

GREAT LIGHT NOW BREAKS IN SENATE

Badger, Smith et al Fall in Line
On Stand Taken by
Deseret News.

REVOLT ON "MACHINE BILLS"

Benner X. Smith Sounds Note of
Warning to Legislators—Incidents
Of a Lively Session.

Up to the time that the senate took a recess yesterday afternoon to meet at 9 o'clock this morning, the anti-liquor legislators were complete masters of the situation. When house bill 246, the hybrid measure passed by the lower branch, was submitted to the senate shortly before noon yesterday, it was referred by President Gardner to the sifting committee. That body is composed of Senators Benner X. Smith, Carl A. Badger and E. T. Hulme. When it was suggested to Senator Badger that he had two against him, he replied that he did not believe there would be any trouble; that the committee would promptly report the Hansen bill for consideration of the senate. The sequel proved that Senator Badger had placed entirely too much confidence in his colleagues as to unanimity. Both Senator Smith and Senator Hulme refused to report on the bill and they received sufficient support to entirely thwart Senator Badger's attempt to bring it before the senate.

BENNER X. SMITH'S REPLY.

The incident occasioned one of the liveliest half hours seen in the senate since the legislature convened. After a vain attempt to cause to gain his point, Senator Badger asked in open session that the sifting committee be relieved of further responsibility in the premises. To this Benner X. Smith, who is chairman of the sifting committee, replied:

"I wish to say that the committee has not had the bill under consideration at all. Some amendments to the bill were suggested and will probably be made in committee if it is left in the hands of the committee.

MACHINE BILLS.

"In its present form this bill, together with other machine bills which have passed, notably the fire and police bill, creates one of the biggest and most powerful state machines in this or any other state. The fire and police bill which you gentlemen passed takes the fire and police department of Salt Lake City out of the hands of the mayor and puts it in the hands of the governor. With the present bill, which you may pass, you may judge for yourselves what power you put into the hands of one man for the creation of a state political machine.

"The Des Moines bills, for better municipal government, are now hanging in the balance and will be vetoed, on the ground that the Des Moines system would create a powerful city machine, and this in spite of the fact that the Des Moines plan calls for a recall feature which is not possessed by your fire and police bill, and a feature which will make utterly impossible the creation of any sort of a machine. I don't care what action you take in this matter, nor what your vote may be, but I will not support a bill which I do not believe will be approved and never will. I wish my hands of the whole affair."

BADGER FOR TEMPERANCE.

Senator Badger, in explanation of his action in trying to bring the bill up for consideration, taking it from the hands of the sifting committee, said:

"Mr. President, I am not here to put a motion before this house to put house bill 246 on its way to final passage. I am unalterably opposed to many features of the bill, and it is only because I desire some liquor legislation that I am going to try to smother it. More strongly than I desire that my own views be incorporated into law do I desire that some temperance legislation be enacted before adjournment. The house in dealing with senate bill 145 and with house bill 246 undoubtedly made a parliamentary mistake, but I

Are Drugs Necessary? Do Drugs Cure Disease? Can Nature be Assisted?

If people were born right and afterwards lived right, there would be no need for medicine. Every doctor knows this. So do other well-informed people. One thing more. When a person lives right, or acquires bodily weakness by idleness, medicine can do only very little. Medicine cannot cure him. Only nature can claim that medicine will cure disease. Medicine may palliate symptoms. Medicine may urge the powers of Nature to resist disease. Medicine sometimes arouse the effort of the human body to right itself against disease. This is the most that medicine can do.

A man accidentally puts his finger in a fire. Instantly he yells his finger in his mouth, then blows on it to cool the effect. This is no cure. He knows it very well. But it makes it a little better for the time being. People eat unwholesome food. This produces indigestion. The only rational cure is to eat correctly. Yet if palliative is at hand the pain of indigestion can be mitigated, the throes of dyspepsia assuaged. The medicine cannot be said to have cured. It simply palliates disagreeable symptoms. The cure must come through right living.

Take Peruna, for instance. No one claims Peruna is a cure for dyspepsia. But Peruna will stimulate the stomach to perform its function properly. Peruna will increase the flow of digestive fluids, without which digestion cannot be carried on at all. It will increase the relish of food, the appetite.

It is admitted that all this can be accomplished by right living, but there are so many people who either will not or do not know how to eat correctly that a tremendous amount of good can be done by the wise use of Peruna.

