

## THE DISTRICT COURT.

I Buy Housebreaker Sentenced to Thirty Days in Jail.

## A YOUTH INDICTED FOR BURGLARY

Trial of Harry Stevens, the Alleged Burglar at the Store of Mrs. Jacob Wallers.

In Chief Justice Morris's court, today criminal trials have been again on the card.

## CHARGED WITH BURGLARY.

Wm. Washington, a suspiciously dressed youth about 17 years of age, was arraigned and placed not guilty to be indicted for burglary. He will be detained on his trial by Attorney Frank Price.

## A FIVE BACKWARD.

Lafayette Hillier, an influence-seeking youth of 17, who has a number of plots of not going to a charge of breaking and entering, and on the counts of the (unconventional) please guilty to grand larceny.

Prosecuting Attorney Nye said he had consulted with Assistant County Attorney Elbridge, who presented the case to the lower court, and learned from him that this was not at all an aggravated offense. The house entered was the residence of Mrs. Jacob Wallers, and the property taken by the defendant was of comparatively little value. It consisted of a gun and two books.

Attorney Frank Price, on behalf of the defendant, said that Hillier, a carpenter by trade, had been working nights, and was present in court. This was his first transgression against the law and he thought the punishment would be a lesson to him.

Judge Morris found no fault to be registered since the defendant is under probation now. As he had just in past twenty-one days already awaiting trial, his house sentence has to start today in the county jail.

## TWO YEARS FOR BURGLARY.

HARRY STEVENS, who was defended by Attorney J. W. Clegg, was given an indefinite sentence for breaking and entering at the home of Robert Wallace Feb. 6th. Attorney Price presented.

Mr. Wallace is a railroad man, residing on business West Second street. Upon going to his house on the morning of February 6th, he found that a front entrance had been gained through a rear door, the glass in which was broken and the door left open. A number of articles were scattered around in the room, and a number of bats of bats were missing. When the perpetrator left the premises on the previous night Stevens was sleeping there.

The defendant was arraigned before the Court of Common Pleas by Deputy Sheriff and when charged with the offense said that if the officer would accompany him, he would show him where he had been. He was then sent to be bound. He told him he was formerly employed by Peter Hayes on East Main Temple street, and stated he had at that time more than received. This was his first offense, he said.

The defendant's explanation was that the case was handed to him by another man, whose name, however, he did not give.

The court sought to show that Stevens had been in prison for months past and was not eligible to wait for his actions at the time in question. In answer to Mr. Clegg, Detective Thompson said that the defendant was in jail for a month and a half, and when asked whether he had not been sent home in that condition the officer answered "He generally has been with us."

After being sought to show that Stevens had been in prison for months past and was not eligible to wait for his actions at the time in question, in answer to Mr. Clegg, Detective Thompson said that the defendant was in jail for a month and a half, and when asked whether he had not been sent home in that condition the officer answered "He generally has been with us."

Mr. Hayes testified that Stevens came to him on the night of the fourth of Feb., informed him that he had been sent home in his condition, and that somebody was to take him to him. He therefore wired, wireless, to allow him to have it his dinner for safety, and he did so, though not paying much attention to him, as he was as anxious as the evening of the alleged burglary.

After some 6th testimony had been given the defendant Stevens went upon the witness stand. He is a small man, about 5 feet 4 inches tall, well known among the game buying fraternity of this city, where he has resided between two and three years. It is stated that he has won and lost very large sums of money in the game business, but the most recent in Alice City, although caused to lose \$100,000, although caused to gain \$100,000.

He was asked whether he had ever been sent home in that condition the officer answered "He generally has been with us."

He was asked whether he had ever been sent home in that condition the officer answered "He generally has been with us."

He was asked whether he had ever been sent home in that condition the officer answered "He generally has been with us."

He was asked whether he had ever been sent home in that condition the officer answered "He generally has been with us."

He was asked whether he had ever been sent home in that condition the officer answered "He generally has been with us."

He was asked whether he had ever been sent home in that condition the officer answered "He generally has been with us."

He was asked whether he had ever been sent home in that condition the officer answered "He generally has been with us."

He was asked whether he had ever been sent home in that condition the officer answered "He generally has been with us."

He was asked whether he had ever been sent home in that condition the officer answered "He generally has been with us."

He was asked whether he had ever been sent home in that condition the officer answered "He generally has been with us."

