

DESERET EVENING NEWS.

Thursday, January 20, 1891.

Clips for advertisements which are published in the Deseret Evening News or in the Deseret Free Press will be sent to the advertiser on the day after the date of publication.

FRAGMENTS.

An elevator is being built in the new construction building, Main Street.

The James H. Taylor will be in the Second Ward, at 7:30 this evening.

January 21 has been chosen as the day for the assembling of the Utah Convention.

Mr. S. P. Remond, agent of the Utah Land Development Company, is staying at the Templeton.

The officers are now in the Police Court this afternoon for attending court under false pretenses.

COMMERCIAL CHAMBERMAN was charged this afternoon in hearing a civil suit in but little importance.

Letters, the comedienne, now performing with her company at the Theatre, is retained at the Templeton Hotel.

Two men from the Police Court, having completed their term in the Police Court, will probably begin to work during the coming week.

Two City Council will meet tonight, and it is expected that the hearing of the various cases will be held in the evening of a long and tedious discussion.

A correspondence written from Chicago, Illinois, states that there has been a heavy fall in the price of wheat in the country, accompanied by very severe frosts.

The Idaho State Society gave one of their social teas at the University Building on Friday, Jan. 18th. They were all well attended and gave great satisfaction.

CORREY CLARK, ALLEN, yesterday issued the following marriage license: Harry L. Cannon, of Salt Lake City, to Alice Marie of the same place, both of the County of Salt Lake, State of Utah, Jan. 18, 1891.

YESTERDAY was a quiet time in the Probate Court. In the matter of the estate of Frank B. Farmer, an order was made appointing J. H. Baker, J. D. Jordan and J. J. Johnson, all of the County of Salt Lake, as executors of the estate of the late Frank B. Farmer.

A SOCIAL meeting of the shareholders of the People's Equitable Life Assurance Association will be given at the University Building on Friday, Jan. 25th. The meeting will be held at 8 o'clock, and will be a very interesting one.

THERE was a lively rowing on First Street, Sunday, last morning. The rowing was done by a number of men, and was very much enjoyed by the spectators.

JOHNSON, Pratt & Co., The drug firm of Johnson, Pratt & Co. will very shortly be incorporated with a capital stock of \$100,000. The object of the corporation will be to carry on a wholesale and retail drug business, including wines, liquors, etc.

POLICE COURT. Only two individuals were arraigned in the police court this morning on the charge of drunkenness. Their names are Dick Johnson and Tom King.

BOLANDER pleaded guilty to the charge of drunkenness, and was fined \$5 for his folly. In default of money with which to pay the fine, he will be committed to jail until he can pay the fine.

Tom King entered a plea of guilty to a similar offense, and was sentenced to ten days in hard labor.

An inmate named Larch left \$10 to assist in the charge of drunkenness. The defendant was not in attendance, and the amount was declared forfeited.

M. Varble and Walter Oringer, who were arrested for fighting, the defendant left \$25 to secure their attendance. They were to have a hearing this afternoon.

Two arrested women of a plea of guilty to the charge of drunkenness. Sentence was suspended.

Paul Fisher was arraigned this afternoon before Judge Pollock Magistrate for committing a small amount of money to the person of William Webster. The defendant was fined \$25 and costs of prosecution.

Lotta. At the Theatre last night, the formidable and "vicious" Lotta "Musette" was confronted by a good deal of much pleased audience. The reputation that Lotta long since won as the queen of song and dance, in the streets and in the theatre, was well sustained in the production of "Musette."

Lotta has changed but little in face and figure since her successful and frequent visits to this city of nearly twenty years ago. In agility, too, she appears as active and vigorously as ever. Her voice, however, is not so clear, full and strong as of yore. The conclusion was several recitals by her clever and individualistic interpretation of the role of Lotta.

The acting of William A. Allen, as "William A.," was immensely funny and brought several rounds of hearty laughter from the audience.

Wills, being in the character of Hugh Hefley did some clever things.

The red of the company did not reach that high standard of merit as was generally expected. Several of the characters were woefully weak. To-night "Lotta" Lotta's latest success, will

SUPREME COURT.

Several Decisions Given at This Morning's Session.

AN IMPORTANT OGDEN CASE.

Involving a Question of Some Interest to Residents.

