

RECEIVER LAWRENCE

Considers \$300 per Month a Fair Compensation for his Services.

HIS ATTORNEY ASKS FOR \$150 A MONTH.

District Attorney Tactus Offers no Objection—Examiner Hodge Will Report to the Territorial Supreme Court.

At five o'clock yesterday afternoon Clark, Reining, of the Territorial Supreme Court, sat in his office, as Special Examiner, to take testimony in regard to the amount of compensation to be paid Receiver Lawrence and his attorney for services rendered in the course of the ongoing proceeding in connection with the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints.

District Attorney Varian represented the Government, and Judge Marshall spoke for the defense.

Receiver Lawrence was first examined and testified that he was examined and tried before on July 1, 1891, and had his trial adjourned in view of the fact that he could not give the date of the trial, being the date of his release from prison.

The money in hand—which was

drawn off when it came into his possession—and banked, and the receiver's account was closed.

Lawrence said he had been retained by the State to collect the \$1,000,000.

The sum of these interests

had been collected by the receiver.

In his opinion \$100 per month

would be a reasonable amount of com-

pensation for the services he had rendered.

The amount of \$300,000, and that he con-

sidered, would not be in excess of a reasonable compensation.

Varian declared that the receiver

should be allowed to act on his own

account, and had given credit for every item received.

In reply to District Attorney Varian, the receiver said he had been retained

by the State to collect the \$1,000,000,

and that he had been retained by the

State to collect the \$1,000,000.

The receiver was asked if he had, however, closed new leases. At the present time there was \$12,000 on hand, drawing \$100 per month, and he had no money for compensation. He based his claim for compensation simply on the care of the property. This was not enough in his view, but there was, nevertheless, a great deal more.

Jesse Marshall was the next wit-

ness. He stated that he began his

services as receiver for Receiver Law-

rence in the fall of 1891, and that

time he had been more or less excepted with the work.

He became more and more involved in the management of the property, and he thought that \$50 per month—which was the sum allowed to his predecessor, Mr. Dyer, attorney—was not enough for his services.

This was not the only reason why he had remained with the receiver.

Mr. Dyer, attorney—

was a man of great experience

in the law, and he had given credit for every item received.

Mr. Dyer, attorney—

was a man of great experience

in the law, and he had given credit for every item received.

Mr. Dyer, attorney—

was a man of great experience

in the law, and he had given credit for every item received.

Mr. Dyer, attorney—

was a man of great experience

in the law, and he had given credit for every item received.

Mr. Dyer, attorney—

was a man of great experience

in the law, and he had given credit for every item received.

Mr. Dyer, attorney—

was a man of great experience

in the law, and he had given credit for every item received.

Mr. Dyer, attorney—

was a man of great experience

in the law, and he had given credit for every item received.

Mr. Dyer, attorney—

was a man of great experience

in the law, and he had given credit for every item received.

Mr. Dyer, attorney—

was a man of great experience

in the law, and he had given credit for every item received.

Mr. Dyer, attorney—

was a man of great experience

in the law, and he had given credit for every item received.

Mr. Dyer, attorney—

was a man of great experience

in the law, and he had given credit for every item received.

Mr. Dyer, attorney—

was a man of great experience

in the law, and he had given credit for every item received.

Mr. Dyer, attorney—

was a man of great experience

in the law, and he had given credit for every item received.

Mr. Dyer, attorney—

was a man of great experience

in the law, and he had given credit for every item received.

Mr. Dyer, attorney—

was a man of great experience

in the law, and he had given credit for every item received.

Mr. Dyer, attorney—

was a man of great experience

in the law, and he had given credit for every item received.

Mr. Dyer, attorney—

was a man of great experience

in the law, and he had given credit for every item received.

Mr. Dyer, attorney—

was a man of great experience

in the law, and he had given credit for every item received.

Mr. Dyer, attorney—

was a man of great experience

in the law, and he had given credit for every item received.

Mr. Dyer, attorney—

was a man of great experience

in the law, and he had given credit for every item received.

Mr. Dyer, attorney—

was a man of great experience

in the law, and he had given credit for every item received.

Mr. Dyer, attorney—

was a man of great experience

in the law, and he had given credit for every item received.

Mr. Dyer, attorney—

was a man of great experience

in the law, and he had given credit for every item received.

