an absolutely free and independent man. During his remarks he was interrupted several times by opposing members, who objeoted to so much being said upon the church issue. In reply to these objections Duffin insisted that he had the right to defend his vote; that he and the Church of which he was a member had been unjustly attacked, and he was entitled to the privilege of reply. He showed that about five sixths of the voters in his district were members of the Democratic party and also of the Churon which was being assailed.

When Hardy's name was reached he declared that he had supported Henderson out of his admiration for the man and his confidence in his qualities for the position of United

dictation in politics and utterly repudi-ated such a charge in behalf of him-self and the other supporters of Henderson.

When Kenner's name was called he expressed a brief eulogy on Rawlins, oiting the excellent qualities of the man as reasons for supporting him. He said: "Bo far as the Church influencing my conduct is concerned, I am willing that the matter should be referred to the Church, confident that it will utterly repudiate all responsi-bility for my actions." This remark orested laughter.

Joseph Kimball spoke feelingly of his admiration and friendship Henderson, and deploted the fact that his election was hopeless, giving it as his reason for changing his vote. stated he would now vote for an old school mate, whose client he had been for years, and it was with both pleasure and regret that he now announced als vote for Joseph L. Rawline.

Mrs. La Barthe sald that she had voted first, last and all the time for Moses Thatcher, and it gave her pleas-ure to know that in so doing she was in barmony with the other lady memhere of the assembly.

O'Brien said that he had yet seen no reason for changlog his vote, and would record it for H. P. | Henderson.

Oveson said that fifty-one times he had voted for a noble and capable man who would have honored the position of United States Senator but seeing no hope of his election he would now vote for his second choice. He de-clared he did so as treely as he voted for his first choice,

Bloan said he had been asked to de liver what might be called the funeral oration of the cause dear to the hearts of himself and his friends; that whatever the result might be he would endeavor to barbor no feelings of bitterness. He said: "Men may think they are free when they are hondemen. I do koow as I know I live that undue influence bas been exerted over members of this assembly. I hold in my hand a frag-ment of a letter written by a member to which he says he holds a higner allegiance than to the State. It that man's vote helps to elect a United States senator he today, I will ohal-lenge his vote. The lacts will come out at some time," He continued in this strain at considerable length, referring to the accounts published in in the newspapers, of pulpit utterances adverse to his oandidate, and said: "Need any man tell me that the consequences of what I have done in this Legislature will not follow me to my dying day? They certainly will, on I shall feel them as long as I live, but I am prepared to meet them,"

Taylor made some pointed and eloquent remarks in refutation of the charge of Church dictation, stating that be acted with as great freedom as any man who lives, and that he would cast his vote for a statesman worthy of the confidence of the people, Juseph L. Rawlins.

Thoreson made a speech in which he landed Moses Thatcher, denounced the opposition to him and claimed that

all may hear that Moses Thatcher is defeated by a religious test."

Mrs. Anderson, who had voted for Thatcher arose and changed her vote to Rawlins, when a storm of hisses burst forth from members on the floor end men in the lobby, and a few moments later she arose again changed her vote back to That Thatcher. Mangan, who had voted for Goodwin, arose and changed his vote to Thatcher, O'Brien, who had voted for Henderson, saying that he saw no reason to change, followed Mangan's example, as did Martin, who had voted for Harris.

Then Speaker Perkins, who voted for Harris, arose and changed bis vote to Thatcher. O. G. Kimhall, a Republican who had O. had Kimball, a Republican who had voted for Goodwin, changed his vote to Thatcher, Hancen, another Rethen arose and changed his vote to Rawline. Rabinson, the third Repubitcan who had also voted for Goodwin, arose and said: "As I am the only Republican who was elected on a straight Republican platform, I change my vote to Arthur Brown."

Much of the time doring speeches and part of the time v the ballots were being changed by the members named, it seemed lobby had been transformed into a bediam. The shouting, soresching, nissing and applause produced an uproar ing and appleuse produced an uproar absolutely descending, and the access defied all description. Dozens of voices in the lobby and on the floor abouted for Wilson and called on him to vote for Thatoher, but he firmly sept his seat, refusing to change his Vote.

Whenever a vote was changed to Thatcher the uproar and applause were dealening, and the announce-ment of a change to Rawline called furth cries of scorp and deriston. The scene was disgraceful in the extreme, and not the slightest effort was made sither by the President or any officer of the Legislature to check the inmult.

When the result had been appounced by t President Nebeker and he had declared that Joseph L. Rawlins had been elected Uoited States Sepator, Evane arose and made a few well-timed, calm and sensible remarks, looking to the healing of wounds and the repair-log of breaches. He moved that the president appoint a committee of three to escort Mr. Rawlins to the presence of the assembly at noon tomorrows which time has previously been fixed for adjournment.

Evans' motion carried and the chair appointed Evans, O'Brien and Mrs. Cannon.

The joint assembly then adjourned.

THE MOB CRITICISED.

Having read your comment upon the proceedings of the joint assembly that resulted in the election of the Hon, Joseph L. R. wines to the United States Senate, and having been present upon the occasion, I wish to say that your atrictures were none too severe, and your utterances only too true, notwithstanding the fact that the Biates renator, but that seeing no hopes of his election, as a man absolutely free and independent, he claimed the right to change his vote. Of the United States and of this State justify its presiding officer and his He declared that he submitted to no forbid any religious test, but I say that sympathetic rabble in the lobby and