The earlier portion of this morning's sitting of the Territorial Supreme Court was occupied with the delivery of decisions in the cases given below.

In that of L. H. Moul vs. J. W. McCall, Marshal of Ogden City, Judge Case rendered the opinion of the court in the following terms:

The plaintiff was awarded a judgment of \$100,000, with interest, and costs, against the defendant.

The case of the State of Utah vs. the City of Ogden, was also heard, and the court rendered its decision in favor of the city.

The case of the State of Utah vs. the City of Ogden, was also heard, and the court rendered its decision in favor of the city.

The case of the State of Utah vs. the City of Ogden, was also heard, and the court rendered its decision in favor of the city.

The case of the State of Utah vs. the City of Ogden, was also heard, and the court rendered its decision in favor of the city.

The case of the State of Utah vs. the City of Ogden, was also heard, and the court rendered its decision in favor of the city.

The case of the State of Utah vs. the City of Ogden, was also heard, and the court rendered its decision in favor of the city.

The case of the State of Utah vs. the City of Ogden, was also heard, and the court rendered its decision in favor of the city.

The case of the State of Utah vs. the City of Ogden, was also heard, and the court rendered its decision in favor of the city.

The case of the State of Utah vs. the City of Ogden, was also heard, and the court rendered its decision in favor of the city.

The case of the State of Utah vs. the City of Ogden, was also heard, and the court rendered its decision in favor of the city.

The case of the State of Utah vs. the City of Ogden, was also heard, and the court rendered its decision in favor of the city.

The case of the State of Utah vs. the City of Ogden, was also heard, and the court rendered its decision in favor of the city.

The case of the State of Utah vs. the City of Ogden, was also heard, and the court rendered its decision in favor of the city.

The case of the State of Utah vs. the City of Ogden, was also heard, and the court rendered its decision in favor of the city.

The case of the State of Utah vs. the City of Ogden, was also heard, and the court rendered its decision in favor of the city.

The case of the State of Utah vs. the City of Ogden, was also heard, and the court rendered its decision in favor of the city.

The case of the State of Utah vs. the City of Ogden, was also heard, and the court rendered its decision in favor of the city.

The case of the State of Utah vs. the City of Ogden, was also heard, and the court rendered its decision in favor of the city.

The case of the State of Utah vs. the City of Ogden, was also heard, and the court rendered its decision in favor of the city.

The case of the State of Utah vs. the City of Ogden, was also heard, and the court rendered its decision in favor of the city.

The case of the State of Utah vs. the City of Ogden, was also heard, and the court rendered its decision in favor of the city.

The case of the State of Utah vs. the City of Ogden, was also heard, and the court rendered its decision in favor of the city.

The case of the State of Utah vs. the City of Ogden, was also heard, and the court rendered its decision in favor of the city.

The case of the State of Utah vs. the City of Ogden, was also heard, and the court rendered its decision in favor of the city.

The case of the State of Utah vs. the City of Ogden, was also heard, and the court rendered its decision in favor of the city.

The case of the State of Utah vs. the City of Ogden, was also heard, and the court rendered its decision in favor of the city.

The case of the State of Utah vs. the City of Ogden, was also heard, and the court rendered its decision in favor of the city.

The case of the State of Utah vs. the City of Ogden, was also heard, and the court rendered its decision in favor of the city.

time in the case of James Lowe et al. vs. L. G. Hardy et al., originally set for the 10th inst. The request was complied with.

The case of George East et al. vs. Joseph Mowrey, upon the application of counsel, was reset for February 10.

The case of Alfred Danahoe, plaintiff and respondent, vs. Joseph Danahoe, defendant, was also heard, and the court rendered its decision in favor of the plaintiff.

The case of the State of Utah vs. the City of Ogden, was also heard, and the court rendered its decision in favor of the city.

The case of the State of Utah vs. the City of Ogden, was also heard, and the court rendered its decision in favor of the city.

The case of the State of Utah vs. the City of Ogden, was also heard, and the court rendered its decision in favor of the city.

The case of the State of Utah vs. the City of Ogden, was also heard, and the court rendered its decision in favor of the city.

The case of the State of Utah vs. the City of Ogden, was also heard, and the court rendered its decision in favor of the city.

The case of the State of Utah vs. the City of Ogden, was also heard, and the court rendered its decision in favor of the city.

