

FRAGMENTS.

MARY ANDERSON at the Theatre this evening.

AST. SUPT. E. DICKSON, of the Union Pacific, went east this morning.

MR. CLARK, agent of the Chicago & Northwestern R. R., was in the city today.

C. W. JOHNSON, for being drunk, was fined \$5 in the police court this morning.

THE D. & R. G. east bound train was two and a half hours late in leaving this city this morning.

MR. ASHTON, a C. P. director, came in over the D. & R. G. W. this morning, and will go east to-morrow.

THE sale of tickets for the Wells concert on Friday next commences to-morrow at Calder's Music Palace and the D. & R. G. W. ticket office.

THE time in the Third District Court today was occupied by the Morris-Mammoth suit, Mr. Elias Morris, the plaintiff, being on the stand as a witness.

THE petition of Susanah Deck Scheib, for the admission to probate of the will of John P. Scheib, deceased, was filed in the Probate Court of Salt Lake County today.

A JOINT meeting of the Improvement Associations of the 10th and 11th Wards will be held in the 10th Ward meeting house this evening, commencing at 7:30. The public are invited to attend.

DANIEL O. SULLIVAN, who was very successful last evening in stealing a ride on the Utah Central train, was taken in charge by Officer Calder, and was this morning fined \$15, in the police court, or in lieu thereof, 10 days labor.

ELDER Charles W. Stayer will lecture this evening in the 14th Ward Assembly Rooms under the auspices of the Improvement Association of that ward, on the subject of "Wise Men and Fools, or Sagas and Simpletons." Admission free, and the public invited.

THE arrival of the great American actress, Miss Mary Anderson, in this city was the occasion of some flurry and excitement among her many admirers, who fairly besieged the Walker House in the hope of getting a glimpse of her. Her appearance to-night in the Salt Lake Theatre will doubtless be greeted by one of the largest audiences that ever packed that building.

THE regular monthly meeting of the members of the Twenty-third Quorum of Seventies and scattered members of other quorums residing in the Fourth, Fifth, Seventh and Farmers' Wards will be held in the Seventh Ward meeting house, on Thursday evening next, commencing at 7:30 o'clock. A full and punctual attendance is desired.

LOCAL NEWS.

Logan Temple.—The Logan Temple will be closed on Saturday evening, April 3d, and opened again on Monday morning, April 12th.

Sentenced for Larceny.—The second case of larceny that was brought before Justice Pyper this morning was a charge made against John Brown for having stolen a suit of clothes. At the trial it was developed that Brown stole the apparel from a companion with whom he had been around drinking, and sold them at a second hand store. The defendant made a statement as to how he came in possession of the stolen goods, and the result was that a fine of \$75 was imposed, and Brown, not having the necessary funds, will work at the gravel bank for 70 days.

Precautions Against Caterpillars.—We notice a good many citizens have lately adopted the suggestions offered in the News a while ago, and are giving their trees a thorough trimming as a precaution against the ravages of the caterpillars, and if all tree owners would adopt the same course immediately we might hope to get rid of the caterpillars this year. There is reason for encouragement as is, for the rings of eggs are not nearly so numerous on the trees in this city as they were last year, and possibly in the natural course of things the pest has almost run its course.

Danstrous Fire.—The Denver and Rio Grande Western hotel, located at the crossroad of Green river, which was kept by M. H. Beardsley, formerly of Ogden, accidentally took fire early yesterday morning while all the inmates were in bed, and was totally consumed. The thirty persons who were in the building at the time barely escaping with such articles of wearing apparel as they could seize in their hasty flight. The loss was probably not less than \$10,000, \$4,000 of which falls upon Mr. Beardsley, who owned the furnishings. The insurance on the building was about \$10,000.

Wells's Benefit.—It is to be hoped that the excitement over the Mary Anderson performances will not so entirely absorb the attention of the Theatre-going public as to cause them to forget the entertainment for the benefit of our famous local violinist and orchestra leader, Mr. Willard Wells, which is to be given on Friday evening next. The programme arranged for the occasion which we published last evening is a most attractive one, and as Mr. Wells has ever shown a commendable degree of public spiritiveness in offering his services for the benefit of others, he ought to have a bumper house.