He was asked whether he had ever been sent home in that condition the officer answered "He generally has been with us."

He was asked whether he had ever been sent home in that condition the officer answered "He generally has been with us."

He was asked whether he had ever been sent home in that condition the officer answered "He generally has been with us."

He was asked whether he had ever been sent home in that condition the officer answered "He generally has been with us."

He was asked whether he had ever been sent home in that condition the officer answered "He generally has been with us."

He was asked whether he had ever been sent home in that condition the officer answered "He generally has been with us."

He was asked whether he had ever been sent home in that condition the officer answered "He generally has been with us."

He was asked whether he had ever been sent home in that condition the officer answered "He generally has been with us."

He was asked whether he had ever been sent home in that condition the officer answered "He generally has been with us."

He was asked whether he had ever been sent home in that condition the officer answered "He generally has been with us."

He was asked whether he had ever been sent home in that condition the officer answered "He generally has been with us."

He was asked whether he had ever been sent home in that condition the officer answered "He generally has been with us."

He was asked whether he had ever been sent home in that condition the officer answered "He generally has been with us."

He was asked whether he had ever been sent home in that condition the officer answered "He generally has been with us."

He was asked whether he had ever been sent home in that condition the officer answered "He generally has been with us."

He was asked whether he had ever been sent home in that condition the officer answered "He generally has been with us."

He was asked whether he had ever been sent home in that condition the officer answered "He generally has been with us."

He was asked whether he had ever been sent home in that condition the officer answered "He generally has been with us."

He was asked whether he had ever been sent home in that condition the officer answered "He generally has been with us."

He was asked whether he had ever been sent home in that condition the officer answered "He generally has been with us."

He was asked whether he had ever been sent home in that condition the officer answered "He generally has been with us."

He was asked whether he had ever been sent home in that condition the officer answered "He generally has been with us."

He was asked whether he had ever been sent home in that condition the officer answered "He generally has been with us."

He was asked whether he had ever been sent home in that condition the officer answered "He generally has been with us."

He was asked whether he had ever been sent home in that condition the officer answered "He generally has been with us."

He was asked whether he had ever been sent home in that condition the officer answered "He generally has been with us."

He was asked whether he had ever been sent home in that condition the officer answered "He generally has been with us."

He was asked whether he had ever been sent home in that condition the officer answered "He generally has been with us."

He was asked whether he had ever been sent home in that condition the officer answered "He generally has been with us."

He was asked whether he had ever been sent home in that condition the officer answered "He generally has been with us."

He was asked whether he had ever been sent home in that condition the officer answered "He generally has been with us."

He was asked whether he had ever been sent home in that condition the officer answered "He generally has been with us."

He was asked whether he had ever been sent home in that condition the officer answered "He generally has been with us."

He was asked whether he had ever been sent home in that condition the officer answered "He generally has been with us."

He was asked whether he had ever been sent home in that condition the officer answered "He generally has been with us."

He was asked whether he had ever been sent home in that condition the officer answered "He generally has been with us."

He was asked whether he had ever been sent home in that condition the officer answered "He generally has been with us."

He was asked whether he had ever been sent home in that condition the officer answered "He generally has been with us."

He was asked whether he had ever been sent home in that condition the officer answered "He generally has been with us."

He was asked whether he had ever been sent home in that condition the officer answered "He generally has been with us."

He was asked whether he had ever been sent home in that condition the officer answered "He generally has been with us."

He was asked whether he had ever been sent home in that condition the officer answered "He generally has been with us."

He was asked whether he had ever been sent home in that condition the officer answered "He generally has been with us."

DESERET EVENING NEWS: TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 27, 1894.

for housebreaking on May 11th, and was sentenced to five years imprisonment. D. P. Clark, the prosecutor, called for a sentence of 10 years, but the court, after a long argument, imposed a sentence of 5 years.

The case went to the jury this afternoon, and after about ten minutes of deliberation they returned a verdict of guilty.

DEFENSE ATTORNEY.

Chief Trial of the New York vs the Utah Central Railway Co. Motion for a new trial was filed yesterday by the defense, and the court granted it.

Charles O'Brien et al vs James H. Wallace Co. Motion for a new trial was filed yesterday by the defense.

John Clegg et al vs. Wm. W. Weaver et al. Motion for a new trial was filed yesterday by the defense.

John Clegg et al vs. Wm. W. Weaver et al. Motion for a new trial was filed yesterday by the defense.