A stomach that has been frequently abused performs the function of digestion very lazily. Such a stomach allows the food to remain undigested for some time after it is swallowed. This leads to fermentation of the food. Sour stomach is the result. This goes on week after week, until the blood is poisoned with the products of fermentation. This condition is very apt to produce rheumatism.

It is not claimed that Peruna will cure rheumatism. Nothing will cure rheumatism but correct living. But it is claimed that Peruna will assist a badly abused stomach to perform its work.

think it was only such and I do not think the senator is right in saying that the house is holding this bill as a club over the senate.

ON ATTITUDE OF GOVERNOR.

"I agree with everything the senator from Salt Lake has said about this bill creating a state machine. It will, I passed, undoubtedly create a powerful political machine. And I further agree with what Senator Smith has said with reference to the apparent contradictory attitude of the governor with reference to the Des Moines bills and the fire and police bills. Mr. President, the governor of the State of Utah should be the last person on earth to object to a bill that would create a political machine, yet such is apparently his attitude.

"But I am willing to take this bill as it stands with all its objectionable features, all of its brewery features, and pass it because of the saving grace of local option. I will vote to pass it because I know that it is a step in the right direction, because I know that at the first election it will make 25 out of 27 counties in this state prohibition counties."

WILSON VOTES NO.

The next to gain recognition from the chair was senator Wilson, who said:

"There seems to have been a change of heart since morning," he said. "This very individual who is now trying to force this measure upon us came to me this morning and asked me to help smother this bill. I told him that I would and I am going to try to smother it. I will help in every way I can to kill it."

True to his word, Senator Wilson voted against consideration of the bill.

MILLER TO BADGER.

Senator Miller said: "The individual to whom Senator Wilson refers is undoubtedly Senator Badger. He had the opportunity early in this session to give the people of this state, to his constituents, exactly what they were asking for—state-wide prohibition. He voted against prohibition and now at the last gasp of the legislature he comes asking us to compel him get right with his constituents. He wants to wash his skirts now, but he cannot do it."

BADGER'S REPLY.

To this daily Senator Badger replied:

"The Senator from Washington voted for prohibition early in the session and ever since that time he has consistently opposed every form of temperance legislation. He was asking for no other form of legislation."

LEGISLATIVE DOCKET

PASSED BY HOUSE

S. J. H. No. 3, by Williams—Proposing an amendment to section 1, article XIV, of the constitution of the State of Utah, in relation to the limit of state indebtedness.

S. J. H. No. 21, by Miller—To provide for the support of the government of the state and for the several counties of the state, and district and county schools, for the fiscal years 1909 and 1910.

S. J. H. No. 203, by sifting committee—Substituting S. J. H. No. 21, by Badger—Creating annual license taxes payable by all domestic and foreign corporations in this state, with certain exceptions, and for the revocation of the charters of domestic corporations failing to comply with the provisions of this act, and repealing laws in conflict therewith.

S. J. H. No. 138, by Smith—To provide for a Utah state conservation commission and prescribing the powers and duties of such commission, and appropriating the sum of \$2,000 per annum to be expended by said commission for certain purposes.

S. J. H. No. 204, by Benner X. Smith—To amend section 141-X, Compiled Laws of Utah, 1907, prohibiting the taking of various licenses and providing a penalty therefor.

S. J. M. No. 2, by Kuebler—Representing the mining and livestock industries of the State of Utah.

S. J. H. No. 6, by Hyde—Reinstating senators and representatives in Congress.

passed Laws of Utah, 1907, relating to high schools.

BILLS PASSED BY THE SENATE.

H. R. No. 203, by Nelson—An act providing for the abatement of abuses in connection with the boring of wells for oil or natural gas in certain cases.

H. R. No. 222, by Archibald—An act providing for the classification of cities and repealing certain sections of the Compiled Laws of Utah, 1907, in conflict therewith.

H. R. No. 133, by Dyrness—Providing for the taxation of livestock which shall be brought into the State of Utah for grazing purposes.

H. R. No. 82, by Porter—An act creating the office of state veterinarian and livestock inspector.

H. R. No. 228, by Holman—Relating to the listed law and repealing certain sections of the Compiled Laws in conflict therewith.

H. R. No. 223, by Harris—Relating to bulls and their use on ranges.

BILLS KILLED BY THE SENATE.

H. R. No. 190, by McCracken—Relating to the term of office and bond of the sheriff and county clerk, and repealing sections 545 and 551, Compiled Laws of Utah, 1907.

PRESS CLUB SHOW

DEV. TED TO POLITICS

Plot of "The Bungle" Promises Some Interesting Side-lights—Scene is Laid in Salt Lake.