Bad for Lucern.—We are informed that many lucern patches on the Mill Creek bench have been destroyed during the past winter through the ravages of field mice, the rodents having eaten the roots. If this be true, it is certainly time that some systematic measures were adopted for the destruction of the mice ere they overrun the country as the rabbits have in New Zealand. We thought it bad enough when we learned of 30 many trees being destroyed by this pest eating the bark off them, but if that important crop, the lucern, which is the chief dependence of the country for fodder, is all but ruined by its ravages it is even worse still.

A Battery Case.—Three persons now under arrest by the city officers are Samuel Tobias, charged with peeving an assault, and Frank Benedict and Walter Dinwoodey, for assault and battery upon Tobias. The row occurred on Saturday night, at the corner of Second South and West Temple streets, over an accident that happened to two of the combatants at the skating rink, and the hearing of the case is set for Monday next, as the injuries received by Tobias are considered so serious that he will not be able to appear before that time. The two others assert that the assault was made upon strong provocation given by Tobias.

THE TREASURER AND AUDITOR.

REFUSE TO PERMIT THE OFFICIAL THEFT—AN ANSWER TO THE BOGUS APPOINTMENTS.

Yesterday afternoon Treasurer James Jack and Auditor N. W. Clayton filed in the Third District Court their answers to the complaints of Bolivar Roberts and Arthur Pratt, on which suits were instituted by the District Attorney to oust the officers elected by the people and install the bogus appointees of the Governor. With the exception of the names of Clayton's answers similar to Mr. Jack's, which is as follows:

The People of the Territory of Utah on the Relation of William H. Dickson, United States District Attorney for Utah, Plaintiff, vs. James Jack, Defendant.

Now comes the said James Jack, defendant herein, and for his answer to the complaint of plaintiff denies that prior to the year 1890, or any other date, he did usurp or intrude into the office of Territorial Treasurer in or for said Territory of Utah; denies that he ever acted as such, or exercised the office without authority of law.

Defendant denies that he ever acted as such, or exercised the office without authority of law.

Defendant denies that he ever acted as such, or exercised the office without authority of law.

Defendant denies that he ever acted as such, or exercised the office without authority of law.

Defendant denies that he ever acted as such, or exercised the office without authority of law.

Defendant denies that he ever acted as such, or exercised the office without authority of law.

Defendant denies that he ever acted as such, or exercised the office without authority of law.

Defendant denies that he ever acted as such, or exercised the office without authority of law.

Defendant denies that he ever acted as such, or exercised the office without authority of law.

Defendant denies that he ever acted as such, or exercised the office without authority of law.

Defendant denies that he ever acted as such, or exercised the office without authority of law.

Defendant denies that he ever acted as such, or exercised the office without authority of law.

Defendant denies that he ever acted as such, or exercised the office without authority of law.

Defendant denies that he ever acted as such, or exercised the office without authority of law.

Defendant denies that he ever acted as such, or exercised the office without authority of law.

Defendant denies that he ever acted as such, or exercised the office without authority of law.

Defendant denies that he ever acted as such, or exercised the office without authority of law.

Defendant denies that he ever acted as such, or exercised the office without authority of law.

Defendant denies that he ever acted as such, or exercised the office without authority of law.

Defendant denies that he ever acted as such, or exercised the office without authority of law.

Defendant denies that he ever acted as such, or exercised the office without authority of law.

Defendant denies that he ever acted as such, or exercised the office without authority of law.

Defendant denies that he ever acted as such, or exercised the office without authority of law.

Defendant denies that he ever acted as such, or exercised the office without authority of law.

Defendant denies that he ever acted as such, or exercised the office without authority of law.

Defendant denies that he ever acted as such, or exercised the office without authority of law.

Defendant denies that he ever acted as such, or exercised the office without authority of law.

Defendant denies that he ever acted as such, or exercised the office without authority of law.

Defendant denies that he ever acted as such, or exercised the office without authority of law.

Defendant denies that he ever acted as such, or exercised the office without authority of law.

Defendant denies that he ever acted as such, or exercised the office without authority of law.

Y. L. M. I.—The regular monthly meeting of the officers of the Y. L. M. I. will be held on Saturday, April 5, at 11 o'clock a.m., at the residence of Mrs. E. S. Taylor, one block and a half west of the Cliff House. All interested are cordially invited to attend.

MARY A. FREEZE.