The case of the State of Utah vs. the City of Ogden, was also heard, and the court rendered its decision in favor of the city.

The case of the State of Utah vs. the City of Ogden, was also heard, and the court rendered its decision in favor of the city.

The case of the State of Utah vs. the City of Ogden, was also heard, and the court rendered its decision in favor of the city.

The case of the State of Utah vs. the City of Ogden, was also heard, and the court rendered its decision in favor of the city.

The case of the State of Utah vs. the City of Ogden, was also heard, and the court rendered its decision in favor of the city.

The case of the State of Utah vs. the City of Ogden, was also heard, and the court rendered its decision in favor of the city.

The case of the State of Utah vs. the City of Ogden, was also heard, and the court rendered its decision in favor of the city.

The case of the State of Utah vs. the City of Ogden, was also heard, and the court rendered its decision in favor of the city.

The case of the State of Utah vs. the City of Ogden, was also heard, and the court rendered its decision in favor of the city.

The case of the State of Utah vs. the City of Ogden, was also heard, and the court rendered its decision in favor of the city.

The case of the State of Utah vs. the City of Ogden, was also heard, and the court rendered its decision in favor of the city.

The case of the State of Utah vs. the City of Ogden, was also heard, and the court rendered its decision in favor of the city.

The case of the State of Utah vs. the City of Ogden, was also heard, and the court rendered its decision in favor of the city.

The case of the State of Utah vs. the City of Ogden, was also heard, and the court rendered its decision in favor of the city.

The case of the State of Utah vs. the City of Ogden, was also heard, and the court rendered its decision in favor of the city.

The case of the State of Utah vs. the City of Ogden, was also heard, and the court rendered its decision in favor of the city.

The case of the State of Utah vs. the City of Ogden, was also heard, and the court rendered its decision in favor of the city.

The case of the State of Utah vs. the City of Ogden, was also heard, and the court rendered its decision in favor of the city.

The case of the State of Utah vs. the City of Ogden, was also heard, and the court rendered its decision in favor of the city.

The case of the State of Utah vs. the City of Ogden, was also heard, and the court rendered its decision in favor of the city.

The case of the State of Utah vs. the City of Ogden, was also heard, and the court rendered its decision in favor of the city.

The case of the State of Utah vs. the City of Ogden, was also heard, and the court rendered its decision in favor of the city.

The case of the State of Utah vs. the City of Ogden, was also heard, and the court rendered its decision in favor of the city.

The case of the State of Utah vs. the City of Ogden, was also heard, and the court rendered its decision in favor of the city.

The case of the State of Utah vs. the City of Ogden, was also heard, and the court rendered its decision in favor of the city.

THE CHORAL SOCIETY.

Gave Their Second Public Performance at a Large Audience Last Night.

Last evening the second public performance of the Utah Choral Society was given in the Assembly Hall, under the auspices of the Deseret Choral Society.

The program was most excellent, and the performance was highly praised by the audience.

The program was most excellent, and the performance was highly praised by the audience.

The program was most excellent, and the performance was highly praised by the audience.

The program was most excellent, and the performance was highly praised by the audience.

The program was most excellent, and the performance was highly praised by the audience.

The program was most excellent, and the performance was highly praised by the audience.

The program was most excellent, and the performance was highly praised by the audience.

The program was most excellent, and the performance was highly praised by the audience.

The program was most excellent, and the performance was highly praised by the audience.

The program was most excellent, and the performance was highly praised by the audience.

The program was most excellent, and the performance was highly praised by the audience.

The program was most excellent, and the performance was highly praised by the audience.

The program was most excellent, and the performance was highly praised by the audience.

The program was most excellent, and the performance was highly praised by the audience.

The program was most excellent, and the performance was highly praised by the audience.

The program was most excellent, and the performance was highly praised by the audience.

The program was most excellent, and the performance was highly praised by the audience.

The program was most excellent, and the performance was highly praised by the audience.

The program was most excellent, and the performance was highly praised by the audience.

The program was most excellent, and the performance was highly praised by the audience.

The program was most excellent, and the performance was highly praised by the audience.

The program was most excellent, and the performance was highly praised by the audience.

The program was most excellent, and the performance was highly praised by the audience.

The program was most excellent, and the performance was highly praised by the audience.

The program was most excellent, and the performance was highly praised by the audience.