Tabernacle are now in full swing for "The Bungle," which will be given at the Salt Lake theater March 31, matinee and night, by the Press club.

The story of the burlesque on "The Man of the Hour," as written by Earl Armstrong, is a novel one. It does not follow the broadest of the law, but diverges upon original lines and progresses in an entertaining way toward a fitting climax that spells reform in a brand new way.

The first act finds a number of politicians at the home of Willie Workmen, the political boss of Salt Lake, just at the opening of another city campaign. Mr. J. Ed Pyper, a politician and a member who wants to be mayor, is making a speech to the multitude that has gathered to share the hospitality of Workmen. The scene itself is a picture worth remembering. The front parlor of the house is filled with a group of politicians. There is a suggestion of any fine residence on Brigham street. Pyper's speech is cheered by the people who are feeling pretty good anyhow for it is an honor to be a guest of Mr. Workmen.

Workmen finds capital timber for the majority in the shape of R. E. Forne, a young man of wealth whose time is spent in light-house postmen and who can do nothing but politics and a sort of game of politics or for the serious work of civic rule. Workmen picks him from obscurity and makes him mayor.

In the meantime Forne is in love with Chlorine Workmen, the daughter of the political boss, and to make the story even better, Chlorine is loved by Pyper. Between Pyper and Forne there springs a rivalry which is accentuated by the fact that Forne accepts the mayoralty and an undying hatred is pledged right in the very first act. Chlorine has seen so much of Salt Lake politics that she does not want her lover to mix into it, but he overrides her objections, thus causing a great deal of trouble and much to the delight of a blonde stenographer who loves him, anyhow.

The second act finds the company at the office of the Butchers & Grocers' association and here the gentle diversion of raising prices on foodstuffs is seen.

BUNGALOW

Re-opens March 21st.

Willard Mack & Mary Hall

Presenting Romantic Drama

"HEARTSEASE"

Seats now selling.

McEWEN—Tonight

Grand Theater—Third Week's Engagement.

Entire change of program. Mind reading, shackle, handkerchief, and hypnotism. Ladies and gentlemen! Don't miss this! It is a real surprise. No advance in price. Regular Wednesday and Saturday matinees.

LYRIC MATINEE AT 2

EVENING AT 7

THE THEATER DIFFERENT.

One week beginning Mch. 20

THE GREAT SHERLOCK

HOLMES PICTURES.

Series 2 and 3.

Positively cannot be seen elsewhere.

The attempt on Holmes.

The escape of Holmes.

The theft of the government bonds.

The fight in the gas cellar.

1,200 feet of magnificent film.

Copenhagen (A scene picture of one of the most beautiful cities of the world).

THE CAPRICIOUS MONUMENT.

A laugh all the way through.

Laugh all the way through.

LAUGH ALL THE WAY THROUGH.

A feature picture of an unusual subject.

THE OTHER FEATURE PICTURES.

THE OTHER FEATURE PICTURES.

THE OTHER FEATURE PICTURES.

THE OTHER FEATURE PICTURES.

THE OTHER FEATURE PICTURES.

THE OTHER FEATURE PICTURES.

THE OTHER FEATURE PICTURES.

THE OTHER FEATURE PICTURES.

THE OTHER FEATURE PICTURES.

THE OTHER FEATURE PICTURES.

THE OTHER FEATURE PICTURES.

THE OTHER FEATURE PICTURES.

THE OTHER FEATURE PICTURES.

going on at full blast. It develops that the new mayor, after being in office three weeks, has cleaned up a cool million. This is considered somewhat hypocritical since at the end of the first year he became so virtuous that he could not be elected. The political boss who had been proud of his protégé at the opening of the campaign, now sees his mistake and conspires with the planner, who is also the villain, to unhorse the mayor. The way it is done is another story and the climax of the second act throws an altogether new light on the case and complicates matters greatly.

This action is required in the third act. The scene is that of a newspaper office. It is the intention of the Press club to give to the people an exact reproduction of a newspaper office and what goes on there. The story behind the scenes of a newspaper has quite as much romance as that behind the scenes in a theater. The novelty of this scene is one of the strong points in the play.

Of course, there must be papers in this play. No genuine playwriting would overlook this essential. Also there is a mortgage, and the mortgage hovers over the newspaper which becomes the bone of contention in the mayor's battle between the villain, Compi-

With the negative side of the question against Gordon academy. The question last night was, "Resolved, that the United States should permanently retain the Philippines." The judges were W. I. Snyder, Judge Lewis and Will Ray. Each member of the team was given 10 minutes in which to speak, with five additional minutes for rebuttal. The debaters for the affirmative were Lawrence Clayton, Gilbert William and Georgia Cannon. For the negative were Ira Diem, in Sherman and Roger Mc-

THREE HIGH SCHOOL DEBATES.