The "Contempt" Trickery.—The eagerness with which every word and act of a "Mormon" is scrutinized for an opportunity to plant some charge against him received another exemplification yesterday afternoon, in the arrest of George M. Cannon, past mentioned in our issue last evening. The empanelling of a jury to try the important suit of Elias Morris vs. The Mammoth Mining Company was in progress, this being the second trial of the case, the first having been held last May, when the jury stood 11 to 1 in favor of giving the plaintiff the amount claimed for labor and material furnished at the Mammoth Company's works. Mr. H. N. Greene was being called as a witness by Mr. Cannon, as to his competency as a juror, and having replied that he had heard of the case, the following colloquy took place, as reported in the complaint on proceedings for contempt:

Q.—Well, have you since formed an opinion?

A.—I have conversed with parties interested, or rather parties have conversed with me within the past two weeks.

Q.—Parties that pretended to know the facts?

A.—Yes, sir; a gentleman began the conversation some two weeks ago. He said he understood I was on the jury, and after he had talked very rapidly a few moments, I felt that he was trying to talk to me, it would render me ineligible to sit as a juror. He said he preferred to leave the matter to the court.

Q.—He said he understood I was on the jury?

A.—No, he knew I was on the jury. He began to talk about the case to me, and after he had finished his first sentence, which he made in a loud voice, he called his attention to the fact that by his conversation he would render me ineligible to sit as a juror.

Q.—He said he understood I was on the jury?

A.—No, he knew I was on the jury. He began to talk about the case to me, and after he had finished his first sentence, which he made in a loud voice, he called his attention to the fact that by his conversation he would render me ineligible to sit as a juror.

Q.—He said he understood I was on the jury?

A.—No, he knew I was on the jury. He began to talk about the case to me, and after he had finished his first sentence, which he made in a loud voice, he called his attention to the fact that by his conversation he would render me ineligible to sit as a juror.

Q.—He said he understood I was on the jury?

A.—No, he knew I was on the jury. He began to talk about the case to me, and after he had finished his first sentence, which he made in a loud voice, he called his attention to the fact that by his conversation he would render me ineligible to sit as a juror.

Q.—He said he understood I was on the jury?

A.—No, he knew I was on the jury. He began to talk about the case to me, and after he had finished his first sentence, which he made in a loud voice, he called his attention to the fact that by his conversation he would render me ineligible to sit as a juror.

Q.—He said he understood I was on the jury?

A.—No, he knew I was on the jury. He began to talk about the case to me, and after he had finished his first sentence, which he made in a loud voice, he called his attention to the fact that by his conversation he would render me ineligible to sit as a juror.

Q.—He said he understood I was on the jury?

A.—No, he knew I was on the jury. He began to talk about the case to me, and after he had finished his first sentence, which he made in a loud voice, he called his attention to the fact that by his conversation he would render me ineligible to sit as a juror.

Q.—He said he understood I was on the jury?

A.—No, he knew I was on the jury. He began to talk about the case to me, and after he had finished his first sentence, which he made in a loud voice, he called his attention to the fact that by his conversation he would render me ineligible to sit as a juror.

Q.—He said he understood I was on the jury?

A.—No, he knew I was on the jury. He began to talk about the case to me, and after he had finished his first sentence, which he made in a loud voice, he called his attention to the fact that by his conversation he would render me ineligible to sit as a juror.

Q.—He said he understood I was on the jury?

A.—No, he knew I was on the jury. He began to talk about the case to me, and after he had finished his first sentence, which he made in a loud voice, he called his attention to the fact that by his conversation he would render me ineligible to sit as a juror.

Q.—He said he understood I was on the jury?

A.—No, he knew I was on the jury. He began to talk about the case to me, and after he had finished his first sentence, which he made in a loud voice, he called his attention to the fact that by his conversation he would render me ineligible to sit as a juror.

Q.—He said he understood I was on the jury?

A.—No, he knew I was on the jury. He began to talk about the case to me, and after he had finished his first sentence, which he made in a loud voice, he called his attention to the fact that by his conversation he would render me ineligible to sit as a juror.

Q.—He said he understood I was on the jury?

A.—No, he knew I was on the jury. He began to talk about the case to me, and after he had finished his first sentence, which he made in a loud voice, he called his attention to the fact that by his conversation he would render me ineligible to sit as a juror.

Q.—He said he understood I was on the jury?

A.—No, he knew I was on the jury. He began to talk about the case to me, and after he had finished his first sentence, which he made in a loud voice, he called his attention to the fact that by his conversation he would render me ineligible to sit as a juror.

