## Published Daily, Sundays Excepted, AT FOUR D'CLOCK. PRINTED AND FUELISHED BY THE

ir is he resting to those who take an the Utah judichary and the Utah Comtion crio, and, advise their grand juries that they may indict a dozen same offense, with conviction guaranteed beforehand in each instance; the latter think the proper method of adding severity to the punisament inflicted is to increase the term of imprisonment; they agree very "heroic," but are otherwise pursuing opposite courses.

instance.

the full penalty given

We can put it in other language and perhaps make it more easily understood The courts hold that a violator of those rules of morality which blnd and control "the rest of us" may be indicted (and consequently punished) for each and every day during which he honorably supports his waves and their offspring. and is not assumed to call them his. Supposing he has been thus living, unlike "the rest of us," for say three years, or more; but as the law uninterpreted by the Utah Courts so far does not go back of that period, we will fix it at three years, making altogether 1,035 days ; According to the Judges (and who shall dare dispute them?) 1,005 indictments can be found against such person, and, as previously stated, conviction follows as a matter of course, our practically meaning the other, and, but for classification and consecutiveness, being the same thing. The full penalty follows always, as surely as that conviction follows indictment, unless of course the victing has "promises" to makeout we are dealing hypothetically with an unfaltering, thorough-going, reliable man. The full penalty, as to imprisonment, represents with the costs, on an average, \$400 as a fluancial consideration and six months' imprisonment in the penitestiary ; that would be, for the 1,000 indictments, an aggregate of \$478,000 fine and 6,570 months' or 517 years' imprisonment! No human being could stand it. And then, to further complicate matters, the Commissioners are incautious enough to overlook consultation with the Judges and thus are led into recommending their extension system, which, should it be a lopted, would be quadruphing the power of the courts as to the imprisonment in such cases, instead of making the honored five greater and better before the world worthles would have it in their power to lock op an offender against the laws "the net of us" observe for the trilling term of 2,135 years! Why not enlarge the scope of the state of limitations sufficleady to make the imprisonment for life, an the done with it? Seriously, and not the geatlemen composing the controlling power of one elect. in muclipacty and those who stiminizmuit in the highest places in the February, rather overdoing the thing in their seal to remove the mote from the "Mormons'" eyes? Are they not in their fanatical zeal so far over topping the boundaries of decen y and common sense as to be, at think as least, landed fairly in the domain of accordity? The descent from the sublime to the ridiculous is easily a complished in their cases; their positions are those of dignity, and they have only to become fanatical screechers and bigoted partisans to their ran or are folled in the bastile, then the losse of present circumstanc > and the progress of events is simply. a study for the idler, a trend of thought cadmin to barrenness of conclusion. those singled out for the wrath of "the rest of us" to fall upon, Mr. Herbert J. Philipping was areas and in court and confronted with three indictments for the one offense of morality, decency and go timess united. This, we believe, is the second instance of the kind, and it with the other goes to show how readly in erruest those who flaunt the black banner of the crusade really are. As before suggested, if they can induct twice for the same transaction, there is nothing in law or logic, in metaphysics or mortal power to pre-

passing in and out of the valley." Thus has this fiction, which, like that of the upas tree, has afforded a figure for many a beautiful piece of thetoric, been rudely shattered, and the Scienaccuracy, shown to have one contributor at least who is guilty of "drawing the long bow" most egregiously.

defendants. Mr. Stead.







- set - - \*

in the a suit the

21 20.2