John Clegg et al vs. Wm. W. Weaver et al. Motion for a new trial was filed yesterday by the defense.

John Clegg et al vs. Wm. W. Weaver et al. Motion for a new trial was filed yesterday by the defense.

John Clegg et al vs. Wm. W. Weaver et al. Motion for a new trial was filed yesterday by the defense.

John Clegg et al vs. Wm. W. Weaver et al. Motion for a new trial was filed yesterday by the defense.

John Clegg et al vs. Wm. W. Weaver et al. Motion for a new trial was filed yesterday by the defense.

John Clegg et al vs. Wm. W. Weaver et al. Motion for a new trial was filed yesterday by the defense.

John Clegg et al vs. Wm. W. Weaver et al. Motion for a new trial was filed yesterday by the defense.

John Clegg et al vs. Wm. W. Weaver et al. Motion for a new trial was filed yesterday by the defense.

John Clegg et al vs. Wm. W. Weaver et al. Motion for a new trial was filed yesterday by the defense.

John Clegg et al vs. Wm. W. Weaver et al. Motion for a new trial was filed yesterday by the defense.

John Clegg et al vs. Wm. W. Weaver et al. Motion for a new trial was filed yesterday by the defense.

John Clegg et al vs. Wm. W. Weaver et al. Motion for a new trial was filed yesterday by the defense.

John Clegg et al vs. Wm. W. Weaver et al. Motion for a new trial was filed yesterday by the defense.

John Clegg et al vs. Wm. W. Weaver et al. Motion for a new trial was filed yesterday by the defense.

John Clegg et al vs. Wm. W. Weaver et al. Motion for a new trial was filed yesterday by the defense.

John Clegg et al vs. Wm. W. Weaver et al. Motion for a new trial was filed yesterday by the defense.

John Clegg et al vs. Wm. W. Weaver et al. Motion for a new trial was filed yesterday by the defense.

John Clegg et al vs. Wm. W. Weaver et al. Motion for a new trial was filed yesterday by the defense.

John Clegg et al vs. Wm. W. Weaver et al. Motion for a new trial was filed yesterday by the defense.

John Clegg et al vs. Wm. W. Weaver et al. Motion for a new trial was filed yesterday by the defense.

John Clegg et al vs. Wm. W. Weaver et al. Motion for a new trial was filed yesterday by the defense.

John Clegg et al vs. Wm. W. Weaver et al. Motion for a new trial was filed yesterday by the defense.

John Clegg et al vs. Wm. W. Weaver et al. Motion for a new trial was filed yesterday by the defense.

John Clegg et al vs. Wm. W. Weaver et al. Motion for a new trial was filed yesterday by the defense.

John Clegg et al vs. Wm. W. Weaver et al. Motion for a new trial was filed yesterday by the defense.

John Clegg et al vs. Wm. W. Weaver et al. Motion for a new trial was filed yesterday by the defense.

John Clegg et al vs. Wm. W. Weaver et al. Motion for a new trial was filed yesterday by the defense.

John Clegg et al vs. Wm. W. Weaver et al. Motion for a new trial was filed yesterday by the defense.

John Clegg et al vs. Wm. W. Weaver et al. Motion for a new trial was filed yesterday by the defense.

John Clegg et al vs. Wm. W. Weaver et al. Motion for a new trial was filed yesterday by the defense.

John Clegg et al vs. Wm. W. Weaver et al. Motion for a new trial was filed yesterday by the defense.

John Clegg et al vs. Wm. W. Weaver et al. Motion for a new trial was filed yesterday by the defense.

John Clegg et al vs. Wm. W. Weaver et al. Motion for a new trial was filed yesterday by the defense.

John Clegg et al vs. Wm. W. Weaver et al. Motion for a new trial was filed yesterday by the defense.

John Clegg et al vs. Wm. W. Weaver et al. Motion for a new trial was filed yesterday by the defense.

John Clegg et al vs. Wm. W. Weaver et al. Motion for a new trial was filed yesterday by the defense.

John Clegg et al vs. Wm. W. Weaver et al. Motion for a new trial was filed yesterday by the defense.

John Clegg et al vs. Wm. W. Weaver et al. Motion for a new trial was filed yesterday by the defense.

John Clegg et al vs. Wm. W. Weaver et al. Motion for a new trial was filed yesterday by the defense.