Salt Lake Loses to Park City at Eaton Gym.

In the high school debating contest last evening in the Eaton gymnasium, Park City won. This leaves Salt Lake out of further consideration, while Park City has another chance

At the First Presbyterian church, with the negative, won from the Salt Lake Collegiate Institute. The victors in this case were Mary Walden, Gertrude Brown and Marie Wells. The judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon.

At the First Presbyterian church, with the negative, won from the Salt Lake Collegiate Institute. The victors in this case were Mary Walden, Gertrude Brown and Marie Wells. The judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon.

At the First Presbyterian church, with the negative, won from the Salt Lake Collegiate Institute. The victors in this case were Mary Walden, Gertrude Brown and Marie Wells. The judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon.

At the First Presbyterian church, with the negative, won from the Salt Lake Collegiate Institute. The victors in this case were Mary Walden, Gertrude Brown and Marie Wells. The judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon.

At the First Presbyterian church, with the negative, won from the Salt Lake Collegiate Institute. The victors in this case were Mary Walden, Gertrude Brown and Marie Wells. The judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon.

At the First Presbyterian church, with the negative, won from the Salt Lake Collegiate Institute. The victors in this case were Mary Walden, Gertrude Brown and Marie Wells. The judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon.

At the First Presbyterian church, with the negative, won from the Salt Lake Collegiate Institute. The victors in this case were Mary Walden, Gertrude Brown and Marie Wells. The judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon.

At the First Presbyterian church, with the negative, won from the Salt Lake Collegiate Institute. The victors in this case were Mary Walden, Gertrude Brown and Marie Wells. The judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon.

At the First Presbyterian church, with the negative, won from the Salt Lake Collegiate Institute. The victors in this case were Mary Walden, Gertrude Brown and Marie Wells. The judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon.

At the First Presbyterian church, with the negative, won from the Salt Lake Collegiate Institute. The victors in this case were Mary Walden, Gertrude Brown and Marie Wells. The judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon.

At the First Presbyterian church, with the negative, won from the Salt Lake Collegiate Institute. The victors in this case were Mary Walden, Gertrude Brown and Marie Wells. The judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon.

At the First Presbyterian church, with the negative, won from the Salt Lake Collegiate Institute. The victors in this case were Mary Walden, Gertrude Brown and Marie Wells. The judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon.

At the First Presbyterian church, with the negative, won from the Salt Lake Collegiate Institute. The victors in this case were Mary Walden, Gertrude Brown and Marie Wells. The judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon.

At the First Presbyterian church, with the negative, won from the Salt Lake Collegiate Institute. The victors in this case were Mary Walden, Gertrude Brown and Marie Wells. The judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon.

At the First Presbyterian church, with the negative, won from the Salt Lake Collegiate Institute. The victors in this case were Mary Walden, Gertrude Brown and Marie Wells. The judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon.

At the First Presbyterian church, with the negative, won from the Salt Lake Collegiate Institute. The victors in this case were Mary Walden, Gertrude Brown and Marie Wells. The judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon.

At the First Presbyterian church, with the negative, won from the Salt Lake Collegiate Institute. The victors in this case were Mary Walden, Gertrude Brown and Marie Wells. The judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon.

At the First Presbyterian church, with the negative, won from the Salt Lake Collegiate Institute. The victors in this case were Mary Walden, Gertrude Brown and Marie Wells. The judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon.

At the First Presbyterian church, with the negative, won from the Salt Lake Collegiate Institute. The victors in this case were Mary Walden, Gertrude Brown and Marie Wells. The judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon.

At the First Presbyterian church, with the negative, won from the Salt Lake Collegiate Institute. The victors in this case were Mary Walden, Gertrude Brown and Marie Wells. The judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon.

At the First Presbyterian church, with the negative, won from the Salt Lake Collegiate Institute. The victors in this case were Mary Walden, Gertrude Brown and Marie Wells. The judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon.

At the First Presbyterian church, with the negative, won from the Salt Lake Collegiate Institute. The victors in this case were Mary Walden, Gertrude Brown and Marie Wells. The judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon.

