

DESERET EVENING NEWS
PUBLISHED EVERY EVENING EXCEPT ON SUNDAY
PRINTED AND FORWARDED BY
THE DESERET NEWS CO.
123 N. 2ND ST., SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH.

NOTICE
The Thirty-Sixth Annual Conference of the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints, will commence at 10 o'clock, on Saturday morning, April 6th, 1901, in the Tabernacle, Salt Lake City.

All Officers and Members of the Church are cordially invited to be present at the meetings.
WILLIAM WOODRUFF,
GEORGE Q. CANNON,
JONAS H. SMITH,
First Presidency.

Special-It has been deemed advisable to give visitors to Conference an opportunity to enjoy a musical feast in the large Tabernacle on Friday night, April 5th, when they may hear the magnificent choir (300 strong) in their recent concert selections. Presidents and Bishops would obtain by announcing this to their meetings next Monday, so that visitors may arrive here in good time.

The proceeds will be devoted to improving the choir, grand organs and surroundings. Circulars will be sent with particulars.

UTAH POLITICS AND PARTIES.

We publish today a letter written by the "Liberal" organ of this city by a gentleman residing in Peru. He has been a "Liberal" for like a considerable number of other respectable and fair-minded non-Mormons, and has determined to work in future on the line of national politics.

But in addition to that, he is willing that "Mormons" as well as non-Mormons shall become identified with the great political parties of the country, so that by this means local animosities and those which hinder the progress of the Territory may be swept away.

He thinks the great "vice" of the Liberal party has been a scornful attitude toward the "Mormons" and their hatred for all "Gentiles" or non-Mormons, and he desires to make the party which he designs a political educator to the younger men, who are said to be ignorant of the principles of government and unacquainted in the politics of the time.

All this is well and warm blood to the arteries of the paper which has written and dispensed letters is addressed. With their characteristic bluntness and dogmatic style of writing facts and arguments they proceed to lay out and administer him, and in two columns and a half of the Liberal kind of twaddle, not all meant his pungent points without directly touching one of them. The gentleman gives them credit for honesty of conviction, and supposed they would concede to the same honesty of purpose. In doing so he makes a double mistake. In the first place they are not honest in anything they say or do on the "Mormon" question. In the next place they will not concede honesty of purpose to any one who will not endorse their views and add to their dogmas.

The proof of their dishonesty is in their daily writings, filled with misquoting, misrepresentation of their opponents, contradictions of their own statements and attempts at argument and abuse of those who do not see through their green spectacles. That they will not concede honesty of purpose to the writer of the letter is seen in their ill-tempered and long drawn out miserable attempt at a reply. Here are a few of the "arguments" offered in answer to his courteous communication.

"The mind of the writer is entirely perverted."
"His facts are the scum of a sick Mormon."
"His own says..."
"His own thinks..."
"Has he had the whole truth by the letter said?"
"Does he know where the letter came from?"
"Does he know where the letter came from?"
"Does he know where the letter came from?"

In former years. We believe he never did have any better of it, or any other of them either."
The paper continues to be fascinated the foregoing, and in the signs of the times and address to the individuals in progress. Their minds are so warped with anti-Mormon fanaticism that they cannot perceive the high motives that actuate even the writer of the letter that has explained them, but even the fact that their bigotry and bias are shaking the better elements of the so-called party which they desire to perpetuate. And they have not the grace to acknowledge the candor and fairness which shine throughout the letter we have reproduced, but instead endeavor to misrepresent it.

There is nothing in the letter to justify the epithets hurled at the writer, or the statement that he commits "heresies" and "Church" "heresies" in making in the line to make a party that shall not be an American State. These heresies are efforts to dodge the issue. The letter could not very well be ignored. The only thing that that paper is to be published it, and then try to make a party, and then put it will reduce man.

One thing should be understood: The gentleman does not say the "Liberal" party is responsible for the ignorance of politics among the men of Utah. He does not even say they are responsible for the party, or the point for the sake of argument. As a matter of fact the young men of Utah know as much on vital questions in national politics as the same number of young men in similar conditions anywhere in the United States. The charge of ignorant comprehension of the principles of the science of government that some of their detractors.