Mr. Dyer, attorney—

was a man of great experience

in the law, and he had given credit for every item received.

Mr. Dyer, attorney—

was a man of great experience

in the law, and he had given credit for every item received.

Mr. Dyer, attorney—

was a man of great experience

in the law, and he had given credit for every item received.

Mr. Dyer, attorney—

was a man of great experience

in the law, and he had given credit for every item received.

Mr. Dyer, attorney—

was a man of great experience

in the law, and he had given credit for every item received.

Mr. Dyer, attorney—

was a man of great experience

in the law, and he had given credit for every item received.

Mr. Dyer, attorney—

was a man of great experience

in the law, and he had given credit for every item received.

Mr. Dyer, attorney—

was a man of great experience

in the law, and he had given credit for every item received.

Mr. Dyer, attorney—

was a man of great experience

in the law, and he had given credit for every item received.

Mr. Dyer, attorney—

was a man of great experience

in the law, and he had given credit for every item received.

Mr. Dyer, attorney—

was a man of great experience

in the law, and he had given credit for every item received.

Mr. Dyer, attorney—

was a man of great experience

in the law, and he had given credit for every item received.

Mr. Dyer, attorney—

was a man of great experience

in the law, and he had given credit for every item received.

Mr. Dyer, attorney—

was a man of great experience

in the law, and he had given credit for every item received.

Mr. Dyer, attorney—

was a man of great experience

in the law, and he had given credit for every item received.

Mr. Dyer, attorney—

was a man of great experience

in the law, and he had given credit for every item received.

Mr. Dyer, attorney—

was a man of great experience

in the law, and he had given credit for every item received.

Mr. Dyer, attorney—

was a man of great experience

in the law, and he had given credit for every item received.

Mr. Dyer, attorney—

was a man of great experience

in the law, and he had given credit for every item received.

Mr. Dyer, attorney—

was a man of great experience

in the law, and he had given credit for every item received.

Mr. Dyer, attorney—

was a man of great experience

in the law, and he had given credit for every item received.

Mr. Dyer, attorney—

was a man of great experience

in the law, and he had given credit for every item received.

Mr. Dyer, attorney—

was a man of great experience

in the law, and he had given credit for every item received.

Mr. Dyer, attorney—

was a man of great experience

in the law, and he had given credit for every item received.

Mr. Dyer, attorney—

was a man of great experience

in the law, and he had given credit for every item received.

Mr. Dyer, attorney—

was a man of great experience

in the law, and he had given credit for every item received.

Mr. Dyer, attorney—

was a man of great experience

in the law, and he had given credit for every item received.

Mr. Dyer, attorney—

was a man of great experience

in the law, and he had given credit for every item received.

Mr. Dyer, attorney—

was a man of great experience

in the law, and he had given credit for every item received.

Mr. Dyer, attorney—

was a man of great experience

in the law, and he had given credit for every item received.

Mr. Dyer, attorney—

was a man of great experience

in the law, and he had given credit for every item received.

Mr. Dyer, attorney—

was a man of great experience

in the law, and he had given credit for every item received.

Mr. Dyer, attorney—

was a man of great experience

in the law, and he had given credit for every item received.

Mr. Dyer, attorney—

was a man of great experience

in the law, and he had given credit for every item received.

Mr. Dyer, attorney—

was a man of great experience

in the law, and he had given credit for every item received.

Mr. Dyer, attorney—

was a man of great experience

in the law, and he had given credit for every item received.

Mr. Dyer, attorney—

was a man of great experience

in the law, and he had given credit for every item received.

Mr. Dyer, attorney—

was a man of great experience

in the law, and he had given credit for every item received.

Mr. Dyer, attorney—

was a man of great experience

in the law, and he had given credit for every item received.

Mr. Dyer, attorney—

was a man of great experience

in the law, and he had given credit for every item received.

Mr. Dyer, attorney—

was a man of great experience

in the law, and he had given credit for every item received.

Mr. Dyer, attorney—

was a man of great experience

in the law, and he had given credit for every item received.

Mr. Dyer, attorney—

was a man of great experience

in the law, and he had given credit for every item received.

Mr. Dyer, attorney—

was a man of great experience

in the law, and he had given credit for every item received.