

TARIFF ARGUMENTS.

Heard Now Before the Ways and Means Committee.

MUCH INTEREST IS DISPLAYED.

The hearing devoted to the tariff schedule for the afternoon to discuss the tariff.

WASHINGTON, Dec. 28.—The tariff schedule for the ways and means committee began at 10 o'clock today.

There were several large delegations in attendance and much interest displayed. The morning was devoted to the chemical schedule, the afternoon to wine and liquor.

Mr. Charles Dingley made a preliminary statement as to the hearings that would be held each day from 10 to 4 o'clock, from 12:30 to 1:30.

He said the committee desired to avoid repetition and would prefer, where possible, to receive continuous testimony rather than arguments.

Mr. H. H. Hutton, 75, representative of the Manufacturing Chemists' association, made a brief statement as to the way for a brief to be submitted for that section.

Mr. Hutton said the manufacturing chemists would ask for exemption from the schedule and as such as possible.

Mr. Hutton said the manufacturing chemists would ask for exemption from the schedule and as such as possible.

Mr. Hutton said the manufacturing chemists would ask for exemption from the schedule and as such as possible.

Mr. Hutton said the manufacturing chemists would ask for exemption from the schedule and as such as possible.

Mr. Hutton said the manufacturing chemists would ask for exemption from the schedule and as such as possible.

Mr. Hutton said the manufacturing chemists would ask for exemption from the schedule and as such as possible.

Mr. Hutton said the manufacturing chemists would ask for exemption from the schedule and as such as possible.

Mr. Hutton said the manufacturing chemists would ask for exemption from the schedule and as such as possible.

Mr. Hutton said the manufacturing chemists would ask for exemption from the schedule and as such as possible.

Mr. Hutton said the manufacturing chemists would ask for exemption from the schedule and as such as possible.

Mr. Hutton said the manufacturing chemists would ask for exemption from the schedule and as such as possible.

Mr. Hutton said the manufacturing chemists would ask for exemption from the schedule and as such as possible.

Mr. Hutton said the manufacturing chemists would ask for exemption from the schedule and as such as possible.

Mr. Hutton said the manufacturing chemists would ask for exemption from the schedule and as such as possible.

Mr. Hutton said the manufacturing chemists would ask for exemption from the schedule and as such as possible.

Mr. Hutton said the manufacturing chemists would ask for exemption from the schedule and as such as possible.

Mr. Hutton said the manufacturing chemists would ask for exemption from the schedule and as such as possible.

Mr. Hutton said the manufacturing chemists would ask for exemption from the schedule and as such as possible.

Mr. Hutton said the manufacturing chemists would ask for exemption from the schedule and as such as possible.

Mr. Hutton said the manufacturing chemists would ask for exemption from the schedule and as such as possible.

Mr. Hutton said the manufacturing chemists would ask for exemption from the schedule and as such as possible.

Mr. Hutton said the manufacturing chemists would ask for exemption from the schedule and as such as possible.

Mr. Hutton said the manufacturing chemists would ask for exemption from the schedule and as such as possible.

THE TURKISH FARGE.

Russia Warns the Sultan of Danger and Promises Protection.

ARABIA HAD A DEFIANT REPLY.

Secret Meetings of the Ambassadors in Constantinople—Russian and Turkish.

CONSTANTINOPLE, Dec. 28.—(Copyright, 1896, by the Associated Press.)—The Russian ambassador at Constantinople, Count Benckendorff, arrived here today.

He is to receive the Turkish ambassador, who is expected to arrive tomorrow.

The idea that the President has the sole prerogative of deciding what to do in the case of a rebellion is a mistake.

It is a mistake to suppose that the President has the sole prerogative of deciding what to do in the case of a rebellion.

It is a mistake to suppose that the President has the sole prerogative of deciding what to do in the case of a rebellion.

It is a mistake to suppose that the President has the sole prerogative of deciding what to do in the case of a rebellion.

It is a mistake to suppose that the President has the sole prerogative of deciding what to do in the case of a rebellion.

It is a mistake to suppose that the President has the sole prerogative of deciding what to do in the case of a rebellion.

It is a mistake to suppose that the President has the sole prerogative of deciding what to do in the case of a rebellion.

It is a mistake to suppose that the President has the sole prerogative of deciding what to do in the case of a rebellion.

It is a mistake to suppose that the President has the sole prerogative of deciding what to do in the case of a rebellion.

It is a mistake to suppose that the President has the sole prerogative of deciding what to do in the case of a rebellion.

It is a mistake to suppose that the President has the sole prerogative of deciding what to do in the case of a rebellion.

It is a mistake to suppose that the President has the sole prerogative of deciding what to do in the case of a rebellion.

It is a mistake to suppose that the President has the sole prerogative of deciding what to do in the case of a rebellion.

It is a mistake to suppose that the President has the sole prerogative of deciding what to do in the case of a rebellion.

It is a mistake to suppose that the President has the sole prerogative of deciding what to do in the case of a rebellion.

It is a mistake to suppose that the President has the sole prerogative of deciding what to do in the case of a rebellion.

It is a mistake to suppose that the President has the sole prerogative of deciding what to do in the case of a rebellion.

It is a mistake to suppose that the President has the sole prerogative of deciding what to do in the case of a rebellion.

It is a mistake to suppose that the President has the sole prerogative of deciding what to do in the case of a rebellion.