Talk, for instance, the writers of the incoherent and contradictory articles on the tariff and other questions of political economy in the Tribune, and compare these with the writings of young Utah men in the Herald, and the utterances of youths in our higher schools. The Tribune masses of contradictions show confusion of mind and superficiality of thought as well as deep dishonesty in dealing with an opponent. The Herald articles are, in the main, complete annihilations of the Tribune's tergiversations, and whatever opinion may be formed as to the merits of the subject, it must be conceded that young Utah men have furnished his powerful antagonist every time.

There is something perhaps in the midst of the masses of the voters in this Territory as to which of the national parties they should give their support in the event of their joining either. And this is because it is difficult for the best political reasons to support the Democrats, and compare these with the writings of young Utah men in the Herald, and the utterances of youths in our higher schools. The Tribune masses of contradictions show confusion of mind and superficiality of thought as well as deep dishonesty in dealing with an opponent. The Herald articles are, in the main, complete annihilations of the Tribune's tergiversations, and whatever opinion may be formed as to the merits of the subject, it must be conceded that young Utah men have furnished his powerful antagonist every time.

There was a time when all the vast regions now known as British America and Newfoundland, were possessions of France. This time came a time when they passed over to England. But though becoming British possessions, France, by the treaties of Utrecht and Versailles, retained certain salary privileges in Newfoundland. Among these were rights to hunt and to mine for the metals. The treaties gave John and his heirs the right to erect "stages made of boards and built necessary and used for drying fish." When these words were written, lumber cutting was not an industry in the region. The English sold this industry to their works in Newfoundland. The Britishers objected, and claimed that later is not fish. The French claim that it is, and that under treaty rights they are justified in claiming later under the title fish as stated in the treaty. This question was settled by the arbitration in international law. Their names are Marlin, Silver and Grass. A conference will be held at Brussels and the whole matter discussed. There seems to be no way for England to get out, except by buying the French interests in Canada's Bay, or by negotiating and accepting the treaty according to treaty stipulations. This latter, the Newfoundlanders do not want. They decidedly that the French be noted out absolutely.

The Supreme Court of Newfoundland has decided that there is no legislation in existence under which England can confer treaty rights on that coast. That coast had been sold to the Queen's prerogative is not subject to English jurisdiction. It requires an act of State to go as far as this, and that means an act of Parliament with all that it involves. This act both houses in London are trying to pass. Lord Kinnear in the Lords, and James Ferguson in the Commons, are working for the passage of a bill that will empower the Queen to order the navy to enforce French rights in Newfoundland.

The Newfoundland Parliament has refused to enter into any legislation which would recognize French claims. This is rather surprising, as England, by asserting Treaty Rights in France, England drive her own subjects in Newfoundland into revolt. If the bill should pass in the British Parliament, the Conservative ministry will suffer a defeat, and there is a possibility of a general election. The Newfoundlanders are working for annexation with the United States, but should they effect this it would not destroy French rights. The claim would only be transferred from John Bull to Uncle Sam, and the latter would have a laborer on his hands in addition to the whole coast.

The whole trouble can be summed up in a few words. By their Treaty rights the French have a monopoly of the fishing in George's Bay. Recently they went into lobster catching, and it has become a vast industry. The Newfoundlanders who want him in it will be regarded as "French Territory" by the fishing government. The industry of the British is being worked for the Queen's commander of the navy.

The Quebec were swept aside a

If they were helpful citizens, and the "notion" ran out, the whole completely worked, and the plant established. At Dunmore, a judge has issued an order for the removal of persons not employed from approaching the works. On Saturday next a hearing will be given, for the purpose of obtaining a permanent injunction, then the sheriff can arrest a strike, whether on the grounds for acts of violence or not.

The most objectionable feature of the striking is the conduct of the women. They are themselves with non-violent weapons of all kinds. One woman stood with a star in her stomach a pillow pinned to her, and another woman with a star in her stomach a pillow pinned to her, and another woman with a star in her stomach a pillow pinned to her.

At Hopedale, near, laborers, the limitations and delays are acting the infuriated demon. There were a number of persons wounded at this place.

At Mt. Pleasant, Va., a state of ferment prevails. The strikers are camped on the hills, and are prepared for deadly work. The sheriff of Westmoreland County has applied for permission to arm his aids with the guns of the militia, but Governor Peppers replied that unless using the military, the strike power must be exhausted.