The program was most excellent, and the performance was highly praised by the audience.

The program was most excellent, and the performance was highly praised by the audience.

The program was most excellent, and the performance was highly praised by the audience.

The program was most excellent, and the performance was highly praised by the audience.

The program was most excellent, and the performance was highly praised by the audience.

The program was most excellent, and the performance was highly praised by the audience.

The program was most excellent, and the performance was highly praised by the audience.

The program was most excellent, and the performance was highly praised by the audience.

The program was most excellent, and the performance was highly praised by the audience.

The program was most excellent, and the performance was highly praised by the audience.

The program was most excellent, and the performance was highly praised by the audience.

THE HOLY LAND.

A Lecture Delivered in the Fortieth Ward Last Night.

A large audience gathered last night in the Fortieth Ward Assembly Hall to hear a lecture on the Holy Land.

The lecture was most interesting, and the audience was highly entertained.

The lecture was most interesting, and the audience was highly entertained.

The lecture was most interesting, and the audience was highly entertained.

The lecture was most interesting, and the audience was highly entertained.

The lecture was most interesting, and the audience was highly entertained.

The lecture was most interesting, and the audience was highly entertained.

The lecture was most interesting, and the audience was highly entertained.

The lecture was most interesting, and the audience was highly entertained.

The lecture was most interesting, and the audience was highly entertained.

The lecture was most interesting, and the audience was highly entertained.

The lecture was most interesting, and the audience was highly entertained.

The lecture was most interesting, and the audience was highly entertained.

The lecture was most interesting, and the audience was highly entertained.

The lecture was most interesting, and the audience was highly entertained.

The lecture was most interesting, and the audience was highly entertained.

The lecture was most interesting, and the audience was highly entertained.

The lecture was most interesting, and the audience was highly entertained.

The lecture was most interesting, and the audience was highly entertained.

The lecture was most interesting, and the audience was highly entertained.

The lecture was most interesting, and the audience was highly entertained.

The lecture was most interesting, and the audience was highly entertained.

The lecture was most interesting, and the audience was highly entertained.

The lecture was most interesting, and the audience was highly entertained.

The lecture was most interesting, and the audience was highly entertained.

The lecture was most interesting, and the audience was highly entertained.

The lecture was most interesting, and the audience was highly entertained.

The lecture was most interesting, and the audience was highly entertained.

The lecture was most interesting, and the audience was highly entertained.

The lecture was most interesting, and the audience was highly entertained.

The lecture was most interesting, and the audience was highly entertained.

The lecture was most interesting, and the audience was highly entertained.

The lecture was most interesting, and the audience was highly entertained.

The lecture was most interesting, and the audience was highly entertained.

The lecture was most interesting, and the audience was highly entertained.

The lecture was most interesting, and the audience was highly entertained.

The lecture was most interesting, and the audience was highly entertained.

Baking Powder.

ABSOLUTELY PURE.

ABSOLUTELY PURE.

ABSOLUTELY PURE.

ABSOLUTELY PURE.

ABSOLUTELY PURE.

ABSOLUTELY PURE.

ABSOLUTELY PURE.

ABSOLUTELY PURE.

ABSOLUTELY PURE.

ABSOLUTELY PURE.

ABSOLUTELY PURE.

ABSOLUTELY PURE.

ABSOLUTELY PURE.

ABSOLUTELY PURE.

ABSOLUTELY PURE.

ABSOLUTELY PURE.

ABSOLUTELY PURE.

ABSOLUTELY PURE.

ABSOLUTELY PURE.

ABSOLUTELY PURE.

ABSOLUTELY PURE.

ABSOLUTELY PURE.

ABSOLUTELY PURE.

ABSOLUTELY PURE.

ABSOLUTELY PURE.

ABSOLUTELY PURE.

ABSOLUTELY PURE.

ABSOLUTELY PURE.

ABSOLUTELY PURE.

ABSOLUTELY PURE.

ABSOLUTELY PURE.

ABSOLUTELY PURE.

ABSOLUTELY PURE.

ABSOLUTELY PURE.

ABSOLUTELY PURE.

ABSOLUTELY PURE.

ABSOLUTELY PURE.

THE BAKING POWDER.

ABSOLUTELY PURE.

ABSOLUTELY PURE.

ABSOLUTELY PURE.

ABSOLUTELY PURE.