Q.—He said he understood I was on the jury?

A.—No, he knew I was on the jury. He began to talk about the case to me, and after he had finished his first sentence, which he made in a loud voice, he called his attention to the fact that by his conversation he would render me ineligible to sit as a juror.

Q.—He said he understood I was on the jury?

A.—No, he knew I was on the jury. He began to talk about the case to me, and after he had finished his first sentence, which he made in a loud voice, he called his attention to the fact that by his conversation he would render me ineligible to sit as a juror.

Q.—He said he understood I was on the jury?

A.—No, he knew I was on the jury. He began to talk about the case to me, and after he had finished his first sentence, which he made in a loud voice, he called his attention to the fact that by his conversation he would render me ineligible to sit as a juror.

Q.—He said he understood I was on the jury?

A.—No, he knew I was on the jury. He began to talk about the case to me, and after he had finished his first sentence, which he made in a loud voice, he called his attention to the fact that by his conversation he would render me ineligible to sit as a juror.

Q.—He said he understood I was on the jury?

A.—No, he knew I was on the jury. He began to talk about the case to me, and after he had finished his first sentence, which he made in a loud voice, he called his attention to the fact that by his conversation he would render me ineligible to sit as a juror.

Q.—He said he understood I was on the jury?

A.—No, he knew I was on the jury. He began to talk about the case to me, and after he had finished his first sentence, which he made in a loud voice, he called his attention to the fact that by his conversation he would render me ineligible to sit as a juror.

Q.—He said he understood I was on the jury?

A.—No, he knew I was on the jury. He began to talk about the case to me, and after he had finished his first sentence, which he made in a loud voice, he called his attention to the fact that by his conversation he would render me ineligible to sit as a juror.

Q.—He said he understood I was on the jury?

A.—No, he knew I was on the jury. He began to talk about the case to me, and after he had finished his first sentence, which he made in a loud voice, he called his attention to the fact that by his conversation he would render me ineligible to sit as a juror.

Q.—He said he understood I was on the jury?

A.—No, he knew I was on the jury. He began to talk about the case to me, and after he had finished his first sentence, which he made in a loud voice, he called his attention to the fact that by his conversation he would render me ineligible to sit as a juror.

Q.—He said he understood I was on the jury?

A.—No, he knew I was on the jury. He began to talk about the case to me, and after he had finished his first sentence, which he made in a loud voice, he called his attention to the fact that by his conversation he would render me ineligible to sit as a juror.

Q.—He said he understood I was on the jury?

A.—No, he knew I was on the jury. He began to talk about the case to me, and after he had finished his first sentence, which he made in a loud voice, he called his attention to the fact that by his conversation he would render me ineligible to sit as a juror.

Q.—He said he understood I was on the jury?

A.—No, he knew I was on the jury. He began to talk about the case to me, and after he had finished his first sentence, which he made in a loud voice, he called his attention to the fact that by his conversation he would render me ineligible to sit as a juror.

Q.—He said he understood I was on the jury?

A.—No, he knew I was on the jury. He began to talk about the case to me, and after he had finished his first sentence, which he made in a loud voice, he called his attention to the fact that by his conversation he would render me ineligible to sit as a juror.

Q.—He said he understood I was on the jury?

A.—No, he knew I was on the jury. He began to talk about the case to me, and after he had finished his first sentence, which he made in a loud voice, he called his attention to the fact that by his conversation he would render me ineligible to sit as a juror.

Q.—He said he understood I was on the jury?

A.—No, he knew I was on the jury. He began to talk about the case to me, and after he had finished his first sentence, which he made in a loud voice, he called his attention to the fact that by his conversation he would render me ineligible to sit as a juror.

Q.—He said he understood I was on the jury?

A.—No, he knew I was on the jury. He began to talk about the case to me, and after he had finished his first sentence, which he made in a loud voice, he called his attention to the fact that by his conversation he would render me ineligible to sit as a juror.

Q.—He said he understood I was on the jury?

A.—No, he knew I was on the jury. He began to talk about the case to me, and after he had finished his first sentence, which he made in a loud voice, he called his attention to the fact that by his conversation he would render me ineligible to sit as a juror.

Q.—He said he understood I was on the jury?

A.—No, he knew I was on the jury. He began to talk about the case to me, and after he had finished his first sentence, which he made in a loud voice, he called his attention to the fact that by his conversation he would render me ineligible to sit as a juror.