It will be remembered that the strike took place several months ago. When the coal-workers presented their demands, the employers ignored them and closed all the works. There was no work for several weeks, and work was resumed a few days ago. It is thought that the strike was engineered for a few labor leaders in the interest of the employers. There was then an output of coal and a supply of coal on hand sufficient to supply the needs of the country, and the output and supply continued, and a strike was just the way to do it. But it looks now as if they acted without calculating what the reaction would be. He who would read the rock magazine on the subject, will find that the employers of the coal-workers did not consider.

A WRAHGLE ABOUT ENR.

PERHAPS it is not generally known that a laborer is the innocent cause of much of the triangular trouble relating to England, France and Newfoundland. This trouble came a time when they passed over to England. But though becoming British possessions, France, by the treaties of Utrecht and Versailles, retained certain salary privileges in Newfoundland. Among these were rights to hunt and to mine for the metals. The treaties gave John and his heirs the right to erect "stages made of boards and built necessary and used for drying fish." When these words were written, lumber cutting was not an industry in the region. The English sold this industry to their works in Newfoundland. The Britishers objected, and claimed that later is not fish. The French claim that it is, and that under treaty rights they are justified in claiming later under the title fish as stated in the treaty. This question was settled by the arbitration in international law. Their names are Marlin, Silver and Grass. A conference will be held at Brussels and the whole matter discussed. There seems to be no way for England to get out, except by buying the French interests in Canada's Bay, or by negotiating and accepting the treaty according to treaty stipulations. This latter, the Newfoundlanders do not want. They decidedly that the French be noted out absolutely.

The Supreme Court of Newfoundland has decided that there is no legislation in existence under which England can confer treaty rights on that coast. That coast had been sold to the Queen's prerogative is not subject to English jurisdiction. It requires an act of State to go as far as this, and that means an act of Parliament with all that it involves. This act both houses in London are trying to pass. Lord Kinnear in the Lords, and James Ferguson in the Commons, are working for the passage of a bill that will empower the Queen to order the navy to enforce French rights in Newfoundland.

The Newfoundland Parliament has refused to enter into any legislation which would recognize French claims. This is rather surprising, as England, by asserting Treaty Rights in France, England drive her own subjects in Newfoundland into revolt. If the bill should pass in the British Parliament, the Conservative ministry will suffer a defeat, and there is a possibility of a general election. The Newfoundlanders are working for annexation with the United States, but should they effect this it would not destroy French rights. The claim would only be transferred from John Bull to Uncle Sam, and the latter would have a laborer on his hands in addition to the whole coast.

The whole trouble can be summed up in a few words. By their Treaty rights the French have a monopoly of the fishing in George's Bay. Recently they went into lobster catching, and it has become a vast industry. The Newfoundlanders who want him in it will be regarded as "French Territory" by the fishing government. The industry of the British is being worked for the Queen's commander of the navy.

The Quebec were swept aside a

In those weeks. The Supreme Court of Newfoundland has decided that there is no legislation in existence under which England can confer treaty rights on that coast. That coast had been sold to the Queen's prerogative is not subject to English jurisdiction. It requires an act of State to go as far as this, and that means an act of Parliament with all that it involves. This act both houses in London are trying to pass. Lord Kinnear in the Lords, and James Ferguson in the Commons, are working for the passage of a bill that will empower the Queen to order the navy to enforce French rights in Newfoundland.

The Newfoundland Parliament has refused to enter into any legislation which would recognize French claims. This is rather surprising, as England, by asserting Treaty Rights in France, England drive her own subjects in Newfoundland into revolt. If the bill should pass in the British Parliament, the Conservative ministry will suffer a defeat, and there is a possibility of a general election. The Newfoundlanders are working for annexation with the United States, but should they effect this it would not destroy French rights. The claim would only be transferred from John Bull to Uncle Sam, and the latter would have a laborer on his hands in addition to the whole coast.

The whole trouble can be summed up in a few words. By their Treaty rights the French have a monopoly of the fishing in George's Bay. Recently they went into lobster catching, and it has become a vast industry. The Newfoundlanders who want him in it will be regarded as "French Territory" by the fishing government. The industry of the British is being worked for the Queen's commander of the navy.

The Quebec were swept aside a

The Quebec were swept aside a

THE UTAH SITUATION.

The following letter appeared in the Salt Lake Tribune of Monday, March 26th. It is a copy of a letter written to a half of an attempted reply, which did not touch the question raised in the letter but consisted simply of a big bundle of statistics and indignation without force and was a painful exhibition of editorial maladroitness.