It is a mistake to suppose that the President has the sole prerogative of deciding what to do in the case of a rebellion.

It is a mistake to suppose that the President has the sole prerogative of deciding what to do in the case of a rebellion.

It is a mistake to suppose that the President has the sole prerogative of deciding what to do in the case of a rebellion.

It is a mistake to suppose that the President has the sole prerogative of deciding what to do in the case of a rebellion.

It is a mistake to suppose that the President has the sole prerogative of deciding what to do in the case of a rebellion.

It is a mistake to suppose that the President has the sole prerogative of deciding what to do in the case of a rebellion.

It is a mistake to suppose that the President has the sole prerogative of deciding what to do in the case of a rebellion.

MORRIS CASE AGAIN.

Another Echo of the Celebrated Bribery Charges.

DEFENSE MOVES A DISMISAL.

Contends That the State Has Exhausted Its Right to Prosecute—The Arguments.

THE REHEARING OF THE celebrated Morris bribery case began in the criminal division of the Third district court today Judge Rogers presiding.

The court room was well filled with spectators and a number of attorneys were present when the case was called.

The defendant entered the court room at 10 o'clock, accompanied by his attorneys, Judges Powers and McArthur.

The state is represented by County Attorney Whitman and Judge Rhodes of Salt Lake county.

Judge Rogers had come down from Ogden this morning to try the case and was on the bench when the clock struck ten.

"I am ready to proceed with the Morris case," said the court.

"We are, your honor," replied Judge Powers, "and we desire to be heard on a motion, later on, which, in effect will ask the court to dismiss the case on the ground that the state has exhausted its right to prosecute."

Judge Rhodes moved for a dismissal of the case, pleading that the state has exhausted its right to prosecute.

The court then adjourned until the next day.

The court then adjourned until the next day.

The court then adjourned until the next day.

The court then adjourned until the next day.

The court then adjourned until the next day.

The court then adjourned until the next day.

The court then adjourned until the next day.

The court then adjourned until the next day.

The court then adjourned until the next day.

The court then adjourned until the next day.

The court then adjourned until the next day.

The court then adjourned until the next day.

The court then adjourned until the next day.

The court then adjourned until the next day.

The court then adjourned until the next day.

The court then adjourned until the next day.

The court then adjourned until the next day.

The court then adjourned until the next day.

The court then adjourned until the next day.

The court then adjourned until the next day.

THE TURKISH FARGE.

Russia Warns the Sultan of Danger and Promises Protection.

ARABIA HAD A DEFIANT REPLY.

Secret Meetings of the Ambassadors in Constantinople—Russian and Turkish.

CONSTANTINOPLE, Dec. 28.—(Copyright, 1896, by the Associated Press.)—The Russian ambassador at Constantinople, Count Benckendorff, arrived here today.

He is to receive the Turkish ambassador, who is expected to arrive tomorrow.

The idea that the President has the sole prerogative of deciding what to do in the case of a rebellion is a mistake.

It is a mistake to suppose that the President has the sole prerogative of deciding what to do in the case of a rebellion.

It is a mistake to suppose that the President has the sole prerogative of deciding what to do in the case of a rebellion.

It is a mistake to suppose that the President has the sole prerogative of deciding what to do in the case of a rebellion.

It is a mistake to suppose that the President has the sole prerogative of deciding what to do in the case of a rebellion.

It is a mistake to suppose that the President has the sole prerogative of deciding what to do in the case of a rebellion.

It is a mistake to suppose that the President has the sole prerogative of deciding what to do in the case of a rebellion.

It is a mistake to suppose that the President has the sole prerogative of deciding what to do in the case of a rebellion.

It is a mistake to suppose that the President has the sole prerogative of deciding what to do in the case of a rebellion.

It is a mistake to suppose that the President has the sole prerogative of deciding what to do in the case of a rebellion.

It is a mistake to suppose that the President has the sole prerogative of deciding what to do in the case of a rebellion.

It is a mistake to suppose that the President has the sole prerogative of deciding what to do in the case of a rebellion.

It is a mistake to suppose that the President has the sole prerogative of deciding what to do in the case of a rebellion.

It is a mistake to suppose that the President has the sole prerogative of deciding what to do in the case of a rebellion.

It is a mistake to suppose that the President has the sole prerogative of deciding what to do in the case of a rebellion.

It is a mistake to suppose that the President has the sole prerogative of deciding what to do in the case of a rebellion.

It is a mistake to suppose that the President has the sole prerogative of deciding what to do in the case of a rebellion.

It is a mistake to suppose that the President has the sole prerogative of deciding what to do in the case of a rebellion.

It is a mistake to suppose that the President has the sole prerogative of deciding what to do in the case of a rebellion.

It is a mistake to suppose that the President has the sole prerogative of deciding what to do in the case of a rebellion.

It is a mistake to suppose that the President has the sole prerogative of deciding what to do in the case of a rebellion.

It is a mistake to suppose that the President has the sole prerogative of deciding what to do in the case of a rebellion.

It is a mistake to suppose that the President has the sole prerogative of deciding what to do in the case of a rebellion.

It is a mistake to suppose that the President has the sole prerogative of deciding what to do in the case of a rebellion.

It is a mistake to suppose that the President has the sole prerogative of deciding what to do in the case of a rebellion.

It is a mistake to suppose that the President has the sole prerogative of deciding what to do in the case of a rebellion.