THE EDITOR'S COMMENTS.

SO FAR. SO GOOD!

All honor to the men, in and out of Congress, whose attitude, influence and vote have made possible the passage in the House of Representatives, by an almost manimous vote, of the bill to confer statehood upon Utab!

All houor, too, to the patient, pro-gressive, patriotic people of this fair commonwealth who have waited long years for the boon of self-government, so dear to every heart having the instingts of true Americanism, and who year after year have gone on piling up evidences of their sturdiness, their sobriety and their fitness for full sobriety soverelgnty !

All honor, too, to those of our fellowcitizens who only lately have believed the rest of us competent and worthy to undertake the burdens and responsibilities of statehood; in so far as they were unselfish and sincere in asking delay, we bonor them, and when in unanimity and considerable strength they resisted the striking off of our therritorial shackles, we recognized their right, tried to appreciate their motives, and were willing to wait until their last lingering doubts should be removed. That the bill should have passed the Hcuse with hardly an opposing vote does but confirm the gratifying reflection that only through the desire for statehood of all the people of Utab, were the representatives of all the people of the nation brought to grant it.

All honor, then, to this influential class-now brethree, friends and fellow-citizens; to the original and he later settlers, who laid a broad, deep foundation for future stability and greatness, and have builded well and bravely upon it; to the honorable congresste men and their prominent constituents, whose voices have been made effective in our deliverance; and above every-thing else, all thanks and honor to Him who rules on bigb, who neglecteth not the least of His children, and who moves upon and tempers the hearts of men to the fulfilment, in His own time and manner, of His great purposer!

The NEWS does not apprehend the proverbial slip 'swixt the cup and the lip in this malter of statehood. Of course only one step has been taken by the passage of the bill in the House, There remain the Senate, the President, and last of all the people of the proposed state who are to vote on the vote on the constitution that shall be prepared. We imagine there will be no likelihood of disappointment on this latter ac-count. Neither do we think the President will withhold his approval of the measure when it reaches him. It would perhaps be too much to expect that the same celerity and unanimity will characterize the Senate's action on the bill as have been displayed by the House. Still, Utab's friends in that body are many and active. They will probably give ample time for its consideration, but they may be depended upon to oppose unnecessary delay and to fight defeat with all their might.

and protracted postponement or actual defeat ensue, the people of Utah can give still another example of patience. and as cheerfully as may be they will endure a little longer. They have not endure a little longer. They have not waited more than forty years without having acquired some lessons in that crowning virtue. They are used to disappointments of this kind, and can them with much equanimity. In stand the highly improbable contingency that such may be their portion again, we have taken occasion to express for them the prevailing feeling of gratitude for such measure of favor as has already beeo shown. There remains but the pleasant duty of congratulat-ing Delegate Rawlins for the gallant part he has taken and the success that has attended him. Following the bright example of (we believe) each one of his predecessors, he has asked for his constituents that which was their right as American citizens. The others asked in vain; to him has a favorable answer been given. In promoting and securing this be has worked consistently and industriously, and spoken eloquently and well. Able support has been given him by memhere of hoth, indeed all, the political parties, hoth here and in Washington; so that a patriotic Utah Democrat can include among the objects of his gratitude the Republicans; a patriotic Utab Republican cannot omit thanks to the Democrate; and both can unite in friendly recognition of favor from the Populists. With brimming charity and hearty forgiveness we note, merely for historical purposes, that the few opponents of the bill in the House hid their diminished heads on both sides of the chamber.

LEO AND THE BIBLE.

The pope declares that the Bible is inspired in whole and in detail; also that where it seems to be contrary to science, there is either bad interpretation ur a mistake of science.

To the latter proposition but little exception can be taken. Theologians have made many mistakes in their exegests. And quite naturally, too. To understand the Bible correctly in all its details a vast amount of knowledge is necessary, embracing astron-omy, languages, geography, history, edge is necessary, embracing astron-omy, languages, geography, history, geology, archæology and many other branches of learning. All are related to the revelations of the Almighty, and they all aid in shedding light ou the books that contain a history of these revelations. But all true science is progressive. New truths are progressive. New truths discovered almost daily, excluding theories formerly adopteu. A consequence of this is that much of that which was at one time given out as Bible doctrine has been abandaned in the rays of the new light furnished by the advancing sciences. Theology, too, is progressive, in full burmony, as the pope holds, with the other sci-Theology, ences.

But when his holiness maintains that the Bible is inspired in whole and fight defeat with all their might. But should the unexpected happen, have been 'welcome. What Bible is one hoped, to the satisfaction of all.

thus endowed? The Hebrew text, the Beptuagint or the Vulgate? All can not be inspired in detail, since they do not be inspired in detail, since they do not entirely agree with each other. The Hebrew text, it must be re-membered, in its present shape, is quite uifferent from the manu-scripts lett by the inspired men or their amanuenses. Every yowel has been juserted by uninspired men, and learned scholars maintain that in some instances wrong vowels have been used, making the present text certainly not inspired in detail. The Septua gint, which is but a translation of the Hebrew, was not to our knowl-edge written by men who claimed inspiration. It is one of the most valu-able versions of the Scriptures, but it is certainly not inspired in every detail. The Vulgate, by the Tridentine coun-cil declared to be the authorized version of the Roman church, is also a valuable version, but the original, the work of Jerome, is known to have been so corrupted during the lapse of time that revisions of it became necessary. That Jerome's translation and the numerous alterations afterwards made are in-spired in every ustall is a claim that no modern theologian can make in earnest.

The question therefore remains, which version of the Bible is inspired in every detail? If Leo XIII commits the church to the theory of verbal inspiration, he only proves himself less progressive in theological questions than in the solution of social and polltical problems.

LAW IS A COSTLY GAME.

Everyhody who has given the subject a moment's thought must view with some consternation the threat, if it is made in earnest, that the favor. able outcome of a recent suit in Provo against Sait Lake City over the Jordan dam controversy, is but the precursor of numerous other suits of a similar character. The well-balanced com-munity, like the well-balanced indimunity, like the well-balanced indi-vidual, dreads the resort to litiga-tion if any other reasonable and satisfactory mode of settlement can be bad. It is not only the expense of the thing, but the illfeeling engendered and the suspicion remaining in the mind of the unsuccessful hitigant that not right but shrewdness has prevailed—these are the incentives with most people to keep out of law as long as possible.

In the matter in question these deter-rent influences ought to be the stronger of for the reason that so many people aud such enormous interests are involved. We presume that among all who have made themselves familiar with have made themselves familiar with this old-time ulspute, nearly every oitizen of Utah county thinks Salt Lake City and county have acted imperiously and unjustly in forcing back, by the dem at the Nar-rows, the waters of Utah Lake upon the lowlands along its shores; upon the lowlands along its shores; and on the other side, that nearly every citizen of this county regards the Utab county objectors as upresson. able and extravagant in their contention. The whole question was not long ago the subject of a noted and most thorough arbitration hearing, and