John Clegg et al vs. Wm. W. Weaver et al. Motion for a new trial was filed yesterday by the defense.

John Clegg et al vs. Wm. W. Weaver et al. Motion for a new trial was filed yesterday by the defense.

John Clegg et al vs. Wm. W. Weaver et al. Motion for a new trial was filed yesterday by the defense.

John Clegg et al vs. Wm. W. Weaver et al. Motion for a new trial was filed yesterday by the defense.

John Clegg et al vs. Wm. W. Weaver et al. Motion for a new trial was filed yesterday by the defense.

John Clegg et al vs. Wm. W. Weaver et al. Motion for a new trial was filed yesterday by the defense.

John Clegg et al vs. Wm. W. Weaver et al. Motion for a new trial was filed yesterday by the defense.

John Clegg et al vs. Wm. W. Weaver et al. Motion for a new trial was filed yesterday by the defense.

John Clegg et al vs. Wm. W. Weaver et al. Motion for a new trial was filed yesterday by the defense.

John Clegg et al vs. Wm. W. Weaver et al. Motion for a new trial was filed yesterday by the defense.

John Clegg et al vs. Wm. W. Weaver et al. Motion for a new trial was filed yesterday by the defense.

John Clegg et al vs. Wm. W. Weaver et al. Motion for a new trial was filed yesterday by the defense.

John Clegg et al vs. Wm. W. Weaver et al. Motion for a new trial was filed yesterday by the defense.

John Clegg et al vs. Wm. W. Weaver et al. Motion for a new trial was filed yesterday by the defense.

John Clegg et al vs. Wm. W. Weaver et al. Motion for a new trial was filed yesterday by the defense.

John Clegg et al vs. Wm. W. Weaver et al. Motion for a new trial was filed yesterday by the defense.

John Clegg et al vs. Wm. W. Weaver et al. Motion for a new trial was filed yesterday by the defense.

John Clegg et al vs. Wm. W. Weaver et al. Motion for a new trial was filed yesterday by the defense.

John Clegg et al vs. Wm. W. Weaver et al. Motion for a new trial was filed yesterday by the defense.

John Clegg et al vs. Wm. W. Weaver et al. Motion for a new trial was filed yesterday by the defense.

John Clegg et al vs. Wm. W. Weaver et al. Motion for a new trial was filed yesterday by the defense.

John Clegg et al vs. Wm. W. Weaver et al. Motion for a new trial was filed yesterday by the defense.

John Clegg et al vs. Wm. W. Weaver et al. Motion for a new trial was filed yesterday by the defense.

John Clegg et al vs. Wm. W. Weaver et al. Motion for a new trial was filed yesterday by the defense.

John Clegg et al vs. Wm. W. Weaver et al. Motion for a new trial was filed yesterday by the defense.

John Clegg et al vs. Wm. W. Weaver et al. Motion for a new trial was filed yesterday by the defense.

John Clegg et al vs. Wm. W. Weaver et al. Motion for a new trial was filed yesterday by the defense.

John Clegg et al vs. Wm. W. Weaver et al. Motion for a new trial was filed yesterday by the defense.

John Clegg et al vs. Wm. W. Weaver et al. Motion for a new trial was filed yesterday by the defense.

John Clegg et al vs. Wm. W. Weaver et al. Motion for a new trial was filed yesterday by the defense.

John Clegg et al vs. Wm. W. Weaver et al. Motion for a new trial was filed yesterday by the defense.

John Clegg et al vs. Wm. W. Weaver et al. Motion for a new trial was filed yesterday by the defense.

John Clegg et al vs. Wm. W. Weaver et al. Motion for a new trial was filed yesterday by the defense.

John Clegg et al vs. Wm. W. Weaver et al. Motion for a new trial was filed yesterday by the defense.

John Clegg et al vs. Wm. W. Weaver et al. Motion for a new trial was filed yesterday by the defense.

John Clegg et al vs. Wm. W. Weaver et al. Motion for a new trial was filed yesterday by the defense.

John Clegg et al vs. Wm. W. Weaver et al. Motion for a new trial was filed yesterday by the defense.

John Clegg et al vs. Wm. W. Weaver et al. Motion for a new trial was filed yesterday by the defense.

John Clegg et al vs. Wm. W. Weaver et al. Motion for a new trial was filed yesterday by the defense.

John Clegg et al vs. Wm. W. Weaver et al. Motion for a new trial was filed yesterday by the defense.