

## NEWS OF THE WEST.

Bonds Sold at \$50,000 Against an Electric Railway Co.

## AN IMPORTANT STOCK DEAL.

Broker: J. H. Beale of a Boarding House—Wine Bottlers—Cobblers.

A gold bearing belt supposed to be an ancient one of the Colorado river, is reported found in Yuma county, and comes up the river near Yuma, Ariz. It is thirty miles long and over two wide.

Joseph Dillon, owner of a number of soda fountains in the immediate vicinity of Rawlins, Wyo., is in the city, and the Soda Fountain Association has been holding a general meeting. He is attempting to have a state law passed to prohibit soda fountains from selling beer.

La. A. Wright, the capitalist touring from Boston to San Francisco on a stage, passed through Calico on Sunday at noon. He was in good spirits and expected to make James McNight his name over Mesquite one of the highest in the country.

Clint Card, a well known San Joaquin (Calif.) miner, who is in the business of buying and selling gold and silver, has sold his mine to the Standard Mining Company for \$10,000. The miners consume 125 tons yearly. A \$30,000 deal is now in process of examination for the sale of their working tools in England.

James Geoghegan, of Wyoming, estimates that the Dillon deposit of gold has 100,000 tons in sight. The gold is being taken by the Argonauts in more than 100 shafts, with some 100 men employed at \$20 per ton. The miners consume 125 tons yearly. A \$30,000 deal is now in process of examination for the sale of their working tools in England.

James T. Lynch met with an accident at Alameda, Calif., a few months ago last summer, his death. He was driving a team of horses with an electric car. Lynch was thrown to the ground and badly injured. His widow has now sought relief in the unexpected amount of the Alameda, Oakland and Fremont Electric Railway company to the sum of \$100,000 damages.

As the concluding act of a drunken jamboree at the home of the Rev. Right Honorable and Drunken Drunken, a Puerto Rican, residing here, General Sanchez, was shot in the thigh at an early hour on Monday morning, receiving a painful flesh wound. Major Hall was arrested, charged with the shooting, and was held in jail, but released with being picked up to the end.

A miners' meeting of the mine group, held at San Jose, Cal., yesterday, all were enthusiastic over the plan of a syndicate controlling the output for five years in order to increase the prices of their products. Considerable opposition was shown by miners signed by growers representing 1,500 acres, and many carried blank contracts away with the intention of signing them later. It is said there is no doubt that about all the growers of Santa Clara county will go into the combine.

A man named Oles has been arrested on suspicion of being the murderer of State D. Basinski last April, remarks the Belvoir (Iowa) Herald. He was at that time working for Mr. Lovin in the vicinity of the place where the crime was committed, and it was believed he had no means of escape when he was beaten to the punch of Mr. Gossen and finally displayed considerable injury, which caused the impression that he might be implicated in the crime. It is reported that he has been a noted voter for Mr. Lovin, the man who has been most successful. A legal examination has not yet taken place, but if no new evidence is brought out it is probable he will be discharged.

St. John's Stake Conference.

Rev. James Apache C., Ariz., June 16, 1894.

The regular quarterly conference of the St. John's Stake, Arizona, was held on Sunday and Monday, June 17 and 18, on Sunday morning at 10 o'clock. There were present on the stand of the presidency of the Stake, David K. Utting, Elijah N. Freeman (W. H. Gibbons being on a visit to California), Patriarchs H. J. Pratt and James N. Cannon and most of the bishops. Reports were given from the various wards and stakes, and the representative from that ward except those from the Stake Presy. of the presbytery of the Stake. The associations were reported as being in good condition and progressing generally. On account of rain it is thought there will be very little grain raised in some of the settlements, especially Springerville (the Union ward).

During the conference excellent arrangements were made for the Stake. The St. John's Stake, Arizona, was the second, very nicely equipped dining room. The general speakers were Presidents David K. Utting and E. N. Freeman, Patriarch H. J. Pratt, Bishop H. C. Crosby, J. N. Haywood, H. M. Minch, G. D. Green and C. L. Knapp. Especially, Chas. Jas. Jas., Stake Recorder.

The St. John's Stake.

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born? The distance, body, the St. John's Stake, Arizona, was the second, very nicely equipped dining room. The general speakers were Presidents David K. Utting and E. N. Freeman, Patriarch H. J. Pratt, Bishop H. C. Crosby, J. N. Haywood, H. M. Minch, G. D. Green and C. L. Knapp.

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of consumption than the day of his birth or was he born?

They had originally intended to go great distances. Was in "shorts," but had no less in an active way of