It is a copy of a letter written to a half of an attempted reply, which did not touch the question raised in the letter but consisted simply of a big bundle of statistics and indignation without force and was a painful exhibition of editorial maladroitness.

It is a copy of a letter written to a half of an attempted reply, which did not touch the question raised in the letter but consisted simply of a big bundle of statistics and indignation without force and was a painful exhibition of editorial maladroitness.

It is a copy of a letter written to a half of an attempted reply, which did not touch the question raised in the letter but consisted simply of a big bundle of statistics and indignation without force and was a painful exhibition of editorial maladroitness.

It is a copy of a letter written to a half of an attempted reply, which did not touch the question raised in the letter but consisted simply of a big bundle of statistics and indignation without force and was a painful exhibition of editorial maladroitness.

It is a copy of a letter written to a half of an attempted reply, which did not touch the question raised in the letter but consisted simply of a big bundle of statistics and indignation without force and was a painful exhibition of editorial maladroitness.

It is a copy of a letter written to a half of an attempted reply, which did not touch the question raised in the letter but consisted simply of a big bundle of statistics and indignation without force and was a painful exhibition of editorial maladroitness.

It is a copy of a letter written to a half of an attempted reply, which did not touch the question raised in the letter but consisted simply of a big bundle of statistics and indignation without force and was a painful exhibition of editorial maladroitness.

It is a copy of a letter written to a half of an attempted reply, which did not touch the question raised in the letter but consisted simply of a big bundle of statistics and indignation without force and was a painful exhibition of editorial maladroitness.

THE UTAH SITUATION.

The following letter appeared in the Salt Lake Tribune of Monday, March 26th. It is a copy of a letter written to a half of an attempted reply, which did not touch the question raised in the letter but consisted simply of a big bundle of statistics and indignation without force and was a painful exhibition of editorial maladroitness.

It is a copy of a letter written to a half of an attempted reply, which did not touch the question raised in the letter but consisted simply of a big bundle of statistics and indignation without force and was a painful exhibition of editorial maladroitness.

It is a copy of a letter written to a half of an attempted reply, which did not touch the question raised in the letter but consisted simply of a big bundle of statistics and indignation without force and was a painful exhibition of editorial maladroitness.

It is a copy of a letter written to a half of an attempted reply, which did not touch the question raised in the letter but consisted simply of a big bundle of statistics and indignation without force and was a painful exhibition of editorial maladroitness.

It is a copy of a letter written to a half of an attempted reply, which did not touch the question raised in the letter but consisted simply of a big bundle of statistics and indignation without force and was a painful exhibition of editorial maladroitness.

Z C

DO YOU WANT TO KNOW, TODAY, WHERE TO BUY THE CHEAPEST LABORING MAN'S SHOE IN THIS CITY.

Call at the Shoe Department of Z. C. M. I. The Price is only \$1.50 per pair. OUR ENTIRE STOCK, Wholly Button, Bar or Congress, Whether Men's, Boys' or Youths, is CORRESPONDINGLY CHEAP.

LADIES', MISSES' and CHILDREN'S, In Style, Quality and Price, are as Reliable, Attractive and Reasonable as ever.

T. G. WEBBER, Supr. JOHN C. CUTLER & BRO., No. 38 Main St. Dinwoodey's is now prepared to wait upon customers in his New Store; he has a magnificent line of Furniture, Carpets and Wall Paper. Call and see him.

F. AUERRACH & BRO. THE BARGAINS WE OFFER. SILKS! SILKS! SILKS!

Our Cloak Department. We have selected several lines of last season's cloaks, and will offer the following as tempting ones.

Our Shoe Department. PARANOID! PARANOID! PARANOID! ELEGANT! BEAUTIFUL! STYLISH!

CARPETS: CARPETS: CARPETS! To make more - Tapered Brussels at the mill, everywhere for 20c. A lot of 15 in 30-yard lengths of shaggy Holly Brussels, worth \$1.50 per yard, at 1.00 per yard.

Our Hosiery and Notion Departments. Applying 100 dozen Boy's heavy black hose, 7 to 8, 50c a pair, regular value 60c a pair.

Our Domestic Department. 20 yards Cheviot Gray - \$1.00. 12 yards Cheviot Gray - \$1.00. 12 yards Cheviot Gray - \$1.00.