At the First Presbyterian church, with the negative, won from the Salt Lake Collegiate Institute. The victors in this case were Mary Walden, Gertrude Brown and Marie Wells. The judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon.

At the First Presbyterian church, with the negative, won from the Salt Lake Collegiate Institute. The victors in this case were Mary Walden, Gertrude Brown and Marie Wells. The judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon.

At the First Presbyterian church, with the negative, won from the Salt Lake Collegiate Institute. The victors in this case were Mary Walden, Gertrude Brown and Marie Wells. The judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon.

At the First Presbyterian church, with the negative, won from the Salt Lake Collegiate Institute. The victors in this case were Mary Walden, Gertrude Brown and Marie Wells. The judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon.

At the First Presbyterian church, with the negative, won from the Salt Lake Collegiate Institute. The victors in this case were Mary Walden, Gertrude Brown and Marie Wells. The judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon.

At the First Presbyterian church, with the negative, won from the Salt Lake Collegiate Institute. The victors in this case were Mary Walden, Gertrude Brown and Marie Wells. The judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon.

At the First Presbyterian church, with the negative, won from the Salt Lake Collegiate Institute. The victors in this case were Mary Walden, Gertrude Brown and Marie Wells. The judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon.

At the First Presbyterian church, with the negative, won from the Salt Lake Collegiate Institute. The victors in this case were Mary Walden, Gertrude Brown and Marie Wells. The judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon.

At the First Presbyterian church, with the negative, won from the Salt Lake Collegiate Institute. The victors in this case were Mary Walden, Gertrude Brown and Marie Wells. The judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon.

At the First Presbyterian church, with the negative, won from the Salt Lake Collegiate Institute. The victors in this case were Mary Walden, Gertrude Brown and Marie Wells. The judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon.

At the First Presbyterian church, with the negative, won from the Salt Lake Collegiate Institute. The victors in this case were Mary Walden, Gertrude Brown and Marie Wells. The judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon.

At the First Presbyterian church, with the negative, won from the Salt Lake Collegiate Institute. The victors in this case were Mary Walden, Gertrude Brown and Marie Wells. The judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon.

At the First Presbyterian church, with the negative, won from the Salt Lake Collegiate Institute. The victors in this case were Mary Walden, Gertrude Brown and Marie Wells. The judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon.

At the First Presbyterian church, with the negative, won from the Salt Lake Collegiate Institute. The victors in this case were Mary Walden, Gertrude Brown and Marie Wells. The judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon.

At the First Presbyterian church, with the negative, won from the Salt Lake Collegiate Institute. The victors in this case were Mary Walden, Gertrude Brown and Marie Wells. The judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon.

At the First Presbyterian church, with the negative, won from the Salt Lake Collegiate Institute. The victors in this case were Mary Walden, Gertrude Brown and Marie Wells. The judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon.

At the First Presbyterian church, with the negative, won from the Salt Lake Collegiate Institute. The victors in this case were Mary Walden, Gertrude Brown and Marie Wells. The judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon.

At the First Presbyterian church, with the negative, won from the Salt Lake Collegiate Institute. The victors in this case were Mary Walden, Gertrude Brown and Marie Wells. The judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon.

At the First Presbyterian church, with the negative, won from the Salt Lake Collegiate Institute. The victors in this case were Mary Walden, Gertrude Brown and Marie Wells. The judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon.

At the First Presbyterian church, with the negative, won from the Salt Lake Collegiate Institute. The victors in this case were Mary Walden, Gertrude Brown and Marie Wells. The judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon.

At the First Presbyterian church, with the negative, won from the Salt Lake Collegiate Institute. The victors in this case were Mary Walden, Gertrude Brown and Marie Wells. The judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon.

At the First Presbyterian church, with the negative, won from the Salt Lake Collegiate Institute. The victors in this case were Mary Walden, Gertrude Brown and Marie Wells. The judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon.

At the First Presbyterian church, with the negative, won from the Salt Lake Collegiate Institute. The victors in this case were Mary Walden, Gertrude Brown and Marie Wells. The judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon.

At the First Presbyterian church, with the negative, won from the Salt Lake Collegiate Institute. The victors in this case were Mary Walden, Gertrude Brown and Marie Wells. The judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon.

At the First Presbyterian church, with the negative, won from the Salt Lake Collegiate Institute. The victors in this case were Mary Walden, Gertrude Brown and Marie Wells. The judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon and the judges were Prof. Byron Cannon.

At the First Presbyterian church, with the negative, won from the Salt