Q.—He said he understood I was on the jury?

A.—No, he knew I was on the jury. He began to talk about the case to me, and after he had finished his first sentence, which he made in a loud voice, he called his attention to the fact that by his conversation he would render me ineligible to sit as a juror.

Q.—He said he understood I was on the jury?

A.—No, he knew I was on the jury. He began to talk about the case to me, and after he had finished his first sentence, which he made in a loud voice, he called his attention to the fact that by his conversation he would render me ineligible to sit as a juror.

Q.—He said he understood I was on the jury?

A.—No, he knew I was on the jury. He began to talk about the case to me, and after he had finished his first sentence, which he made in a loud voice, he called his attention to the fact that by his conversation he would render me ineligible to sit as a juror.

Q.—He said he understood I was on the jury?

A.—No, he knew I was on the jury. He began to talk about the case to me, and after he had finished his first sentence, which he made in a loud voice, he called his attention to the fact that by his conversation he would render me ineligible to sit as a juror.

Q.—He said he understood I was on the jury?

A.—No, he knew I was on the jury. He began to talk about the case to me, and after he had finished his first sentence, which he made in a loud voice, he called his attention to the fact that by his conversation he would render me ineligible to sit as a juror.

Q.—He said he understood I was on the jury?

A.—No, he knew I was on the jury. He began to talk about the case to me, and after he had finished his first sentence, which he made in a loud voice, he called his attention to the fact that by his conversation he would render me ineligible to sit as a juror.

David T. Howell, of Clifton, Onida County, Idaho, a few days since, while riding a horse home from school, was thrown off and dragged some distance under the horse, and badly bruised about the head and neck, receiving in addition to other injuries, several severe kicks, one just above the right eye and another back of the left ear, which caused the blood to flow freely from his mouth, ears and nose. He was taken home and properly cared for, and is now doing as well as can be expected, considering the nature of his injuries.

Wm. Richards was admitted to citizenship in the Third District Court today.

Ip Louisa Coulson, who came from England last November, will call at No. 24 Fourth East St., and send address to Rev. G. D. B. Miller, she will learn of something to her advantage.

REMOVED.—John C. Cutler & Bro., Agents, Provo Woolen Mills, have REMOVED back to the Old Constitution Building, 31 and 33 Main Street.

HOW TO SAVE MONEY.—A POINT WORTH REMEMBERING.—Buy Books, Stationery, Stationery and Magazines of J. H. PARRY & CO., 36 S. Main Street, where you get everything at *Bolton's Prices*. All the Church Publications kept constantly in stock; also a well selected line of the best Miscellaneous Books, for both old and new S. S. and M. I. A. libraries supplied.

We have just received another large assortment of Spring Wraps. They are the very latest and handsomest styles. Call and see them.

COHN BROS.

UTAH INVENTION.—The Hon. Senator Sully Flaw, The cheapest, strongest, and most durable Flaw in the market. It is made by the very latest and best machinery. Call and see it at Z. C. M. I. and its Branch Stores, and at 131 to 135 S. Main Street.

SALT LAKE FOUNDRY & MFG. CO.

BABY CARRIAGES.

THE FINEST AND CHEAPEST, AT DINWOODIE'S.

PERMANENT REDUCTION.

Photographs at FOX & SYMONS.

HENRY DINWOODIE.

Calls special attention to his display of a very choice line of

CURTAINS.

COAL! COAL! COAL!

Weber, Rock Spring, Pleasant Valley and the best coal in the Territory in the Salt Lake market. Our Weber is from the celebrated Grass Creek mine and we are selling better coal than ever before. No other Weber brought to this market can compare with ours. All our coals are nicely screened and cleaned.

Coal Department, Utah Pacific Railway Company.

A. J. GUNNELL, Agent.

Prices of Photographs Reduced at FOX & SYMONS.

All work done by the Dry-Plate instantaneous Process.

REDUCTION PERMANENT.

SUCCESS.

The sale of Syrup of Figs is simply immense. Everyone is taking it. It is the best medicine ever used. It is sold by all druggists.

It is sold by all druggists.

It is sold by all druggists.

It is sold by all druggists.

It is sold by all druggists.

It is sold by all druggists.

It is sold by all druggists.

It is sold by all druggists.

It is sold by all druggists.

It is sold by all druggists.